

## **Application for Water Licence Amendment**

Document Date: April 2013

Application Submission Date:

January/02/2017 Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369 kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT NUNAVUT WATER BOARD OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

## DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

## **DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS**

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document	June 2010
	from NWB Guide 7	
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows	May 2011
	to break across page	
(3)	New NWB logo; request for background information; and	April 2013
	change to Block 24	
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119

TEL: (867) 360-6338

FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5 GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

## APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE AMENDMENT

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance</u> of a Water Licence for more information about this application form.

Where possible, provide background information regarding the original licence application or attach previously submitted information.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: _1BR-ELR1419
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
■ Yes □ No
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. An amendment will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name: Director, Contaminated Sites Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada
Address: PO Box 2200 Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
Phone:(867) 975-4730
2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.
Name: Address:
Phone: Fax: e-mail:
(Attach authorization letter.)

3.	NAME OF PROJECT
Has the	name of the project changed?
	■ Yes □ No
If Yes, Monito	ndicate the name of the project including the name of the location: <del>Ennadai Lake Long Term</del> ng
4.	LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING
Does	ne proposed amendment change the location of the amended undertaking?
	☐ Yes ■ No
same waste	the project extents and camp locations. Identify proposed changes. The location of the site is the The project extents are provided below. However, all that remains on site is the non-hazardous andfill (NHWL). Since monitoring events are completed within a day, there is no requirement for a or long term monitoring.
Projec	Extents
NW: NE: SE: SW:	Latitude: (61°08'07" N) Longitude: (100°53'51" W) Latitude: (61°08'07" N) Longitude: (100°51'46" W) Latitude: (61°07'37" N) Longitude: (100°51'46" W) Latitude: (61°07'37" N) Longitude: (100°53'51" W)
Camp	ocation(s)
Latitu	e: ( ° ' "N) Longitude: ( ° ' "W)
5.	MAP
Does t	e proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?
	☐ Yes ■ No
	topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes. the Ennadai Lake Long Term Monitoring Plan (January 22, 2016).
NTS M	p Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:

	☐Yes	<b>■</b> No
	ndicate changes <del>The NHWL is on Crown Land</del> tion is complete	and a permit is no longer required now that
	ny of the following that are applicable to the prop header must be checked).	osed undertaking (at least one box under the
S	sub-surface	
	Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporate (expected date) of issuance:	
	Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs  Oate (expected date) of issuance:	
S	Surface	
	Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Date (expected date) of issuance:	
	Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitil	
	Oate (expected date) of issuance:	
	Oate (expected date) of issuance:	· · ·
	Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance:	Date of expiry:
	Other	
D	Pate (expected date) of issuance:	Date of expiry:
cence?	me of the entity(s) holding authorizations the sar Remediation is complete and a permit is not req use permit (now closed) and the existing water li	uired for monitoring. However, the entity hold
	<b>■</b> Yes	□No
No, a I	icence assignment must be completed and appro	oved by the NWB.
ame of	entity(s) holding authorizations:	

7.	NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION	(NPC) DETERMINATION
Indicate the land use planning area in which the existing project is located.		
	☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq	<ul><li>■ Keewatin</li><li>□ Sanikiluaq</li><li>□ West Kitikmeot</li></ul>
Does t	he proposed amendment change the lan	d use planning area?
		☐ Yes ■ No
If yes,	indicate the land use planning area in wh	nich the amended undertaking is located.
	<ul><li>☐ North Baffin</li><li>☐ South Baffin</li><li>☐ Akunniq</li></ul>	<ul><li>☐ Keewatin</li><li>☐ Sanikiluaq</li><li>☐ West Kitikmeot</li></ul>
Was a		required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water
		■ Yes □ No
<u>projec</u>	t conformed to the Keewatin Regional La	On December 12, 2013, NPC indicated that the remediation and Use Plan. See attached.  ginal NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?
Does	the proposed amendment change the on	Yes No
		_ =
If No, The promonitor	pposed amendment reduces the scope of	onfirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.  If the project from remediation to post-remediation  Informity determination is expected. Written confirmation
8.	NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD	(NIRB) DETERMINATION
Was a	screening determination required from N	NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
		☐ Yes ■ No
	, indicate date issued and attach copy <mark>(</mark> liation project may be processed without	On February 24, 2014, the NIRB indicated that the a review under Part 5 or 6.
Does tone?	the proposed amendment change the ori	ginal NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain
		☐ Yes ■ No
If No, The promited	roposed amendment reduces the scope of	onfirming that a screening determination is not required.  of the project from remediation to post-remediation screening determination is expected. Written confirmation

