

# **Project Description and Environmental Screening Report for the Clean Up of FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line Site**

Prepared by:

**UMA Engineering Ltd. on Behalf of Defence Construction Canada (for the  
Department of National Defence)**

**January 2003**

**File: FOX-M 3.6**

Table of Contents	Page No.
1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	1.1
1.1 Proponent Identification Information .....	1.1
1.2 Lead Authorizing Agencies .....	1.1
1.3 List of Approvals, Permits and Licences Required .....	1.2
1.4 List of Previous Environmental Assessments.....	1.3
1.5 Environmental Assessment Process.....	1.3
1.5.1 Scoping.....	1.4
1.5.2 Assessment of Environmental Effects.....	1.6
1.5.3 Identification of Mitigation Options .....	1.7
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	2.1
2.1 Type of Activity .....	2.1
2.2 Summary of Operation.....	2.1
2.3 Preferred Options.....	2.2
2.3.1 Rationale for the Project and Primary Goals.....	2.2
2.3.2 Evaluation of Alternatives to the Project.....	2.3
2.4 Project Location .....	2.4
2.5 Schedule .....	2.5
3. PROJECT PLANNING .....	3.1
3.1 Initial Investigations and Planning.....	3.1
3.2 DEW Line Clean Up Protocol.....	3.2
3.2.1 Protocol Development .....	3.3
3.2.2 Criteria.....	3.3
3.2.3 Environmental Working Group.....	3.4
3.3 Investigation and Delineation.....	3.4
3.4 Disposal Requirements.....	3.5
3.4.1 Tier I Contaminated Soil.....	3.5
3.4.2 Tier II Contaminated Soil.....	3.5
3.4.3 CEPA Contaminated Soil.....	3.6
3.4.4 Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil .....	3.6
3.5 Due Diligence Work .....	3.7
3.6 Inclusion of Traditional Knowledge.....	3.8
4. PROJECT DESIGN - ENGINEERING.....	4.1

## Table of Contents

## Page No.

4.1.	Proposed Construction.....	4.1
4.1.1	Non-Hazardous Waste (NHW) Landfill.....	4.1
4.1.2	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility .....	4.2
4.1.3	Hydrocarbon Soil Treatment Facility .....	4.4
4.2	Development of Borrow Sources .....	4.6
4.3	Closure of the East Beach Landfill .....	4.6
4.3.1	Description .....	4.7
4.3.2	Remediation Plan.....	4.8
4.4	Site Debris .....	4.9
4.4.1	Debris Excavation .....	4.9
4.5	Disposal of Contaminated Soils .....	4.10
4.5.1	Removal of Hazardous Materials .....	4.13
4.6	Demolition of Facilities .....	4.13
4.7	Transportation of Hazardous Materials Offsite.....	4.15
4.8	Regrading .....	4.15
4.9	Contractor Support Activities.....	4.15
4.10	Future Activities.....	4.16
5.	PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION .....	5.1
5.1	Site Access and Transportation Methods .....	5.1
5.2	Environmental Protection and Contingency Plans .....	5.1
5.2.1	Environmental Protection Plan.....	5.1
5.2.2	Contingency Plans .....	5.2
5.3	Clean Up Contract.....	5.2
6.	DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT.....	6.1
6.1	General Geology.....	6.1
6.2	General Hydrology.....	6.2
6.3	Flora.....	6.3
6.4	Fauna .....	6.3
6.4.1	Terrestrial Mammals.....	6.3
6.4.2	Marine Mammals .....	6.4
6.4.3	Avifauna.....	6.5
6.4.4	Fish .....	6.5
6.5	Heritage Resources.....	6.5

Table of Contents	Page No.
6.6 Socio-Economic Setting.....	6.6
6.7 Native Land Use.....	6.6
6.8 Government Land Use.....	6.6
6.9 Valued Ecosystem Components.....	6.7
6.9.1 Physical.....	6.7
6.9.2 Biological.....	6.7
6.9.3 Socio-economic.....	6.7
6.9.4 Archaeological, Historical and Cultural.....	6.7
7. IDENTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	7.1
7.1 Environmental Effects.....	7.1
7.1.1 Value Ecosystem Components vs Project Components.....	7.1
7.1.2 Impact of the Environment on Project.....	7.5
8. IDENTIFICATION OF CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.....	8.1
8.1 Analysis of Cumulative Environmental Effects.....	8.1
8.1.1 Scoping.....	8.1
8.1.2 Analysis of Effects.....	8.2
8.1.3 Mitigation Measures.....	8.2
8.1.4 Significance.....	8.2
8.2 Identification of Mitigation Measures and Residual Impacts.....	8.2
9. PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS.....	9.1
9.1 Initial Public Consultation.....	9.1
9.1.1 1992 Program.....	9.2
9.1.2 1993 Program.....	9.3
9.1.3 1994 Program.....	9.4
9.1.4 1996 Program.....	9.4
9.1.5 1997 Program.....	9.4
9.1.6 Pre-Construction Public Consultation.....	9.5
9.2 DND/NTI Project Review Committee.....	9.6
10. ABANDONMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING PLAN.....	10.1
11. MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE PLANS.....	11.1
11.1 Monitoring in Relation to the Environmental Assessment.....	11.1

