

Ida Porter <ida.porter@nwb-oen.ca>

Re: Additional Question on Licence 1BR-RBL1419

Sean Joseph <sean.joseph@nwb-oen.ca>
To: "Hunnie, Kelly" <kelly.hunnie@tc.gc.ca>
Cc: Licensing Department licensing@nwb-oen.ca>

Mon, Jan 18, 2016 at 2:02 PM

Hi Kelly,

If the items identified in your email are the only ones being contemplated by Transport Canada, then an amendment to the licence may not necessarily be required due to the following reasons:

- 1. The NWB has not always included water use required specifically for monitoring within the terms and conditions of water licences issued for remediation projects as the quantity of water involved tends to be relatively negligible.
 - Although the NWB has recently started to consistently include water use for monitoring in all licences issued in support of remediation projects, this does not preclude the use of water for monitoring requirements contained in licences such as the one issued to TC where water use for monitoring is not specified but implied. If, however, water use is required for purposes other than that implied for monitoring, then the licence will need to be amended
- 2. With respect to potentially land-filling metallic waste, Part J, Item 1 of Licence No. 1BR-RBL1419 allows, to some extent, for this approach to be potentially implemented assuming that it is part of the relevant option selected from the Remedial Action Plan, which accompanied the application.

If you have further questions related to the above, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Regards,

Sean

On Fri, Jan 8, 2016 at 12:58 PM, Hunnie, Kelly <kelly.hunnie@tc.gc.ca> wrote:

Hi Sean,

Further to our call yesterday regarding our question on the need to apply for amendment related to the potential landfilling of metal materials, I also noticed that the licence as issued does not allow for water use. The licence itself requires monitoring well which are included as part of our licence in our Cambridge Bay Land Treatment Unit Licence. It was my understanding that water use for sampling monitoring wells should be approved. Is this correct? If you could clarify that as well it would be much appreciated.

Regards,

Kelly Hunnie

204-880-5128