2.4.2 Soil and Groundwater Monitoring

The results of the soil and groundwater monitoring program will be compared against baseline data established prior to the initial landfill development or remediation program. Results of the analysis of soil and groundwater samples that show decreasing trends of contamination at the perimeter of existing landfills typically indicate that the implemented landfill remediation has been effective.

Conversely, if monitoring results indicate increasing levels of contamination, then it may be necessary to implement one or all of the following:

- Increase the frequency of the monitoring program.
- Carry out a review and evaluation of the nature and extent of the contamination, including the incorporation of the results of the visual monitoring program. The major objective of this evaluation will be to determine the cause of the contaminant migration problem, and in particular to determine if it is the result of ineffective design, material (e.g. liner) failure, improper compaction, selection and use of inadequate granular material, poor grading, etc. This evaluation may require intrusive investigation into and around the landfill.
- Depending on the results of the above, it may be necessary to remove and replace liner material, reconstruct containment berms, etc.
- Assess the requirement to excavate and dispose of the contaminated soil; this would include the delineation of the vertical and horizontal extent of the contamination.
- Excavate and dispose of contaminated soil, as required.

The requirement for the specific scope and extent of remediation, as outlined above, should also incorporate an evaluation of the potential environmental impacts of the contamination.

2.4.3 Thermal Monitoring

The results of the thermal monitoring program will be compared against the parameters for freezeback that were incorporated into the geothermal design of the landfills. It is important that the overall assessment of these results consider the results of both the visual and soil/groundwater monitoring programs. If the thermal monitoring results indicate ground temperatures that are significantly higher than predicted during the geothermal analyses carried out as part of the design, then it may be necessary to implement one or more of the following:

- Increase the frequency of the recording and assessment of results from the thermal monitors.
- Establish, based on the results of the soil and groundwater monitoring programs, if groundwater and/or soil contaminant levels beyond the perimeter of the landfill have increased. Assess the environmental impacts to determine the appropriate remediation requirements.

DEW Line Cleanup Project Draft Landfill Monitoring Plan Date: July 20, 1999

- If it is established that a slower than expected freezeback period has resulted in the migration of contamination beyond the landfill and depending on the results of the above environmental impact assessment, then it may be necessary to implement one or more of the following:
 - determine if the rate of the freezeback progress is continuing, or if freezeback within the landfill has terminated;
 - excavate and dispose of contaminated soil, as required;
 - place additional granular cover material or other insulating material (Styrofoam insulation, vegetation) over the landfill to provide an increased insulation barrier over the landfill:
 - reconstruct and/or re-saturate the perimeter berms of the landfill.

2.5 REVIEW OF MONITORING RESULTS

An Environmental Working Group (EWG) has been established to provide technical support to the DEW Line Cleanup Steering Committee. This working group is comprised of qualified engineers and/or environmental scientists with expertise in environmental remediation and cleanup in northern climates. The EWG has two designated representatives from each of the Parties, Owner and NTI.

During the monitoring program, the EWG will review the results of the monitoring program in accordance with the methodology as described in the previous section. The results of the review and any recommendations regarding changes to the monitoring plan and/or remediation requirements will be reported to the Steering Committee.

The requirement for Phase III monitoring will be evaluated at the end of the 25 years (end of Phase II). Monitoring may be terminated if the performance of the landfill was satisfactory over the period of monitoring from an environmental, geotechnical and thermal perspective, as appropriate. The assessment of satisfactory performance will be carried out by jointly by the NTI and DND.

At the termination of the monitoring period, a decision on the disposition of the above ground installations of monitoring wells and thermistors, and associated marker posts, should be made. The decommissioning of monitoring installations shall be carried out in a manner such that, if required, they may be reactivated. Electronic equipment shall be returned to DND for re-use or disposal as appropriate, and other non-hazardous materials shall be removed from site and disposed of in an appropriate landfill area.