Defence Construction Canada  
Project Description and Environmental Screening Report  
for the Clean Up of FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line Site

Table of Contents	Page No.
11.2 Monitoring in Relation to Environmental Objectives .....	11.1
12. INFORMATION SOURCES.....	12.1

## **1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

### **1.1 Proponent Identification Information**

Defence Construction Canada  
(on behalf of the Director General Environment, Department of National Defence)  
Place de Ville, Tower B  
112 Kent Street, 17th Floor  
Ottawa, ON K1A 0K3

#### **Contact Regarding this Submission**

Defence Construction Canada:

- DEW Line Clean Up Project Manager: Lt. Col. Daniel Paquet, (T) 613-998-4583/ (F) 613-998-1061
- DEW Line Clean Up Environmental Officer: Scott Hamilton, (T) 613-998-4583/(F) 613-998-1061

UMA Engineering Ltd.:

- Eva Schulz, (T) 403-270-9200/(F) 403-270-0399

### **1.2 Lead Authorizing Agencies**

The lead agency for this project is the Department of National Defence, represented by the Director General Environment. The management of this project is being provided by Defence Construction Canada. These agencies will be responsible for obtaining permits except in those cases where the clean up contractor is required to do so by legislation.

### 1.3 List of Approvals, Permits and Licences Required

The following is a list of permits required for the clean up of the FOX-M site:

**Land Use Permit:** As per the Territorial Land Use Act and Territorial Land Use Regulations, a Class A permit, issued by the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC), will be required for the activities associated with the clean up of FOX-M. Contact: INAC, Land Administration, Iqaluit, NU, (867) 975-4283.

**Quarry Permit:** A Quarry Permit issued by the Department of Community, Government and Transportation, Government of Nunavut (GN) will be required for the extraction of granular material within the municipal boundaries required for the clean up. Contact: Adule Chris, GNU, (867) 897-3621.

**Water Use License:** As per the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Act, a water use license, issued by the Nunavut Water Board, will be required for camp operations and construction activities associated with the clean up of the FOX-M site. Contact: Nunavut Water Board, Gjoa Haven, NU, (867) 360-6338.

In addition, the successful contractor may require a number of other permits or licences. These permits or licences pertain to the operation and maintenance of the contractor's camp or owing to his/her status as an employer. Examples of these permits include those related to the possession of firearms, day-to-day camp operation and federal/territorial labour codes.

There is no requirement anticipated for either the project management office or the contractor to obtain research or archaeological permits, as scientific or archaeological research activities in support of the clean up requiring such permits has been completed.

## **1.4 List of Previous Environmental Assessments**

As a federal proponent, the Department of National Defence is required to conduct an environmental assessment for the clean up of each DEW Line site. As a planning tool, the assessments were drafted in 1994 by the Department of National Defence under the auspices of the Environmental Review Process Guidelines Order. These assessments have been preceded by extensive on-site environmental and engineering investigations completed by the Environmental Sciences Group (ESG) at Royal Military College and UMA Engineering Ltd. (UMA). The initial investigations which took place from 1989 to 1994, were used to provide a baseline study of the existing environment (both biological and physical) and ecological pathways and possible transport mechanisms that will exist during the clean up. As well, studies of socio-economic aspects, in particular a detailed archaeological survey of the sites, were completed during this time.

Subsequent changes to overall project planning have been assessed over time and the assessment document updated. The Environmental Screening Report was updated for 14 of the 15 DEW Line sites in Nunavut (Project Management Office DEW Line Clean Up, 1998). This report was submitted with the Project Description of the 15 DEW Line sites in the Nunavut Settlement Area to the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) in June 1998 (Project Management Office DEW Line Clean Up, 1998b).

In 1997, 2000 and 2001, further investigations were conducted to delineate contaminated areas and obtain environmental and engineering information required to finalize the clean up plans. This information has been reviewed and the environmental screening report updated to include relevant new information.

## **1.5 Environmental Assessment Process**

The environmental assessment, undertaken under Environmental Assessment and Review Process Guidelines Order (EARPGO) and updated in accordance with the requirements of the Canadian



Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA) in support of this project has used a process in which potential environmental impacts are assessed on valued ecosystem components identified during the initial scoping exercise.

The following sections provide a summary of the activities that were undertaken in conducting this environmental assessment:

### 1.5.1 Scoping

As a self-directed environmental assessment, the initial step was to conduct a series of social and ecological scoping exercises designed to:

- Determine the temporal and spatial boundaries of the assessment; and
- Focus the analysis on the environmental issues directly related to the clean up project itself (i.e. identification of valued ecosystem components).

In scoping the project, clean up activities to be assessed were identified. Possible additional activities were examined using the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency's "Principal Project/Accessory" test, which is used to determine if other activities demonstrate an interdependence, linkage and/or geographical/ecological proximity with the primary clean up.

