

Environmental Protection Operations
Qimugjuk Building 969
P.O. Box 1870
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
Tel: (867) 975-4631
Fax: (867) 975-4645

7 July 2011

EC file: 4518 000 046
NWB file: 3BC-CRO----

Richard Dwyer
Licensing Administrator
Nunavut Water Board
PO Box 119
Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Via email: licensingadmin@nunavutwaterboard.org

**RE: 3BC-CRO---- Department of National Defence – PIN-1BG Croker River – New –
Type “B”**

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the information regarding the above-mentioned water license application, as submitted to the Nunavut Water Board (NWB). The following specialist advice has been provided pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act 1999*, Section 36(3) of the *Fisheries Act*, the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, and the *Species at Risk Act*.

The Department of National Defence is applying for a new Type B water license to support scheduled quarterly preventative and corrective maintenance activities at Short Range Radar (SRR) site PIN-1BG, located at Croker River, approximately 190 km east of Paulatuk, NWT. Planned on-site activities in 2011 include fuel tank cleaning and inspection. On site there are a total of five tanks each with 50,000 L capacity and one tank with 4,500 L capacity. The tanks will be cleaned and inspected by a crew of approximately eight to ten, staying on site for approximately 11 to 14 days between July and August 2011, and will be accommodated in a temporary camp.

Based on the information provided, EC provides the following comments for the NWB's consideration:

General

- The proponent shall not deposit, nor permit the deposit of chemicals, sediment, wastes, or fuels associated with the project into any water body. According to the *Fisheries Act*, Section 36 (3), the deposition of deleterious substances of any type in water frequented by fish, or in any place under any conditions where the deleterious substance, or any deleterious substance that results from the deposit of the deleterious substance, may enter any such water, is prohibited.
- All sumps, spill basins, and fuel caches should be located in such a manner as to ensure that their contents do not enter any water body, are to backfilled, and re-contoured to match the surrounding landscape when they are no longer required.

Spill Contingency Plan

- Please note the new *CEPA Storage Tank System for Petroleum Products and Allied Petroleum Products Regulations* that came into force on June 12, 2008. These regulations

apply to both outside, aboveground and underground storage tank systems (including the piping and other tank associated equipment) under federal jurisdiction containing petroleum and allied petroleum products that have a capacity greater than 230 litres. This includes tanks located on federal or Aboriginal lands. Exceptions are pressurized tanks, mobile tanks, tanks regulated by the National Energy Board, and outdoor, aboveground storage tank systems that have a total combined capacity of 2500 litres or less and are connected to a heating appliance or emergency generator. All storage tank system owners must identify their tank systems to EC and installation of new systems must comply with the regulation's design requirements. Further information on these regulations can be found at www.ec.gc.ca/st-rs.

- EC's contact information should be included in the Plan, EC's contact number is (867)975-4644. Contact information for the other mentioned departments should also be listed.
- EC recommends that a 24 Hour NWT/NU Spill Response Form be attached to the Plan.
- Spills are to be documented and reported to the NWT/NU 24 hour Spill Line at (867)920-8130. EC recommends that all releases of harmful substances, regardless of quantity, are immediately reported where the release:
 - is near or into a water body;
 - is near or into a designated sensitive environment or sensitive wildlife habitat;
 - poses an imminent threat to human health or safety; or,
 - poses an imminent threat to a listed species at risk or its critical habitat.

Waste Management

- The application states that hazardous waste will be transported from the PIN-DA site and disposed of elsewhere. Under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* (CEPA 1999) and the Interprovincial Movement of Hazardous Wastes Regulations, the transportation of hazardous waste between territories (i.e. Nunavut to the Northwest Territories) requires that the proponent completes movement documents. The Government of Nunavut only regulates waste in Nunavut and has no authority in the Northwest Territories. An approved movement document should be completed and follow all shipments of hazardous waste.

