
From: "Dionne Filiatrault" <dionne@polarnet.ca>
To: "Rita Becker" <rbecker@polarnet.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2002 11:14 AM
Subject: Fw: QA/QC for NWB5PEL0104

Rita,

Please forward an electronic copy of the licence to Michelle.

Thanks,

Dionne Filiatrault, P. Eng.

Technical Advisor

Nunavut Water Board

P.O. Box 119,

Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Tel: 867-360-6338

Fax: 867-360-6360

----- Original Message -----

From: "Michelle Johnson" <johnsonmi@inac.gc.ca>

To: <dionne@polarnet.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2002 12:15 PM

Subject: Re: QA/QC for NWB5PEL0104

> Hi Dionne,

>

> I am having some difficulties locating the file for the Pelly Bay site, could you or Rita e-mail me a copy of the license so that I can take a look at the SNP requirements?

>

> My preliminary comments refer back to Paul Smith's response from April, 2001. The application did not trigger a license requirement under the NWT Waters Act thus, it is not technically a valid license. This makes the SNP requirement for laboratory accreditations irrelevant.

>

> Since I have not reviewed the SNP requirements, I am not sure if it is appropriate for the analyses to be conducted on site. If they are sampling for parameters such as turbidity, TSS, pH and other physical properties, test kits make sense for routine monitoring. When possible, samples should be sent to an accredited facility to verify the analysis. I am sure that people leave the site and can take samples with them to courier to a lab.

>

> I hope this helps,

>

> -Michelle

>

> Michelle Johnson, M.Sc.

> Kitikmeot and Kivalliq Regional Coordinator

> Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development

> P.O. Box 2200

> Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0

> ph: 867-975-4548 fx: 867-975-4560

>

> >>> "Dionne Filiatrault" <dionne@polarnet.ca> 01/21/02 05:51PM >>>
> Hi Michelle,
>
> The NWB received a request for clarification from Eva Schulz, with UMA
> engineering Ltd with respect to the Dew line clean up and water licence at
> Cam 4, Pelly Bay.
> Under the current licence Section B of the SNP states that " all analyses
> shall be performed in a laboratory approved by the Board. Traditionally,
> under the Act and future Nunavut Act labs are approved by the
> Analyst(DIAND). UMA indicates that due to remoteness and difficulty in
> getting samples to the lab in time and intact many of the analyses are
> performed on site. QA/QC measures are followed the same as if the samples
> were analyzed in the south. They want to know if the licence means that
on
> site analysis is unacceptable. Is what they are doing (on site)
> acceptable in terms of accuracy of analytical requirements for the
samples?
> Please let me know if you have any recommendations.
> Best Regards,
> Dionne Filiatrault, P. Eng.
> Technical Advisor
> Nunavut Water Board
> P.O. Box 119,
> Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0
> Tel: 867-360-6338
> Fax: 867-360-6360
>
>
>