The assessment scope included a determination of the environmental effects to be assessed and the effects that are to be considered in making decisions regarding the project. The following is an outline of the scope of the project and of the assessment:

**Project:** Clean Up of the FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line Site

**EA Trigger:** Funding from Department of National Defence

**Scope of the project - principal project:** physical clean up of the FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line site.

**Accessory physical works:** Demolition of facilities, removal of waste materials (including hazardous), and contaminated soil, debris disposal, mobilization and demobilization of contractor's equipment and personnel.

**Other undertakings in relation to the physical work:** None.

**Scope of the assessment:** The environmental assessment is to consider the effects of all project related activities (i.e., those related to the clean up of the site) and associated physical works on both biophysical (terrestrial, aquatic) and socio-economic assessment factors.

The following factors were identified for assessment:

- Evaluation of environmental effects of the project, including those relating to cumulative effects that are likely to result from carrying out this project.
- Project undertakings performed in conjunction with other offsite projects/activities that have been or will be carried out.
- The relative levels of significance.
- Public comments.
- Mitigation measures deemed to be technically and economically feasible.

Interested parties were identified and consulted during the development of the project definition process including:

Defence Construction Canada  
Project Description and Environmental Screening Report  
For the Clean Up of FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line Site

- 'Expert' federal departments (Environment Canada, GNWT Natural Resources, GNWT Health, DIAND);
- 'Other' federal departments (DND, Defence Construction Canada, Parks Canada);
- Aboriginal organizations (Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated, Nunavut Planning Commission) and the Regional Inuit Association; and
- Community leadership of the elders from Hall Beach, and the general public.

Section 9 of this report provides a detailed outline of the Public Consultation process.

### **1.5.2 Assessment of Environmental Effects**

The initial step following the scoping exercise was to determine the possible environmental effects of the project. This assessment involves providing a detailed overview of the project, a description of the existing environment (including inventories and ecological processes), and the identification of project-environment interactions.

The aim of describing the project was to clearly outline the constituent components and activities that were to occur on each of the DEW Line sites. Activities include mobilization, project layout and design, plans and scheduling, specifics related to each of the activities (i.e., how would contaminated soil be identified, excavated, transported and disposed of), operating procedures, control procedures and demobilization plans. Detailed data concerning each of the activities (i.e., material volumes) were included with this description.

During the scientific studies described above, the site teams collected the relevant information concerning the existing environment components of the study area. This information included a description of the physical, biological and social characteristics of the study area.

Using the information that was obtained on the project and the existing environmental setting, the assessment study determined interactive links between these two components. Particular concern was focused on the location, sensitivity, seasonal presence and abundance of these components. Also included in the assessment of environmental effects were possible impacts relating to socio-economic factors (heritage, culture, archaeological, employment and business opportunities), land use and human health.

During the assessment stage, conclusions were made as to the type of impact (i.e. level of adversity) and its level of significance, based on scientific judgement and comments received during public consultation sessions.

### **1.5.3 Identification of Mitigation Options**

The third stage of the assessment process was to undertake the identification of mitigation measures that would result in a reduction or elimination of potential environmental effects associated with the clean up of each of the sites. In the case of this project, all potential adverse effects were addressed, not simply those deemed to be significantly adverse. Mitigative actions now form part of the overall project design and planning documentation, which resulted in the preparation of an Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) in Appendix II. The requirement for on-site personnel to adhere to these mitigative measures is contractual in nature as the EPP forms part of the clean up construction contract.

## **2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The project description is the clean up of the former FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line site.

### **2.1 Type of Activity**

Construction activities in support of the environmental clean up of the FOX-M site.

### **2.2 Summary of Operation**

From 1955 to 1993, the Distant Early Warning System - the DEW Line - provided radar surveillance of the northern approaches to the North American continent. This now inactive chain of radar stations, at approximately 70 north degrees latitude, stretches several thousand kilometres across the Arctic. In Canada, the DEW Line originally consisted of 42 sites but was reduced to half this number in 1963. The 21 sites decommissioned in the 1960's are now the responsibility of the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC).

In March 1985, Canada and the United States agreed to modernize the North American Air Defence System by closing the remaining 21 DND DEW Line sites (6 in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region and 15 in the Nunavut Settlement Area), and building the North Warning System (NWS). The DEW Line Clean Up (DLCU) focuses on closing out the former DEW Line sites, including the remediation of chemically contaminated soils, the stabilization of landfill areas and the demolition/disposal of surplus infrastructure and debris. A monitoring program will be carried out after the clean up has been completed.

## **2.3 Preferred Options**

### **2.3.1 Rationale for the Project and Primary Goals**

The process of biomagnification is defined as positively sloped variation in concentrations through increasingly higher trophic levels of the food chain. The process of biomagnification is particularly important in Arctic organisms, where, as a result of their dependency on a high fat content in their diets, are extremely sensitive to contamination inputs, especially chlorinated contaminants such as PCBs. Given the nature of the Arctic ecosystems, it is important that past anthropogenic activities, such as the operation of the DEW Line, not continue to cause any significantly adverse effects on any one level of the Arctic food chain. Specifically:

- The limited availability of species at any given trophic level leaves little opportunity for another species to offset the effects of the loss of another.
- Negative biological effects (i.e. plant loss) may lead to physical disturbances, such as damage to permafrost.
- These sites pose a risk to human and animal health and safety through the presence of physical hazards.

