



Application for Water Licence Renewal

April 2010

P.O. BOX 119
GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT
XOB 1J0
TEL: (867) 360-6338
FAX: (867) 360-6369

ᓄᓇᓂᓪ ᐃᓕᓕᓂᓪ ᑲᑎᓕᓂᓪ
NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYINGI
NUNAVUT WATER BOARD
OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT



P.O. BOX 119
GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0
TEL: (867) 360-6338
FAX: (867) 360-6369

ᓄᓇᓂᓪ ᐃᓕᓕᓂᓪᓴᓪ ᑲᓂᓕᓴᓪ
NUNAVUT WATER BOARD
NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYINGI
OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to water source;
- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licence for more information about this application form.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: __NWB4NUN0511 "B"__

1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION

Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. **A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.**

If the licensee is the same, but the name of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.


Name: Nunatta Environmental Services Inc

Address: Building 1573 Federal Road,
Box 267
Iqaluit, Nu X0A-0H0

Phone: 1-867-979-1488

Fax: 1-867-97-1478

e-mail: nunatta@northwestel.net



2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.

Name: James H. Wilson
General Manager

Phone: _____
Fax: _____
e-mail: _____

(Attach authorization letter.)

3. NAME OF PROJECT

Is the name of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the name of the project including the name of the location: Nunatta Environmental Services
Landfarm, Iqaluit Nu, X0A-0H0

4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING

Is the location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Project Extents

NW: Latitude: (63 ° 43 ' 855 " N)	Longitude: (68 ° 32 ' 733 " W)
NE: Latitude: (63 ° 43 ' 832 " N)	Longitude: (68 ° 32 ' 624 " W)
SE: Latitude: (63 ° 45 ' 43 5 " N)	Longitude: (68 ° 32 ' 450 " W)
SW: Latitude: (63 ° 45 ' 450 " N)	Longitude: (68 ° 32 ' 501 " W)

Camp Location(s)

Latitude: (° ' " N) Longitude: (° ' " W)

5. MAP

Are the locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking.

NTS Map Sheet No.: _____ Map Name: _____ Map Scale: _____

6. NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND

Is the nature of the interest in the land the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the 'Surface' header must be checked).

Sub-surface

☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

Surface

☐ Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☒ Commissioner's Land Use Authorization

Date (expected date) of issuance: March 15/2004 Date of expiry: None

☐ Other _____

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

Is the name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.

Name of entity(s) holding authorizations:

7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) DETERMINATION

Is the undertaking located in the same land use planning area as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the land use planning area in which the project is located.

☐ North Baffin

☒ South Baffin

☐ Akunnig

☐ Keewatin

☐ Sanikiluaq

☐ West Kitikmeot

Was a land use plan conformity determination required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. _____

Does the proposed renewal change the original NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy. _____
If No, provide written confirmation from NPC confirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.

8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION

Was a screening determination required from NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. _____

Does the proposed renewal change the original NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy. _____
If No, provide written confirmation from NIRB confirming that a screening determination is not required.

9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal.

10. OPTIONS

Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes ☐ No

Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project.

11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING

Is the primary undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes ☐ No

Indicate the primary classification of undertaking by checking one of the following boxes.

- | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal (includes camps/lodges) | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Power | X Miscellaneous (describe below): |
| | Hydrocarbon Contaminated soils |

See Schedule II of the *Northwest Territories Waters Regulations* for Description of Undertakings.

12. WATER USE

Is the type(s) of water use(s) the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes ☐ No

Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s) of water use(s) being applied for.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> To obtain water for industrial purposes | <input type="checkbox"/> To divert a watercourse |
| <input type="checkbox"/> To cross a watercourse | <input type="checkbox"/> To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse |
| <input type="checkbox"/> To alter the flow of, or store water | <input type="checkbox"/> Flood control |
| X Other: Run off waters _____ | |

13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED

Is the source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Name of water source(s): _____
(show location(s) on map)

Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s): _____

Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: _____ m³/day

Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: _____

Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.): _____

Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the method(s) of extraction: _____

Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): _____ m³/day

Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): _____

14. WASTE

Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) generated and deposited.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sewage | <input type="checkbox"/> Waste oil |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Greywater |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous | <input type="checkbox"/> Sludges |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bulky Items/Scrap Metal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contaminated soil and/or water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Animal Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe): _____ | |

15. QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WASTE INVOLVED

Are the quantity(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the composition(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of treatment for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of disposal for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.

Type of Waste	Composition	Quantity Generated	Treatment Method	Disposal Method
Water	Water/diesel	3-20 cu/m/yr	Clay/carbon filter	Evaporation Disscharge
Soil	Earth/rocks/diesel/oil	600-1300 cu/m/yr	Aeration,fertilizer, remediation	Dump cover, roads,

16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

In addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6, are the same authorizations required as considered in the existing licence?

X Yes ☐ No N/A

For each provide the following:

Authorization: _____

Administering Agency: _____

Project Activity: _____

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Are predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking and proposed mitigation measures the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes ☐ No

Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste.

18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS

Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes ☐ No N/A

Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature.

Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS

Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No N/A

Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO).

20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.

21. SECURITY INFORMATION

Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken.

Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the *Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut*, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.