

Robin Ikkutisluk < robin.ikkutisluk@nwb-oen.ca>

[Licensing] RE: NIRB 14QN031/12XN023 - follow-up to phone call Re NWB File No 1BR-FTA (landfarming)

3 messages

Heather Rasmussen hrasmussen@nirb.ca

Tue, Aug 5, 2014 at 9:03 AM

To: Karén Kharatyan <karen.kharatyan@nwb-oen.ca>

Cc: "Molinski, Michael" <Michael.Molinski@tc.gc.ca>, "Natalie Robinson (Cal)" <Natalie.Robinson@pwgsctpsgc.gc.ca>, phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca

Hi Karén,

Thank-you for the follow-up. After speaking with Natalie Robinson at Public Works last week, I was informed that the initial landfarm design was based on a two cell design (one for soil excavated at the Apron and the second for soil to be excavated from the fire training area). For internal project purposes the Proponent and contractors have been referring to each cell as its own landfarm while it is a two cell design for a single landfarm. I've Cc'd both Natalie and Mike Molinski from Transport Canada if you need to do any follow-up with them.

Regards,

Heather

Heather Rasmussen, MEnv-EA

Technical Advisor

Nunavut Impact Review Board

P.O. Box 1360 (29 Mitik St)

Cambridge Bay, NU XOB OCO

Ph: 867.983.4606

Toll-free: 1.866.233.3033

Fax: 867.983.2594

http://ftp.nirb.ca

8/27/2014

From: Karén Kharatyan [mailto:karen.kharatyan@nwb-oen.ca]

Sent: July-29-14 1:31 PM **To:** Heather Rasmussen

Subject: Re: NIRB 14QN031/12XN023 - follow-up to phone call Re NWB File No 1BR-FTA (landfarming)

Hi Heather,

The original Licence 1BR-FTA1217 was issued for landfarming of contaminated soils from Firefighters and Apron area in Cambridge Bay airport. If the Licencee'ss intention is to construct a new landfarm, it would probably require an amendment to the licence to reflect the additional landfarming information. If they want to upgrade the facility it may be completed without an amendment, just under modification. However, even for the modification they should be submitting a modification request to the Board.

I hope it helps,



On Tue, Jul 29, 2014 at 11:56 AM, Heather Rasmussen hrasmussen@nirb.ca> wrote:

Hi Karen,

I'm just following up on our phone conversation regarding the NWB File No. 1BR-FTA. As the NIRB wrote to Phyllis on July 24, 2014 (e-mail attached):

On July 7, 2014 the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) received Uplogiag Inc.'s "Quarry" project proposal from Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC). NIRB File No. 14QN031, AANDC File No. N2014Q0014).

In their non-technical summary the Proponent indicated that they would be carrying out landfarming operations at https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=91092c3419&view=pt&search=inbox&th=147a6b2d156ed115&siml=147a6b2d156ed115&siml=147a6b2d156ed115 the Cambridge Bay Airport to remediate hydrocarbon contaminated soils on behalf of Transport Canada (TC). The landfarming activities were previously screened under NIRB File No. 12XN023: Transport Canada's "Cambridge Bay Airport Remediation" project proposal. This screening was triggered by the NWB (NWB File No. 1BR-FTA).

It has come to our attention that the proponent may be adding a second landfarm. The NIRB would like to know if the addition of a second landfarm to their project would be significant enough to warrant and amendment to their current water licence.

Per our conversation, it is my understanding that the Proponent included one (1) landfarm in its remediation plan under File No. 1BR-FTA. Further, if the Proponent is proposing to construct either a second landfarm or upgrade an existing one already constructed under the NWB licence, an amendment to the current licence might be required (and should be applied for) and terms and conditions may be added under the current water licence.

Can you confirm if my understanding is correct?

Thank-you,

Heather

Heather Rasmussen, MEnv-EA

Technical Advisor

Nunavut Impact Review Board

P.O. Box 1360 (29 Mitik St)

Cambridge Bay, NU XOB OCO

Ph: 867.983.4606

Toll-free: 1.866.233.3033

Fax: 867.983.2594

http://ftp.nirb.ca

Tue, Aug 5, 2014 at 9:58 AM

To: Heather Rasmussen hrasmussen@nirb.ca

Cc: "Molinski, Michael" < Michael. Molinski@tc.gc.ca>, "Natalie Robinson (Cal)" < Natalie. Robinson@pwgsctpsgc.gc.ca>, Phyllis Beaulieu <phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca>

Hi Heather,

Thank you for following up with this. I am currently working remotely, and it may take some time to check the 1BR-FTA original landfarm designs.