The aim of the DEW Line Clean Up Project is to decommission those facilities used by the former DEW Line, which have been declared surplus to the requirements of the new North Warning System, and to restore the sites to an environmentally safe condition. Environmental restoration includes the setting of remediation objectives that are designed to preclude the continued migration of contamination (and hence biomagnification) into the Arctic ecosystem/food chain. To accomplish this, remediation will include:

- The excavation of soils in cases where parameters exceed those that have been set for the project (i.e. believed to cause significant input into the lower levels of the food chain, for example, higher plants and detritus); and
- The remediation of landfills, which may serve as a source of water contamination and may enter the lower levels of the marine food chain (i.e. algae).

In anticipation of the closeout of the existing DEW Line system, DND sponsored a five-year environmental and engineering study of the 15 DND DEW Line sites in Nunavut (UMA, 1991 and ESG, 1993). The purpose of this study was to ascertain the baseline condition and to propose realistic clean up objectives and strategies. The protection of the food chain from DEW Line contaminants was established as the aim of the clean up. These studies confirmed that physical restoration would involve considerable quantities of materials such as gravel and demolition items, including limited quantities of hazardous materials such as waste oil, batteries and asbestos. Conclusions reached by independent analyses indicated that inorganic elements (principally copper, lead and zinc) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB's) pose the greatest threat to the biophysical environment.

### **2.3.2 Evaluation of Alternatives to the Project**

The DEW Line facilities at these locations are no longer required by the Department of National Defence. Therefore, they pose both a safety hazard and a potential long-term source of contaminant input to the sensitive Arctic environment and, as such, must undergo a clean up process that will preclude further input into the environment and the food chain.

As a project strictly dedicated to the clean up of these military establishments, the range of alternatives to this project is limited in nature. Two alternatives to the clean up of these sites that can be identified have been rejected or implemented in a limited fashion based on either military operational requirements and/or environmental reasons. The two alternatives are as follows:

**Commercial or other government use of the facilities:** This alternative involves the sale of those facilities no longer required by the Department of National Defence to commercial interests. Two possibilities are present, namely on-site commercial development or sale of the capital assets themselves and movement off-site. The federal government's continuing operational requirements of these sites (i.e. most sites remain part of the North Warning System) preclude the on-site option from being followed.

**No clean up action (Null alternative):** The second alternative involved examining the environmental impact of maintaining the status quo at the sites. It was quickly realized that failure to address the environmental problems identified during the site investigations could lead to the following:

- Placing the Arctic environment/food chain at risk;
- Possible future legal liabilities for the federal government; and
- Greater clean up costs in the future.

**DEW Line Clean Up:** This alternative involves cleaning up the sites to the criteria established as the DEW Line Clean Up Protocol and agreed to in the DND-NTI Cooperation Agreement, Environmental Provisions. The clean up includes remediation of contaminated soil, remediation of landfills, removal of debris, demolition of surplus buildings and facilities and regrading of the site to as natural a state as practical. The clean up objectives in the agreement are considered to be protective of human health and the environment. As such, this is the preferred clean up alternative.

## 2.4 Project Location

FOX-M is 1 of the 21 DND DEW Line sites to be cleaned up under the DLCU Project. Figure 1 in Appendix III is an NTS Map segment showing the location of the FOX-M site. FOX-M (68° 45' N, 81° 11' W) is on the eastern side of the Melville Peninsula in the Foxe Basin area of the Nunavut



Territory. The station is adjacent to the shoreline on the eastern side of the peninsula and forms part of the community of Hall Beach.

## **2.5 Schedule**

FOX-M underwent detailed site investigations in 1997, 2000 and 2001, and is scheduled for clean up beginning in 2003 as part of the DLCU Project, with completion expected in 2007. The contractor will mobilize the site in July 2003, by barge or sealift and set up a temporary construction camp.

- Clean up activities are expected to continue through to 2007, depending on the contractor's approach and weather conditions.
- The expected duration of annual clean up activities on-site will be from July to October. During the winter months, work will cease and equipment and facilities on-site will be winterized. It is expected that the contractor's workforce and accessory personnel will mobilize to and from the site from Hall Beach and other nearby northern communities.
- Completion of the clean up and demobilization of the contractor's facilities and equipment is anticipated for October 2007.
- Long term monitoring of the landfills will begin upon completion of clean up (2008) and will continue for a 25-year period. After 25 years, the monitoring requirements will be re-evaluated.

### 3. PROJECT PLANNING

#### 3.1 Initial Investigations and Planning

During the radar upgrade program in the early 1990's, prior to the start of the DEW Line Clean up, a number of environmental and engineering investigations were conducted at the DEW Line sites. The objectives of these studies were as follows:

- To identify the nature and extent of chemical and other types of contamination at the sites;
- To determine the possible impact of these contaminants on the Arctic ecosystem, particularly to the food chain; and
- To develop practical environmental clean up strategies appropriate for the Arctic.