9.	DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING
Does t	the proposed amendment change the description of the undertaking?
	☐ Yes ■ No
	nd attach plans and drawings or project proposal. Identify proposed changes.
The E	nnadai Lake Long Term Monitoring Plan (January 22, 2016) is available on the NWB ftp site.
10.	OPTIONS
	the proposed amendment change any of the alternative methods and locations that were considered by out the project?
	☐ Yes ■ No
projec no alte	le a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the t. Identify proposed changes. Monitoring will take place at the former remediation site so there are ernative locations for monitoring. The methods considered will be in accordance with the Abandoned y Sites Remediation Protocol and the site specific long term monitoring plan.
11.	CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING
Indica boxe	ate the primary classification of undertaking for the existing licence by checking one of the following s:
	<ul> <li>Industrial</li></ul>
Does	the proposed amendment change the classification of primary undertaking?
	☐ Yes <b>■</b> No
If Yes	s, indicate the primary undertaking of the amendment: <u>Post-Remediation Monitoring</u>
	mation in accordance with applicable Supplemental Information Guidelines (SIG) must be updated and nitted with an Application for Amendment. Indicate which SIG(s) are applicable to your application.
None	Hydrostatic Testing Tannery Tourist / Remote Camp Landfarm & On-Site Storage of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration Drilling Mineral Exploration / Remote Camp Advanced Exploration Mine Development Municipal General Water Works Power

12. WATER USE
Indicate, using the boxes below, the types of water use(s) approved in the existing licence.
To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes To obtain water for industrial purposes To cross a watercourse To alter the flow of, or store water Other:
Does the proposed amendment change the type(s) of water use(s)?
■ Yes □ No
If Yes, indicate using the boxes below, the proposed change(s) to the type(s) of water use(s) noting any water use(s) that are to be added, continued, or removed.
<ul> <li>□ To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes</li> <li>□ To obtain water for industrial purposes</li> <li>□ To divert a watercourse</li> <li>□ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse</li> <li>□ To alter the flow of, or store water</li> <li>□ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse</li> <li>□ Flood control</li> </ul>
13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED
Does the proposed amendment change the source of water? ■ Yes □ No
Indicate the water source(s). Identify proposed changes:
The existing licence allows 6 m³/day from Ennadai Lake for camp and miscellaneous (construction) use. Water will no longer be collected from Ennadai Lake as there is no longer a requirement for water use for domestic or construction purposes. However, small volumes of water will be collected from monitoring wells that surround the non-hazardous waste landfill. Refer to the Ennadai Lake Long Term Monitoring Plan for location details INAC, 2016).  (show location(s) on map)
Does the proposed amendment change the quality of the water source and/or its available capacity?
☐ Yes ■ No
Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s). Identify any changes: <u>Groundwater will be monitored to determine quality. Groundwater will only be collected if water is available. Otherwise, nearby surface water may be collected to help determine the condition of the landfill.</u>
Does the proposed amendment change the overall quantity of water to be used?
■ Yes □ No
Provide the overall estimated quantity to be used. Identify proposed changes. : <u>less than 0.5</u> m³/day
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used from each source?
■ Yes □ No

Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source. Identify proposed changes:		
No more than 0.5 m <sup>3</sup> /day will be collected from the monitoring wells and/or surface water (no more than 0.1 m <sup>3</sup> /day from each source).		
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used for each purpose?		
■ Yes □ No		
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.). Identify proposed changes:		
<u>Less than 0.5 m<sup>3</sup> water/day is required for monitoring. No water will be collected for camp or industrial use.</u>		
Does the proposed amendment change the method(s) of extraction?		
■ Yes □ No		
Describe the method(s) of extraction. Identify proposed changes: Monitoring wells will be purged using a baler or pump using an extraction rate of 100mL/min or less. For surface water (if required), grab samples will be collected by immersing bottles into surface water.		
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s)?		
☐ Yes <b>■</b> No		
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s). Identify proposed changes. :0 m³/day		
Does the proposed amendment change the quality(s) of water returned to source(s)?		
☐ Yes <b>■</b> No		
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s). Identify any changes: _N/A		
14. WASTE		
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) approved in the existing licence.		
Sewage Solid Waste Greywater Hazardous Sludges Bulky Items/Scrap Metal Animal Waste Other (describe):  Waste oil Greywater Sludges Contaminated soil and/or water		
Does the proposed amendment change the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated or deposited?		
■ Yes □ No		

If Yes, indicate using the boxes below, the proposed change(s) to the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/or deposited noting the addition, removal or continued generation and/or disposal of waste(s).				
Sewage Solid Was Hazardous Bulky Item Animal Wa Other (des	s s/Scrap Metal aste	☐ Waste oil ☐ Greywater ☐ Sludges ☐ Contaminated	soil and/or water	
15. QUANTITY A	ND QUALITY OF WA	STE INVOLVED		
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e quantity(s) of the typ	es of wastes involve	d?
		Yes No		
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e composition(s) of the	e types of wastes inv	olved?
		Yes No		
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e method(s) of treatme	ent for the types of w	aste involved?
		Yes No		
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e method(s) of disposa	al for the types of wa	ste involved?
		■ Yes □ No		
If Yes to any of the above, describe the proposed changes: Only solid waste (i.e. packaging, etc.) will be generated on site. All waste will be brought back to the nearest community for disposal.  For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.				
Type of Waste Composition Quantity Treatment Disposal				
Solid Waste	Packaging, paper towels, paper, kimwipes, filters, Teflon tubing	Generated < 0.5 m <sup>3</sup>	Method No treatment required.	Method  All waste will be back- hauled off-site to a municipal landfill.

16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
Does the proposed amendment change the need for other authorizations in addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6? No other authorizations are required for long term monitoring activities.
☐ Yes <b>■</b> No
If Yes, indicate any additional authorizations required, which authorizations are no longer required, and which authorizations continue to be required.
For each provide the following:
Authorization:
Administering Agency:
Project Activity:
Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES
Does the proposed amendment change the predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking or the mitigation measures?
Yes □ No
Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste. Identify any changes. Long term monitoring activities have manifestly insignificant environmental impacts.
18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS
Was compensation paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any existing or other users of water during consideration of the existing licence?
☐ Yes <b>■</b> No
If Yes, provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use by those persons or properties.
Does the proposed amendment adversely affect any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature?
☐ Yes <b>■</b> No
If Yes, provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use of those persons or properties.
Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation has been reached with any existing or other water users with respect to the proposed amendment.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS
Was compensation paid/ or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any Designated Inuit Organization (DIO) during consideration of the existing licence?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, which DIO(s)
Does the proposed amendment substantially affect the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL)?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any
loss or damage has been reached with one or more DIO(s) with respect to the proposed amendment.
<b>20. CONSULTATION</b> - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.
The Consultation History for Ennadai Remediation Project are as follows:
Community Meeting in Arviat - December 11, 2012 to present the draft Remediation Action Plan
Elders' Site Visit – August 22, 2013 to show elders and leaders the site before remediation
Community Meetings in Arviat, Whale Cove, and Rankin Inlet – October 21-23, 2013 to provide a pre- remediation presentation
Elders' Site Visit – September 2, 2015 to show elders and leaders the site after remediation
Final Meetings in Whale Cove and Arviat – April 21-24, 2015 for project completion
21. SECURITY INFORMATION
Does the proposed amendment change the financial security assessment? N/A
☐ Yes ■ No
Does the proposed amendment change the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation? N/A
☐ Yes ■ No
Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation
liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.
liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting
liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.  Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for</i>
liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.  Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for</i>
liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.  Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for</i>