However, I guess it is ok if the TC believes that they are in the scope of licensed activities. If they are out of scope it could be bring forward with the AANDC inspection.

Regards,



[Quoted text hidden]

Natalie Robinson (Cal) <Natalie.Robinson@pwgsc-tpsgc.gc.ca>

Tue, Aug 5, 2014 at 10:43 AM

To: Heather Rasmussen hrasmussen@nirb.ca/, Karén Kharatyan karén Kharatyan https://karen.kharatyan@nwb-oen.ca/ Cc: "Molinski, Michael" < Michael. Molinski@tc.qc.ca>, "phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca" < phyllis.beaulieu@nwboen.ca>

Hi Heather,

Based on my discussions with my client, Transport Canada indicates that we are in scope for licensed activities and no further action is required on our part.

Natalie

From: Heather Rasmussen [mailto:hrasmussen@nirb.ca]

Sent: August-05-14 9:04 AM

To: 'Karén Kharatyan'

Cc: 'Molinski, Michael'; Natalie Robinson (Cal); phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca

Subject: RE: NIRB 14QN031/12XN023 - follow-up to phone call Re NWB File No 1BR-FTA (landfarming)

Hi Karén,

Thank-you for the follow-up. After speaking with Natalie Robinson at Public Works last week, I was

informed that the initial landfarm design was based on a two cell design (one for soil excavated at the Apron and the second for soil to be excavated from the fire training area). For internal project purposes the Proponent and contractors have been referring to each cell as its own landfarm while it is a two cell design for a single landfarm. I've Cc'd both Natalie and Mike Molinski from Transport Canada if you need to do any follow-up with them.

Regards,

Heather

Heather Rasmussen, MEnv-EA

Technical Advisor

Nunavut Impact Review Board

P.O. Box 1360 (29 Mitik St)

Cambridge Bay, NU XOB OCO

Ph: 867.983.4606

Toll-free: 1.866.233.3033

Fax: 867.983.2594

http://ftp.nirb.ca

From: Karén Kharatyan [mailto:karen.kharatyan@nwb-oen.ca]

Sent: July-29-14 1:31 PM **To:** Heather Rasmussen

Subject: Re: NIRB 14QN031/12XN023 - follow-up to phone call Re NWB File No 1BR-FTA (landfarming)

Hi Heather.

The original Licence 1BR-FTA1217 was issued for landfarming of contaminated soils from Firefighters and Apron area in Cambridge Bay airport. If the Licencee'ss intention is to construct a new landfarm, it would probably require an amendment to the licence to reflect the additional landfarming information. If they want to upgrade the facility it may be completed without an amendment, just under modification. However, even for the modification they should be submitting a modification request to the Board.

I hope it helps,

Image removed by sender.

On Tue, Jul 29, 2014 at 11:56 AM, Heather Rasmussen hrasmussen@nirb.ca wrote: Hi Karen,

I'm just following up on our phone conversation regarding the NWB File No. 1BR-FTA. As the NIRB wrote to Phyllis on July 24, 2014 (e-mail attached):

On July 7, 2014 the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) received Uplogiag Inc.'s "Quarry" project proposal from Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC), NIRB File No. 14QN031, AANDC File No. N2014Q0014).

In their non-technical summary the Proponent indicated that they would be carrying out landfarming operations at the Cambridge Bay Airport to remediate hydrocarbon contaminated soils on behalf of Transport Canada (TC). The landfarming activities were previously screened under NIRB File No. 12XN023: Transport Canada's "Cambridge Bay Airport Remediation" project proposal. This screening was triggered by the NWB (NWB File No. 1BR-FTA).

It has come to our attention that the proponent may be adding a second landfarm. The NIRB would like to know if the addition of a second landfarm to their project would be significant enough to warrant and amendment to their current water licence.

Per our conversation, it is my understanding that the Proponent included one (1) landfarm in its remediation plan under File No. 1BR-FTA. Further, if the Proponent is proposing to construct either a second landfarm or upgrade an existing one already constructed under the NWB licence, an amendment to the current licence might be required (and should be applied for) and terms and conditions may be added under the current water licence.

Can you confirm if my understanding is correct?

Thank-you,

Heather

Heather Rasmussen, MEnv-EA

Technical Advisor

Nunavut Impact Review Board

P.O. Box 1360 (29 Mitik St)

Cambridge Bay, NU XOB OCO

Ph: 867.983.4606

Toll-free: 1.866.233.3033

Fax: 867.983.2594

http://ftp.nirb.ca

~WRD000.jpg 1K