	If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy If No, provide written confirmation from NPC confirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required. (See Attached e-mail from NPC – Appendix H)		
8.	NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION		
	Is an Article 12 Part 4 screening determination required?		
	✓Yes	□No	Application is in Process
	If Yes, indicate date issued If No, provide written confire required.		• •
9.	DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING – List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal.		
	Site remediation operations to	o include:	11. Landfarm construction/operation/decommissioning
m	Mobilization/Demobilization of e naterials/wastes and personnel Enhancement of access routes		12. Decommissioning of the water reservoir13. Construction/decommissioning of sewage lagoon
4. <i>I</i>	 Camp set-up and operation Hazardous material removal, handling and transportation Building and structure demolition Non hazardous materials / Debris consolidation and disposal Excavation and relocation or removal of contaminated soil Quarrying of gravel and overburden materials Landfill construction 		
7. E 8. 0 9. L			
10. 3	Site and landfills regrading All site remediation activities will take place on Crown Land. There will be no activities on Inuit Owned Lands (IOL). However, AANDC is processing an IOL Access Permit, should the successful contractor decide to mobilize / demobilize by Cat Train from or via Cambridge Bay to CAM-A passing through IOL.		
See: Appendices A1, A2 & A3 – Executive Summary in English, Inuktitut, and Inuinnaqtun Appendix B – CAM-A (Sturt Point) Phase III Environmental Site Assessment Report Appendix C - CAM-A (Sturt Point) Remedial Action Plan (RAP). Appendix D – Current Project Schedule Appendix F – Site Maps			
10. OPTIONS – Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project. Location – CAM-A is an old DEW line site which is being cleaned up by DIAND.			
Methods - Various remedial options were proposed and evaluated, for each waste stream at the site, in the Remedial Action Plan (Appendix C). The technically superior option is adopted for each waste stream. For example, non-hazardous waste landfills (in suitable/stable location, and with no evidence of contaminant migration) can be left in place and regraded as required for erosion protection or landfills can be excavated and relocated to an engineered landfill or shipped out to the a southern facility for disposal. In the case of CAM-A, the landfills will be left in place and regarded, as this is the most technically sound option. Similar approach is used for all waste streams.			
11.	 CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING - Indicate the primary classification of undertaking by checking one of the following boxes. 		