22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence? N/A
☐ Yes ☐ No
Provide an updated statement of financial security.
If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:
Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence? N/A
☐ Yes ☐ No
Provide a list of the officers of the company.
Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same? N/A
☐ Yes ☐ No
Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name.
23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE
List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.
Please find below plans and reports completed (in addition to those indicated in the original application):
Final Remedial Action Plan (February 2013) – to be submitted with the application Ennadai Lake Long Term Monitoring Plan (January 22, 2016) – on NWB ftp site 2016 Long Term Monitoring Report (February 3, 2017) - on NWB FTP site) 2014-2016 Annual Reports – on NWB ftp site
Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report.
N/A. There are no inspector's reports on file.
If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.

24.	PROPOSE	D TIME SCH	EDULE						
Whei	n are propose	ed amendmer	nts scheduled t	to be un	dertaken: Pos	t-remediation m	onitoring was initiated in		
			ng to its LTM p				omoning mac initiated in		
Does the proposed amendment change the time schedule considered in the existing licence for any phase of development?									
				Yes	s 🗌 No				
Indicate the start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure). Identify proposed changes.									
	Construction				D 10	1.00			
	Proposed S	Start Date:	(month/yea	ir)	Proposed Com	pletion Date:	(month/year)		
	Operation			,					
	Proposed S	Start Date:	(month/year)		Proposed Completion Date:		(month/year)		
	Closure	_	` ,	,					
	Proposed S	Start Date:	(month/yea	ır)	Proposed Com	pletion Date:	(month/year)		
	Post - Clos		,	,					
	Proposed S	Start Date:	2016 (month/yea		Proposed Com	pletion Date:	<u>2040</u> (month/year)		
For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.									
	Construction Winter	<u>on</u> ☐ Spring	Summer	☐ Fal	II ☐ All seaso	n			
	Operation  Winter	Spring	Summer	☐ Fal	II ☐ All seaso	n			
	Closure ☐ Winter	Spring	Summer	☐ Fal	II ☐ All seaso	n			
	Post - Clos Winter	ure Spring	Summer	<b>■</b> Fal	II ☐ All seaso	n			

25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE								
On what date does the existing licence expire? _March 30, 2019								
Is the Licensee applying for a combined renewal and amendment of the existing licence?								
■ Yes □ No								
If Yes, indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years): 23 years								
Requested date of renewal issuance: <u>2018</u>								
(The requested date of renewal issuance must be <u>at least</u> three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and <u>at least</u> one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's <i>Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications</i> for more information)								
26. ANNUAL REPORTING								
Will the proposed amendment change the content of annual reports or the annual report template?								
■ Yes □ No								
If Yes, provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report.								
The requirements under Part B, Section 1 should be amended to be consistent with the proposed appurtenant undertaking. The requirements should be consistent with other licences for long term monitoring activities.								

27.	CHECKLIST							
The following must be included with the application for Amendment for the water licensing process to begin.								
	Completed Application for Water Licence Amendment form.							
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected					
	Information addressing Supplement Information Guideline (SIG), where applicable (see Bloc							
	Yes	No No	If no, date expected N/A					
	Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).							
	Yes	No	If no, date expected N/A					
	Indication of Renewal Requirement (see Block 26)							
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected					
	English Summary of Amendment Application.							
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected					
	Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Amendment Application.							
	Yes	No	If no, date expected As soon as available					
	Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).							
	Yes	No No	If no, date expected N/A					
	Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.							
	☐ Yes	■ No	If no, date expected N/A					
28.	SIGNATURE	<u> </u>						
Charlotte Lamontagne		Director, Contai Sites	minated Ambo					
Name (Print)		Title (Prin	Signature Date					