The investigations included:

**An initial environmental clean up study of the DEW Line sites in Canada carried out on behalf of the United States Air Force by a consortium of Canadian consultants:** The objectives for this study included: identifying and investigating areas impacted by past waste disposal practices and spills; determining and evaluating remediation alternatives for the waste disposal and spill area, and developing disposal options for debris arising from the demolition of DEW Line structures. This study provided information on the presence of hazardous materials, the biophysical environment, facility details, and the existing landfills.

**An environmental study of 10 of the 21 sites carried out in 1989/90 by the Environmental Sciences Group (ESG) at Royal Roads Military College for the Canadian Department of National Defence:** This study provided a detailed physical and chemical inventory of the stations and considered the impact of chemical contaminants on the Arctic ecosystem. This study provided

information on the debris found on-site, contents of landfills, fuel spills and patterns of contaminant dispersal and impact from use of 205 litre drums.

Two studies designed to assess the impact of the historically common practice of disposing debris into the ocean through the ice were carried out in 1994 and 1995 by a consortium of several Canadian government departments. These studies concluded that there were no significant chemical effects arising from the presence of debris on the ocean floor.

During the final site investigations conducted in 1996 at BAR-3, Tuktoyaktuk and PIN-M, and Cape Parry, the DND investigation team discovered that the paint on many of the buildings contained PCBs in excess of 50 ppm. Materials containing such concentrations of PCBs are regulated under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act. Currently, this material cannot be placed in a landfill, and the PCB painted demolition materials are being packaged and transported to a southern disposal facility for destruction. Prior to transport, PCB painted demolition waste is being stored temporarily at the clean up sites in accordance with the Storage of PCB Materials Regulations.

### **3.2 DEW Line Clean Up Protocol**

The purpose of the DEW Line Clean Up project is to:

- Demolish and remove existing facilities that are not required for the operation of the North Warning System;
- Remove contaminated soils from the Arctic food chain;
- Stabilize existing landfills;
- Clean up surface debris; and
- Physically restore the site to as natural a state as practical.

### **3.2.1 Protocol Development**

In cooperation with several federal departments (Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans, Indian and Northern Affairs) and the Government of the Northwest Territories (Renewable Resources and Health Departments), DND initially drafted the General Protocol for DEW Line Clean Up in 1991. This protocol served as the basis for the DND/NTI Agreement signed September 1998 on environmental provisions for the clean up of these sites (Appendix VII). At the time of implementation there were no established environmental standards for the Arctic. As a result, existing federal guidelines, such as the Interim Canadian Environmental Quality Criteria for Contaminated Sites (1991), were modified to reflect both the sensitivity of the Arctic food chain to ecological processes such as biomagnification and the close dependence of the Inuit on the land for food. In addition, a barrel specific protocol (Appendix V) has been prepared that outlines the process for dealing with barrels and barrel contents found on the DEW Line sites.

### **3.2.2 Criteria**

The protocol outlined in the DND/NTI Agreement was developed from the results of the biophysical, socio-economic, and engineering site assessments (mediated through the DND/NTI Environmental Working Group - EWG). The DEW Line Clean Up Protocol documents contaminant clean up criteria and specific physical actions that are to be undertaken, specific to the DEW Line sites. These criteria have been developed based on existing federal and provincial criteria in conjunction with studies that show the functional relationships and/or pathways for biological uptake from soil. The resulting protocol defines two concentration tiers of soil contamination. Soil substrates containing Tier I concentrations may be placed in an appropriate on-site landfill, while those soils in excess of the Tier II standard are to be disposed of in a manner that provides additional measures to segregate these contaminants from the Arctic ecosystem. Soils exceeding federal legislative limits (i.e., Canadian Environmental Protection Act and Chlorobiphenyl Regulations) will be disposed of off-site at a licensed disposal or destruction facility.

### **3.2.3 Environmental Working Group**

In 1997, the Department of National Defence and Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI) agreed to form an Environmental Working Group (EWG). The EWG is comprised of scientific and technical experts representing both the Inuit (NTI) and DND. The purpose of the EWG is to examine environmental issues related to the DEW Line Clean Up Project and to provide recommendations to a joint DND/NTI core group consisting of senior management from both organizations. Specific tasks that have been assigned to the EWG include:

- Development of a landfill risk evaluation matrix;
- Evaluation of, and recommendations for, a post-construction/remediation landfill monitoring program;
- Identification of hydrocarbon clean up requirements;
- Establishment of confirmatory testing protocols; and
- Preparation of a list of items suitable for landfilling at the DEW Line sites.

### **3.3 Investigation and Delineation**

Prior to the clean up of each site, the Department of National Defence undertakes a final site assessment. The aim of the site visits is several-fold, including:

- To fully delineate the extent of contaminated areas in order to prepare accurate construction drawings;
- To confirm the structural and environmental status of buildings and other facilities that are to be demolished;
- To re-confirm the baseline environmental conditions at the site prior to implementation;

- To examine existing landfills and identify new landfills to confirm details pertaining to the required remediation strategy; and
- To identify areas suitable for the construction of a Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill and a Tier II Soil Disposal Facility.