•

LANDFILL TYPE	Visual	Soil	Water	Thermal
New Landfill (Non-Hazardous Wastes)	X	X		
Landfill requiring Regrading - Class C	X	X		
Landfill requiring Leachate Containment - Class B	X	X	X	X
DCC Tier II Soil Facility	X	X	X	X

SITE:
LANDFILL DESIGNATION:
LANDFILL TYPE:
DATE:
MONITORING EVENT NO:
NAME:
WEATHER CONDITIONS:

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST	
Date:	
Site:	
Landfill:	

Carry out a visual inspection of the landfill surface, berms, toe of berms and identify potential areas of distress as follows:

- 1. Settlement:
 - a) Is there differential settlement occurring on the surface?
 - i) low areas or depressions;
 - ii) voids forming
 - b) What is the extent of settlement?
 - i) percent of surface area affected;
 - ii) localized areas or continuous;
 - iii) how deep;
 - c) Where is the settlement occurring?
 - i) near berms, center of facility, etc.
 - d) Explain:
 - i) evidence of significant surface infiltration,
 - ii) water ponding on surface
 - iii) snow drifting
- 2. Erosion
 - a) Is there erosion occurring on the surface or berms of the landfill?
 - i) preferred drainage channels;
 - ii) sloughing of material;
 - b) What is the extent of erosion?
 - i) percent of surface area affected;
 - ii) localized areas or continuous;
 - c) Where is the erosion occurring?
 - i) along the toe, on the surface, through the berms;
 - d) Explain:
 - i) evidence of significant surface water run-off;
 - ii) poor material type;

Date:		
Site:		
Landfill:		

- 3. Frost Actiona) Is there frost action/damage to the landfill?
 - i) exposure of debris due to uplift;
 - ii) tension cracking along berms;
 - iii) sorting of granular fill;
 - b) What is the extent of frost action?
 - i) percent of surface area affected;
 - ii) localized areas or continuous;
 - c) Where is the cracking, frost heaving occurring?
 - i) along the toe, on the surface, through the berms;
 - d) Explain?
 - i) poor material gradation;
 - ii) poor compaction;
 - iii) high water content, silt content in cover material;
- 4. Condition of Other Monitoring Instruments, as applicable:
- 5. Provide detailed sketch and photographic record of landfill.

Date:
Site:
Landfill:
PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT – VISUAL MONITORING
PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDS
Signature;
Name:

DEW Line Cleanup Project Draft Landfill Monitoring Plan Date: July 20, 1999

GROUNDWATER MONITORING FIELD CHECKLIST

Date:	
Site:	
Landfill:	
Sample No:	
Well No.:	
Field	Ph
Measurements:	Conductivity
	Temperature
	Color, Odor
Well	Water level (from top of pipe)
Processing	Height of water in well?
	Depth to bottom of well
	Apparent Freezing/Siltation?
	Purged well or standing water sampled.
	Presence of Hydrocarbons
	Thickness of Hydrocarbon Layer
	Purging Procedure
	Recovery Rates
Analyses	
Requested	
_	*
Comments:	
Commonts.	·
-	
DEW Line Cleanup	Project
Draft Landfill Monit	

Date: July 20, 1999

SOIL MONITORING FIELD CHECKLIST

Date:	_
Site:	_
Landfill:	_
Sample No:	
Location:	
Analyses Requested	
Comments:	
	-
	-

DEW Line Cleanup Project Draft Landfill Monitoring Plan Date: July 20, 1999

THERMAL MONITORING CHECKLIST

Da	ate:
Si	te:
La	andfill:
Th	ermistor Number:
Lo	cation:
Pri	or to retrieving data, ensure that personnel are grounded.
1.	Download data and save data to hard drive and disk.
2.	Translate and view data in the field to ensure completeness.
3.	Replace battery pack
4.	Check condition of connections and instrumentation, repair as necessary
5.	Take manual readings of the thermistor using a digital readout.
6.	Reset datalogger memory to zero, and re-start readings.
7.	Monitor to ensure system operational.
8.	Re-lock cap

3. NUNAVUT SETTLEMENT AREA

This section provides an overview of the landfill monitoring program for the DEW Line sites in the Nunavut Settlement Area. This section is to be updated, as required, following the completion of pre-tender site investigations.