Wildlife and Species at Risk

- Section 6 (a) of the *Migratory Birds Regulations* states that no one shall disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of migratory birds. If active nests are encountered during project activities, the nesting area should be avoided until nesting is complete (i.e., the young have left the vicinity of the nest).
- EC recommends that food, domestic wastes, and petroleum-based chemicals (e.g., greases, gasoline, glycol-based antifreeze) be made inaccessible to wildlife at all times. Such items can attract predators of migratory birds such as foxes, ravens, gulls, and bears. Although these animals may initially be attracted to the novel food sources, they often will also eat eggs and young birds in the area. These predators can have significant negative effects on the local bird populations.
- Section 5.1 of the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* prohibits persons from depositing substances harmful to migratory birds in waters or areas frequented by migratory birds or in a place from which the substance may enter such waters or such an area.
- The following comments are pursuant to the Species at Risk Act (SARA), which came into full effect on June 1, 2004. Section 79 (2) of SARA, states that during an assessment of effects of a project, the adverse effects of the project on listed wildlife species and its critical habitat must be identified, that measures are taken to avoid or lessen those effects, and that the effects need to be monitored. This section applies to all species listed on Schedule 1 of SARA. However, as a matter of best practice, Environment Canada suggests that species on other Schedules of SARA and under consideration for listing on SARA, including those designated as at risk by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), be considered during an environmental assessment in a similar manner.

Terrestrial Species at Risk ¹	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility ²
Peregrine Falcon (<i>anatum-tundrius</i> complex ³)	Special Concern	Schedule 1 (<i>anatum</i>) Schedule 3 (<i>tundrius</i>)	Government of Nunavut
Wolverine (Western population)	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Short-eared Owl	Special Concern	Schedule 3	Government of Nunavut
Grizzly Bear	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut

¹ The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has responsibility for aquatic species.

² Environment Canada has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Thus, for species within their responsibility, the Territorial Government is best suited to provide detailed advice and information on potential adverse effects, mitigation measures, and monitoring.

³ The *anatum* subspecies of Peregrine Falcon is listed on Schedule 1 of SARA as threatened. The *anatum* and *tundrius* subspecies of Peregrine Falcon were reassessed by COSEWIC in 2007 and combined into one subpopulation complex. This subpopulation complex was listed by COSEWIC as Special Concern.

Impacts could be disturbance and attraction to operations.

Environment Canada recommends:

- Species at Risk that could be encountered or affected by the project should be identified and any potential adverse effects of the project to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence noted. All direct, indirect, and cumulative effects should be considered. Refer to species status reports and other information on the Species at Risk registry at www.sararegistry.gc.ca for information on specific species.
- If Species at Risk are encountered or affected, the primary mitigation measure should be avoidance. The proponent should avoid contact with or disturbance to each species, its habitat and/or its residence.
- Monitoring should be undertaken by the proponent to determine the effectiveness of mitigation and/or identify where further mitigation is required. As a minimum, this monitoring should include recording the locations and dates of any observations of Species at Risk, behaviour or actions taken by the animals when project activities were encountered, and any actions taken by the proponent to avoid contact or disturbance to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence. This information should be submitted to the appropriate regulators and organizations with management responsibility for that species, as requested
- For species primarily managed by the Territorial Government, the Territorial Government should be consulted to identify other appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to minimize effects to these species from the project.
- Mitigation and monitoring measures must be taken in a way that is consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action/management plans
- All mitigation measures identified by the proponent, and the additional measures suggested herein should be strictly adhered to in conducting project activities. This will require awareness on the part of the proponents' representatives (including contractors) conducting operations in the field. Environment Canada recommends that all field staff be made aware of the proponents' commitments to these mitigation measures and provided with appropriate advice/training on how to implement these measures.
- Implementation of these measures may help to reduce or eliminate some effects of the project on migratory birds and Species at Risk, but will not necessarily ensure that the proponent remains in compliance with the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, *Migratory Birds*

Regulations, and the *Species at Risk Act*. The proponent must ensure they remain in compliance during all phases and in all undertakings related to the project.

If there are any additional changes in the proposed project, EC should be notified, as further review may be necessary. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned with any questions or comments with regards to the foregoing at (867) 975-4631 or by email at Paula.C.Smith@ec.gc.ca.

Yours truly,



Paula C. Smith
Environmental Assessment Coordinator

cc: Carey Ogilvie (Head, Environmental Assessment-North, EPO, EC, Yellowknife, NT)
Ron Bujold (Environmental Assessment Technician, EPO, EC, Yellowknife, NT)
Allison Dunn (Sr. Environmental Assessment Coordinator, EPO, EC, Iqaluit, NU)