The initial site investigation at FOX-M was carried out in 1992. The final environmental and engineering investigations were conducted in 1997, 2000 and 2001.

### **3.4 Disposal Requirements**

#### **3.4.1 Tier I Contaminated Soil**

Soils exceeding Tier I contamination criteria but not classified as Tier II contaminated soil are not considered to pose a leachate risk and may be disposed of in an on-site, non-hazardous waste (NHW) landfill. NHW landfills are also used to dispose of non-hazardous site debris and demolition materials. Typical construction of a NHW landfill consists of gravel perimeter berms surrounding layers of interbedded waste and intermediate cover soil. A layer of granular material, minimum 1 metre thick, is placed as final cover for the landfill and graded to promote positive drainage.

#### **3.4.2 Tier II Contaminated Soil**

Based on engineering field surveys conducted at the sites in 1992 and 1993, it became apparent that a potentially large volume of Tier II contaminated soil at the 21 DEW Line sites would require segregation in a manner which precludes their continued contact with the Arctic ecosystem. A number of disposal options/technologies were considered by the DEW Line Clean Up Project team. Of the options, the most environmentally and economically viable was determined to be the development of an engineered Tier II soil disposal facility. These facilities utilize a double containment system consisting of permafrost to limit leachate generation and synthetic liners to prevent migration of contaminants into the surrounding environment.

### **3.4.3 CEPA Contaminated Soil**

Contaminated soils, which contain levels of contaminants in violation of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA) and associated regulations, are considered hazardous material and will not be placed in the Tier II soil disposal facility. These materials are to be excavated, removed from the site and transported to a licensed disposal facility. Some of the soils may also contain petroleum hydrocarbons - often where lead and PCB contamination have also occurred as a result of waste oil and/or fuel spills. These hydrocarbons are contained within the soil matrix and do not exist as free liquids which could potentially leach. Leachate testing has also been conducted on the more highly contaminated soil samples as set forth in Ontario Regulation 347. Wastes determined to be "leachate toxic" under this test are not placed in the Tier II disposal facility, but are instead removed from the site to a licensed disposal facility.

### **3.4.4 Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil**

In 1998 the DEW Line Clean Up Protocol was revised to address hydrocarbon contaminated soils at the Nunavut sites under the environmental provisions of the DND/NTI Agreement. Under the amended protocol, hydrocarbon contamination is divided into two types based on common sources at the DEW Line sites. In Type A hydrocarbon contaminated soil, the primary petroleum product present is lubricating oil and grease. Due to the low leachability of this type of hydrocarbon, these soils are generally deemed safe for disposal in a NHW landfill. Soils where the primary petroleum hydrocarbon contaminant was fuel oil are classified as Type B hydrocarbon contaminated soils. Due to the concern of leachate generation and migration from Type B contaminants, these soils are not placed at the NHW Landfill or Tier II Soil Disposal Facility. Several options for disposing of Type B contaminants have been employed based on location and site-specific factors. The most feasible and environmentally sound disposal options are placement in a secure, Tier II style landfill; passive land-treatment (landfarming); and containerization and transport offsite to a disposal facility in the south.

Selection of the areas for contaminated soil disposal facilities development is based on a number of technical factors including:

- Topography, drainage and geology;
- Availability of construction materials (gravel);
- Minimization of disturbance to natural drainage patterns;
- Appropriate distances from marine and freshwater systems and communities, as well as other biologically-sensitive areas;
- Ensure drainage away from ocean and domestic water supplies, distances from beaching areas and locations of contaminated soil; and
- Accessibility.

Another environmental concern during the development of these facilities is the possible requirement for use of explosives in some excavation activities. In addition to the obvious danger to human health, other possible impacts could include damage to surrounding areas (including waterbodies, environmentally sensitive areas and hazardous material storage facilities) from shock waves and blasting scatter, and the disturbance of nearby wildlife by sudden peak noise levels. Blasting, where required, will be conducted by authorized personnel in accordance with all required permits, licenses and applicable laws and regulations, and as dictated by regulatory authorities.

### **3.5 Due Diligence Work**

A workshop was held in February 2002 to determine whether there are environmental issues at the DEW Line sites which would require immediate attention. The objective of the workshop was to identify which site locations represent a severe risk to the aquatic environment and to recommend remedial work. The information gathered during the review was evaluated in terms of level of risk



of impact and the severity of that impact. All those areas that were rated in the most serious category were reviewed to determine appropriate remediation measures.

There was one area identified as requiring immediate attention at FOX-M by Environment Canada. Based on a review of the potential remediation options, the recommended course of action was to excavate the hydrocarbon plume at the Beach Refuel Area. This work was conducted in August and September 2002. A summary of the work completed, along with photographs, was prepared by Defence Construction Canada and is included in Appendix IV.