The cleanup of sites in the Nunavut Settlement Area is anticipated to be carried out generally according to the schedule outlined in Figure 3.1. Also indicated on this figure is the proposed monitoring event schedule until the completion of Phase II Monitoring. Table 3.1 summarizes the landfills and monitoring requirements for each of the existing and proposed landfills at the DEW Line sites. The classification of each landfill as presented in Table 3.1, with the exception of those landfills at CAM-M and FOX-4, is preliminary and will be reviewed following the pre-tender site investigation at that site. The classification of each landfill will be reviewed with the Environmental Working Group, in accordance with the Terms of the Cooperation Agreement.

As indicated in Section 2.0, an important component of the monitoring program is the baseline/background assessment to be completed for each landfill. Preliminary information on the environmental status of the landfills was obtained during the initial environmental investigations. As part of the site investigations to be carried out in the two year period prior to cleanup, a more detailed sampling and testing program will be carried out to collect sufficient information to establish baseline conditions for comparison to subsequent monitoring events. Recommended sampling locations for specific landfills are outlined on the accompanying figures.

Required analyses for the baseline monitoring program are to be consistent with the monitoring criteria, as outlined in Section 2.0.

In the remaining annexes to this report, a brief summary of the environmental status and corresponding remediation design of each of the DEW Line landfills in the NSA is provided. The information provided is consistent with the outline of the Landfill Risk Assessment Matrix. Baseline and long term monitoring program is detailed.

MONITORING LEGEND			Monitorina	CONG	Monitoring	On	PIN-3 LADY FRANKLIN POINT	Monitoring	Construction	PIN-4 BYRON BAY		Construction	Monitoring	Construction	FOX-3 DEWAR LAKES		Construction	FOX-2 LONGSTAFF BLUFF	Monitorina	Construction	Monitoring	Construction	DYE-M CAPE DYER		Construction	CAM-3 SHEPHERD BAY	Monitoring	CAR INLET	Monitoring	Construction	FOX-M HALL BEACH	Monitoring	Constriction	MOTITORING	Construction	FOX-5 BROUGHTON ISLAND	Monitoring	Construction	CAM-M CAMBRIDGE BAY	Monitorina	FOX-4 CAPE HOOPER		1996 19		
				5			Ä		The second second	10,00	97								-				-			3.7	100				4,000			100 4 100		2000	3.00		1 - Pennikan		\$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2000000	97 1998		
			Street, and a st		10.				To a deli										- - -								The state of the s	74 -55 5 -1 5 -1	1					7) i		. 99.50			がApple			37.70	1999 200		
									1.50		200																				7.			15.	N.	27.0							0 2001 20		
							1	TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	SAME SERVICE			-	Separate Sep	-					i.												A			50				-	2				2003		
					0.7040368					76 H						10 4 7 7		100												3500 February 00000								-		Sec. of the sec.		-	2004 200		
				ii:	8	AL PARTIES		***************************************		7	₩				3.1										┢		3		-	_				-	-		1000000	31	ľ	7(5)		+	1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007		
17.50	17 9A	No. No. No.	2000										31 21		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					3 - 3 - 3 - 3		9 2 3					⅏		_	▓				_			2 2			熱樹			07 2008 2009		
					-	_		-	_			14, 2800 E. T.	7							1 1 2 2 1				<u> </u>					***************************************								1000000	9000	×						
									*******							**************************************			を記述		-									,													2010 2011 2012 2013		
				12				1000	69000			-	_8	*								行なる。										- THE P.			のである。			<u> </u>	153	Targett.	7.54	1	2013 20		
			150,8030												-														1							1	-	<u> </u>					2014 2015 2		
					2	317	1	_							-								***************************************													-	_						2016 2017		
			A		11.5																								The second secon	7.00				100	2. 数数			74 472 48					5107 8107	2012	
			The Walter			93						80778-344		The sale of			製得		100								2							S SHOULD SE				1 650 - 11	1	28.17.2	40 41 1		2020 2021		
			70.00	411	Your commen	10.11		2.70					*		-	52 4380									12			St. April											111	63h			2202	200	
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										10		· .			-																1 () 1 () 1 ()						強級				2024	
													7																											-			0207 C207	2005	
			(A)				1				-	-		-			-	+							+							16.000				33							1202	8000 7000	
			10000				1	-		-		Commence of		s:	-				湯を 選手を				1					7		Application of the second			Sinus in the second			3-04				7 (0)				20020	
									72										37			4.6							-	1						:					(*) () ()		_	2020 2	
																140																							1				_	2031 2032	
			は一般の地でい	The state of the s					1										_					100000000000000000000000000000000000000	(日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本)																		_	2022	