### **3.6 Inclusion of Traditional Knowledge**

One of the guiding principles of the DEW Line Clean Up Project is to ensure the meaningful participation of local residents in both the planning and execution phases. One way of ensuring this is to incorporate traditional knowledge into the site clean up plans. An Inuit representative who is familiar with both the DEW Line site and traditional use of the area is chosen by the relevant Regional Inuit Association to be on-site during the site investigation phase prior to the clean up. The Inuit representative works closely with the EWG to identify Inuit use of the area, wildlife patterns and related past activities, and occurrences that may have had an impact on landfills (i.e., dumping, hazardous waste storage, natural occurrences). This traditional and local knowledge is used to refine clean up activities by including unknown issues or adjusting environmental protection plans.

Additionally, DND and the NTI establish a community DEW Line Clean Up committee to facilitate the flow of local knowledge to the EWG prior to and during each site visit. To accomplish this goal, the EWG visit local communities most affected by each DEW Line site and conduct one on one interviews with a number of residents, the Hamlet Administrative Officer and/or Mayor, the local HTA and other relevant community organizations.

## **4. PROJECT DESIGN - ENGINEERING**

### **4.1. Proposed Construction**

Several specialized facilities are to be constructed to dispose of waste generated on-site due to the demolition of existing structures, removal of contaminated soil and site debris, and landfill excavation. Only materials exceeding CEPA standards will be disposed of offsite.

The new facilities are sited following the completion of the detailed engineering investigation. Some areas may not have road access. The contractor will be required to make special provisions to protect tundra vegetation. It is assumed that the contractor will have to provide adequate drainage for all excavations.

#### **4.1.1 Non-Hazardous Waste (NHW) Landfill**

The Non-Hazardous Waste (NHW) Landfill is designed on the premise that it will contain non-hazardous materials and will not generate leachate. Therefore, it is not necessary to eliminate all moisture migration into and out of the landfill. NHW landfill is also not designed to maintain the contents in a perennially frozen state.

The following materials are proposed for disposal in a Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill at FOX-M:

- Tier I and Type A hydrocarbon contaminated soil;
- Non-hazardous demolition debris;
- Non-hazardous site debris;
- Non-hazardous debris and Tier I soils excavated from landfills;
- Creosote timbers wrapped in polyethylene sheeting; and
- Double-bagged asbestos.

The NHW Landfill at FOX-M will consist of a perimeter containment berm and granular cover to minimize erosion and provide long-term stability. The NHW is to be established on native ground (stripped of any organic matter). No base cover or liner is required for this landfill. Development and closure of the NHW Landfill includes the following work:

- Construction of exterior berms;
- Placement of Tier I contaminated soil and non-hazardous demolition waste and site debris in the landfill;
- Compaction of landfill debris;
- Placement and compaction of intermediate granular cover in the landfill;
- Placement and compaction of final granular cover over the landfill;
- Grading to promote drainage away from the landfill;
- Supply and installation of groundwater monitoring wells in and around the landfill as indicated on the drawings.

For further design details of this landfill, please refer to Drawings 122 and 124 in Appendix III.

#### **4.1.2 Tier II Soil Disposal Facility**

The design of the landfill is based on the characterization of the contaminants in the soils and the geothermal properties of the permafrost. Permafrost will provide the primary containment barrier in which the frozen substrate will advance (freeze-back) and encapsulate the contents in the facility. Extensive geothermal analysis on the time required for freeze-back, thermal regime in the ground surrounding the facilities and the depth of the active layer in the cover material has been used to determine the thickness of both the cover and the base. A high density polyethylene (HDPE) liner

will be placed at the base and sideslopes of the facility to provide secondary containment. The liner is chemically compatible with the contaminated soils and will prevent the potential movement of moisture during the period required for permafrost aggradation. A second liner, a geocomposite clay liner (GCL), is to be installed in the cover of the facility and will prevent drainage from percolating down through the cover fill which might otherwise impact the time required for permafrost freeze-back. The GCL consists of bentonite clay sandwiched between geotextiles.

A Tier II Soil Disposal Facility is designed to contain contaminated soil exceeding Tier II Criteria only. The development and closure of a Tier II Disposal Facility at the FOX-M site will include the following work:

- Construction of exterior berms;
- Supply and installation of geotextiles, geocomposite clay liners and geomembrane liners, as indicated on the Drawings;
- Placement of Tier II contaminated soils in the landfill;
- Placement and compaction of intermediate granular cover over the landfill;
- Placement and compaction of final granular cover on the landfill;
- Grading to promote drainage away from the landfill;
- Supply and installation of thermistor strings and groundwater monitoring wells in and around the landfill, as indicated on the Drawings.

Further details on the design of the Tier II Soil Disposal Facility are on Drawings 122, 124 and 125 in Appendix III.

#### 4.1.3 Hydrocarbon Soil Treatment Facility

The hydrocarbon contaminated soil treatment facility at FOX-M consists of a landfarm. Development, operation and closure of a landfarm at the FOX-M site will involve the following work:

- Ground preparation, as required to facilitate treatment operations;
- Construction and maintenance of roadways required to support treatment operations;
- Construction of exterior berms and drainage ditches;
- Supply and installation of geotextiles, geomembranes and absorbent booms as indicated on the drawings;
- Placement of Type B contaminated soil in the landfarm;
- Specific activities for landfarming operations, including nutrient application, tilling, and moisture conditioning;
- Final grading to promote drainage away from the site and to match the surrounding terrain;
- Closure and removal of all equipment and materials following confirmation that treatment has remediated the contaminated soil.