	TABLE	Sec. L. S.		RY OF DEW LINE	CLEANUP LANI	AREA - SUMMARY OF DEW LINE CLEANUP LANDFILL MONITORING PROGRAM	NG PROGRAM	
Site (Year of Landfill Closure)	Landfill Designation	Landfill Classification	Pre-tender Investigation/ Baseline Monitoring (year)	i / Peri	Post Construction Land	Post Construction Landfill Monitoring Program		Comments
				Visual	Soil	Groundwater	Thermal	
PIN-2 Cape Young (2007/2008)			2005/2008	200	9, 2010, 2011, 2012, 20	2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2023, 2033	33	
	Station Area Landfill	New Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill		<	<	<		Location to be confirmed
	South Landfill - East and West	Class C - Regrading		<	<			
	Beach Landfill	Class C - Regrading		<	<			
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility		,	<	<	√	~	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
	USAF Closure Landfills					,		to be confirmed.
PIN-3 Lady Franklin Point (2006/2007)			2004/2007	200	8, 2009, 2010, 2011, 20	2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014, 2017, 2022, 2032	32	
	Main Landfill & Extension	Class C - Regrading and Extension to existing landfill		√	√	V		Location of extension to be confirmed.
	Main NWS Landfill	Class C - Regrading		V	V			
	North Landfill	Class C - Regrading		V	√			
	South Area Landfills	Class C - Regrading		√	√			
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			√	√	V	√	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
PIN-4 Byron Bay (2005/2006)			2003/2006	200	7, 2008, 2009, 2010, 20	2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013, 2016, 2021, 2031	31	
	Station Area Landfill - East	New Non-Hazardous Waste Landfill		~	<	<		Location to be confirmed.
	Station Area Landfill - West	Class C - Regrading		V	~			
	North Landfill	Class C - Regrading		√	<			
	Northwest Landfill	Class B - Leachate Containment Class C - Regrading		< <	< <	<	<	One lobe of buried material to be provided with leachate containment.
	South Landfill Area	Class C - Regrading		V	√			
	Airstrip Landfill	Class C- Regrading		4	V			
	Beach Landfill	Class C - Regrading		V	<			
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			~	<	<	<	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
	USAF Closure Landfills							

Site	Landfill Designation		Pre-tender		Post Construction Land	Post Construction Landfill Monitoring Program		Comments
f Landfill Closure)	100 mg		Envestigation/ Baseline Monitoring (year)					
				Visual	Soll	Groundwater	Thermal	
CAM-M Cambridge Bay (1998-1999)			1996/1999	20	00, 2001, 2002, 2003, 20	2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2009, 2014, 2024	24	
	Main Landfills North and South	Class B - Leachate Containment (extension for non-hazardous waste materials)		V	\ \	√	~	
	South Shore Landfill	Class C - Regrading		<	\ \			
	West Landfill	Class C - Regrading		<	<			
	Airstrip	Class A/C-excavation of select areas of buried debris, regrading of other areas.		√	\ .			
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			V	\	√	V	
CAM-1 Jenny Lind Island (2004-2005)			2002/2005	20	06, 2007, 2008, 2009, 20	2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2015, 2020, 2030	30	
	Main Landfill & Extension	Class C - Regrading & Extension for Non-Hazardous Waste		V	_	~		
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			V	√	√	V	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
CAM-2 Gladman Point ((2003-2004)			2001/2004	20	05, 2006, 2007, 2008, 20	2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2014, 2019, 2029	95	·
	West Landfill - North	Class C - Regrading		V	V			
	West Landfill - South	Class C - Regrading		V	V			
	Station Area Landfill	New Non-hazardous waste landfill		V	V	V		Location to be confirmed
	Airstrip Landfill	Class C - Regrading		V	V			Status of Landfill to be confirmed.
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			V	\	<	<	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed
	USAF Closure Landfills							Requirements to be confirmed