The landfarm will be located at least 100 metres away from any water body, and in an area free of ponded water; to provide for the convenient access of equipment; at least 500 metres from the construction camp, offices and laboratory; and in an area that is relatively free of boulders and that is generally level.

Defence Construction Canada  
Project Description and Environmental Screening Report  
For the Clean Up of FOX-M, Hall Beach DEW Line Site

Soil excavations are to remain free of water during soil removal, confirmatory sampling and backfilling activities. Dewatering of ponded water areas may be required. If dewatering is required, the water is to be tested and must comply with the wastewater discharge criteria.

Excavation is not permitted within 2 metres of any watercourse or within 2 metres of the high water mark of the intertidal zone.

During the landfarm operation, granular nutrients are to be distributed evenly over the surface of the contaminated soil, at rates that will provide the minimum nitrogen loading. Moisture conditioning of the landfarm will be conducted as required by application of water spray to maintain a water content of approximately 15% within the soil.

After application of nutrients, the full thickness of the soil is to be tilled. The contaminated soil will be tilled every 10 days. During periods of prolonged warm, dry weather, the tilling frequency will be increased to every 5 days. During periods of precipitation, tilling of the soil will be delayed until the soil is considered damp to a depth of 100 mm.

All contact water in the perimeter collection system is to be collected and tested as required and prior to the end of each operational season. The water will be tested to meet the wastewater discharge guidelines. If the contact water does not meet these guidelines, it will be treated as hazardous material, and disposed of off-site.

At the conclusion of the third treatment season, the following tasks are to be completed to close the landfarm:

- Confirmatory testing of the soils to ensure the remediation objectives have been met;
- Consolidate the treated contaminated soil within one area of the landfarm to a maximum depth of 1 metre;

- Excavate granular material from the treatment area perimeter berms and place this material over the consolidated treated soil area;
- Place and compact granular material from the perimeter berms to provide a cover over the contaminated soil area. Additional cover material is to be placed to provide a 300 mm minimum depth of compacted granular fill as cover over the contaminated soil area. All granular fill is to be compacted to 95% Maximum Dry Density;
- Grade the surface of the area, as required, to promote surface water runoff;
- Decommission the groundwater monitoring wells, including backfill with appropriate grout, removal of the protective casing, lockable cap and well pipe to within 300 mm from the ground surface; and backfill and compact all voids with granular fill material.

## **4.2 Development of Borrow Sources**

Approximately 550,000 cubic metres of granular material is required for the clean up. Granular fill is required for closure of landfills, upgrading of the access roads during construction, backfill contaminated soil areas and general site grading purposes. Additional granular fill is required for the development of the new Non-hazardous Waste Landfill and the Tier II Disposal Facility.

It is anticipated that all of the gravel will be obtained from the borrow area located immediately southwest of the site, located within the Municipal boundaries. Because the borrow area is located within the Municipal boundaries and not on Crown land, a quarry permit application will be submitted to the Hamlet of Hall Beach for access to the gravel.

## **4.3 Closure of the East Beach Landfill**

There is one large landfill, called the East Beach Landfill, that requires remediation at FOX-M. A description of the East Beach Landfill and the remediation plan is provided in the following sections.

#### 4.3.1 Description

The East Beach Landfill is located southeast of the Main Station and parallels the ocean shoreline from the Hazardous Materials Storage Area (HMSA) to the south end of the site. It is bound by the ocean to the east and predominantly ponded water to the west. The East Beach Landfill combines the South Beach Landfill and the Shoreline Area.

The East Beach Landfill is approximately 1.2 km in length and varies from 40 m and 120 m in width. Landfilling is extensive throughout. In areas, buried debris extends from the shoreline to the ponded water to the west. Much of the debris in this landfill area has been covered with gravel and is at times difficult to identify. The gravel cover varies in thickness from 0.0 m to 0.3 m. Low areas along the west side of the landfill, which are not submerged, have a vegetative cover.

The Beach Road parallels the shoreline from the abandoned sewage lagoon to the HMSA and continues north and west to the Main Station. The road is near the shoreline through the south part of the area and diverges from the shoreline in the north half towards the HMSA. Most of the south portion of the East Beach Landfill is located west of the Beach Road. All the vegetated areas are west of the road and cover much of the landfilled debris on the west side.

The pond water level along the west side of the landfill varies throughout the year and is likely at its highest during spring freshet and heavy rainstorms. The ponded areas contain some debris such as barrels, metal strapping, tin cans, wire and wood, etc. Surface and partially buried debris is scattered along the entire ocean shoreline and extends southward and along the east shoreline of the bay into the West Debris Area.

Historic air photos show the East Beach Landfill is situated between two beach ridges, the active ridge and an older beach ridge to the west. The 1964 air photos show ponded water between these two beach ridges. Debris was landfilled within the area and then covered with material from the beach ridges. Most of the landfill cover material was obtained from the west beach ridge. Only