		TABLE 3.1 NUNAVUT SETTLEMENT AREA - SUMMARY OF DEW LINE CLEANUP LANDFILL MONITORING PROGRAM	ENT AREA - SUMMA	RY OF DEWLIN	E CLEANUP LAN	DEILL MONITORIN	G PROGRAM	
fill Closure)	Landfill Designation	Landfill Classification	Pre-tender———————————————————————————————————		Post Construction Lan	Post Construction Landfill Monitoring Program	The second secon	Comments
				Visual	Soil	Groundwater	Thermal	
CAM-3 Shepherd Bay (2002/2003)			2000/2003	2	004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2	2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010, 2013, 2018, 2028		
	North Landfill	Class C - Regrading		~	<			
	Station Area Landfill	Class C - Regrading		V	~			
	Northeast Landfill & Extensions	Class C- Regrading & Extension for non- hazrdous waste materials		4	<	V		
	USAF /NWS Landfill	Class C - Regrading		<	√			Status of Landfills to be confirmed.
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			<	~	√	√	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
CAM-4 Pelly Bay (2001-2003)			1997-1999/2003	2	004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2	2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010, 2013, 2018, 2028		
	Upper Site Landfill	Class A - Excavation						If complete excavation is carried out, no monitoring is required. Consideration is being given to partial excavation and
								recting committees.
	Station Area Landfill	New Non-Hazardous Waste Landhii		<	<	<		Location to be committee.
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			<	<	<	<	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
	Abandoned Camp Landfill	Class C - Regrading		<	<			
	Airstrip Landfill	Class C - Regrading		<	<			
	Lower Site Landfill	Class B - Leachate Containment of portions of the landfill						Confirmation of leachate to be confirmed in 1999. Monitoring requirements to be confirmed.
	Barrow Lake Landfill	Class B						Evaluation of excavation to be carried out. If excavated no additional monitoring is required.
	USAF Closure Landfills	Class C						Environmental status to be confirmed in 1999. It is anticipated that no additional fill material is required.
CAM-5 Mackar Inlet (2003-2005)			2001/2004	l)	2005, 2006, 2007, 2008,	2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2014, 2019, 2029	9	
	Upper Site Landfill	Class B - Leachate Containment		V	<	<	<	
	Station Area Landfill	New Landfill for Non-Hazardous Wastes		γ	√	<		
	Lower Site Landfill - South	Class C - Regrading		٧	<			Status of Landfill to be confirmed.
	Lower Site Landfill - North	Class C - Regrading		V	<			Status of Landfill to be confirmed.
	USAF Closure Landfills	to be confirmed						
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			· ·	<	<	~	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.

Site		Landfill Classification	Pre-tender.		Post Construction Landi	Post Construction Landfill Monitoring Program		Comments
(Year of Landfill Closure)		10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Baseline Monitoring (year)					
				Visual	Soil	Groundwater	Thermal	
		Regrading		V	V			
	Upper Site Landfill - West	Debris Removal, Contaminated Soil Excavation						No monitoring required as bedrock exposed during debris and contaminated soil removal operations.
	Lower Site Landfill	New Landfill - Non-Hazardous Waste		√	√	~		
	Airstrip Landfill	Regrading		√	٧			
	Tanner Bay Landfill	Regrading		√	√			
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			V	V	V	· /	
FOX-5 Broughton Island (2001-2003)			1998 2003	200	04, 2005, 2006, 2007, 20	2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010, 2013, 2018, 2028	28	
	Main Landfill	Class B - Leachate Containment		√	V	\	√	
	Existing Airstrip Landfill	Class A - Excavation						No monitoring required following excavation
	Station Area Landfill	New Landfill - Non-Hazrdous Wastes		~	√	V		Location to be confirmed.
	Lower Site Landfill	New Landfill - Non-Hazardous Wastes		√	V	V		Location to be determined.
	Tier II Soil Disposal Facility			V	V	V	<	Requirement for and location of to be confirmed.
PATE III	Not included in this deaft							

REFERENCES

- CAM-M Cambridge Bay, NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 12, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- CAM-1 Jenny Lind Island NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 13, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- CAM-2 Gladman Point, NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 14, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- CAM-3 Shepherd Bay NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 15, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- CAM-4 Pelly Bay NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 16, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- CAM-5 Mackar Inlet NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 17, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- Cooperation Agreement Between the Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated and the Department of National Defence Concerning the Restoration and Clean-Up of DEW Sites within the Nunavut Settlement Area.
- EBA, 1992. Geotechnical Evaluation and Preliminary Design for the Cleanup of Ten DEW Line Sites. EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. For UMA Engineering Ltd.
- EBA, 1994a. Geotechnical Evaluation and Preliminary Design for the Cleanup of Ten DEW Line Sites. 1993 Investigation. EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. For UMA Engineering Ltd.
- EBA, 1994b. Geotechnical Evaluation and Preliminary Design for the Cleanup of the FOX-4 Cape Hooper DEW Line Sites. EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. For UMA Engineering Ltd.
- ESG, 1991. North Warning System Environmental Study, Volumes 1 to 3.
- ESG, 1992. Environmental Study of Eleven DEW Line Sites, 2 Volumes.

- ESG/UMA, 1994. DEW Line Cleanup: Scientific and Engineering Summary Report. For the Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1997a. An Environmental Risk Assessment of the DEW Line Site at Cape Hooper, FOX-4 for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1997b. CAM-M Cambridge Bay, Site Remediation Phase One: Delineation, Environmental Sciences Group for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1998a. FOX-4 Cape Hooper, Site Remediation Phase One: Delineation and Confirmatory Testing at Cape Hooper, Baffin Island. Environmental Sciences Group for Defence Construction Canada Ltd../ Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1999a. CAM-M Cambridge Bay, NWT. Site Remediation. Phase Two: Assessment and Confirmatory Sampling for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1999b FOX-5 Broughton Island, NWT. Site Remediation, Phase One, Delineation for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1999c CAM-4 Pelly Bay, NWT. Site Remediation, Phase One, Delineation Draft Report for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.
- ESG, 1999d FOX-M Hall Beach, NWT. Site Remediation, Phase One, Delineation for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.
- EWG. 1998. DEW Line Cleanup, Nunavut. Environmental Working Group Report March, 1998. For the NTI/DND Steering Committee.
- EWG. 1998. Personal communication: Cleanup Requirements for the Airstrip Landfill at CAM-M Cambridge Bay.
- DYE-M Cape Dyer, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 23, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- FOX-M Hall Beach NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 17, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- FOX-2 Longstaff Bluff NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 18, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.

- FOX-3 Dewar Lakes NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 19, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- FOX-4 Cape Hooper, NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 21, UMA Engineering Ltd.
- FOX-5 Broughton Island NWT, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 22, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- PIN-2 Cape Young, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 9, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- PIN-3 Lady Franklin Point, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 10, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- PIN-4 Byron Bay, 1991. Environmental Cleanup Study of 21 DEW Line Sites in Canada, Volume 11, UMA Engineering Ltd. for the United States Air Force.
- UMA, 1996. Drawings and Specifications for the Cleanup of the FOX-4 Cape Hooper DEW Line Site. UMA Engineering Ltd. for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.
- UMA, 1998. Drawings and Specifications for the Cleanup of the CAM-M Cambridge Bay DEW Line Site. UMA Engineering Ltd. for Defence Construction Canada Ltd./ Department of National Defence.