

Phyllis Beaulieu <phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca>

Qikiqtaaluk Environmental (QE)

1 message

Olivier Simard <osimard@qenv.ca>

Mon, Nov 9, 2015 at 11:54 AM

To: Phyllis Beaulieu <phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca>

Cc: Justin Hack < Justin.Hack@aandc-aadnc.gc.ca>, aglukark@nunavut.ca, tarko@nirb.ca, gjohnson@qenv.ca, Sylvain Laberge <slaberge@genv.ca>, Mélodie Simard < M.Simard@city.iqaluit.nu.ca>, jmoulton@gov.nu.ca

Hello Ms. Beaulieu,

As discussed earlier today please find attached QE's Environmental Protection Plan and all communications to regulatory agencies as of now. Basically I need to know what steps should I take to make sure my activities are properly licensed (if necessary) according to Nunavut's laws and regulations? Would an amendment to my actual NWB License be sufficient and the best way to proceed or should I need to apply for an authorization without a license for the Soil Treatment and Hazardous Waste Management parts of my project? If I must submit my project to NPC first then would the EPP attached be sufficient for their review? Please enlight me a clear path I should follow to be fully authorized for 2016's season.

Nakurmiik,

Olivier Simard B.SC.

Project Manager - Northern Projects



1571B Kakivak Ct. PO Box 1228

Iqaluit, Nunavut XOA 0H0 Canada

Cellular 867 222-8194

The present document is subject to our information privacy policy available on our Web site.

A Think about the environment, do you really need to print this message?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Brian Aglukark <aglukark@nunavut.ca>

To: Olivier Simard <osimard@qenv.ca>

Cc: "'Brisco, Marc Alexandre" <MBrisco@gov.nu.ca>, "Mélodie Simard" <M.Simard@city.iqaluit.nu.ca>, "'Harry

Flaherty" <HFlaherty@gcorp.ca>, Peter Scholz <pscholz@nunavut.ca>, Sharon Ehaloak

<sehaloak@nunavut.ca>

Date: Tue, 21 Jul 2015 08:43:36 -0500 Subject: RE: Qikiqtaaluk Environmental Inc.

Good morning Olivier;

The Land Use Planning screening/review process within Nunavut's regulatory system is activated upon receipt of an application form(s). Information provided on these form(s) are used by the NPC and or NIRB to confirm whether a review/screening is required. I do note you have provided a clear brief summary of the proposed changes, but without the associated application forms and proposed project descriptions, I am unable to confirm if proposed amendments to a project you describe is exempt from NIRB screening.

Do landfarming project on City of Igaluit's land needs to be screened/reviewed by NPC (or NIRB)?

Related to land use planning purposes, the NLCA, NuPPAA and both approved plans attempt to accommodate or work with municipal or city plans. Unless conflict is evident between an approved plan with a municipal/city plan, the NPC would review a project proposal against that approved plan. In general, if it is determined a proposal will have impact outside the municipal or city boundary, the NPC would review the project proposal for conformity with an approved plan (there are instances where hamlet residents requested that the NPC review a project proposal even though it was determined that the proposal had minimal impact outside the municipal boundary). I will note that the NLCA also directs the NPC to review all water applications that are inside municipal/city boundaries, only where there is an approved plan. NuPPAA does not change that process. NPC is not required to review projects inside a municipal/city boundary unless there is potential impact and only if the respective municipal/city is in a region where there is an approved plan.

Assessing for NIRB screening, NuPPAA has provided new direction or clarity in the NU regulatory system where the NPC is the responsible agency to review all applications in the Nunavut Settlement Area (NSA) to determinate whether a proposed project needs to be screened by the NIRB, NuPPA also provides clarity that the NPC can seek opinion from the NIRB if and when required. Again, NPC only has jurisdiction inside the NSA. Land use activity inside a municipal/city boundary though inside the NSA are the responsibility of CGS/respective Municipality – City, not the NPC.

(No) [A]pproved plans, the NPC currently has two approved land use plans. 1) North Baffin Planning Region 2) Keewatin Planning region. Again, NuPPAA provides clarity on how proposed projects in the NSA are to be assessed on whether a NIRB review (impact assessment) is required. Where a proposed project is located inside the boundaries of an approved plan, the NPC will use the NLCA sked 12-1, and any agreements in place between the NIRB and relevant agencies listed in 1 of 3 schedules. These agreements are available upon request from the NIRB. Where there are no plans in place, the NPC is directed to assess the proposed project to determine if there may be potential cumulative impact, if so refer the project proposal to the NIRB for screening. Again NPC's jurisdiction to do such assessment does not go inside the municipal/city boundaries, unless the proposed project requires a NWB water licence.

 Do Hazardous Waste Management activities need to be screened/reviewed by NPC? If yes, can the grandfather clause apply to Qikiqtaaluk Environmental Inc. since we've been doing it under the GN's authorization since 2011?

If a proposed waste management activity of any kind is located is inside a municipality/city and has no impact outside the boundaries of a municipality/city or is not associated with a NWB water license, NPC is not interested, there is no land use plan in place for the South Baffin planning region. Grandfather(ing) clause under the current draft Nunavut Plan is current being resolved by the NPC and planning partners, no comment beyond that.

NIRB screening inside municipal/city boundaries.

Please contact Tara Arko, Director of Technical Services with NIRB, email tarko@nirb.ca, she is in a better position to speak to NIRB's process.

Hope this helps, feel free to call me for any clarification at 867 857 2242.

Mutna

Brian

From: Olivier Simard [mailto:osimard@genv.ca]

Sent: July-20-15 1:24 PM

To: Brian Aglukark

Cc: 'Brisco, Marc Alexandre'; Mélodie Simard; 'Harry Flaherty'

Subject: Qikiqtaaluk Environmental Inc.

Mr. Aglukark,

We are an environmental company operating since 2011 and located in Iqaluit. We are looking to move our location to a different piece of land still in Iqaluit. We deposited a Development Permit application to the City of Iqaluit. During the process of reviewing our application, the City of Iqaluit wants to know if NPC (or NIRB) needs to review our project. At our actual location we conduct two types of activities wich are **Hydrocarbon Impacted Water Treatment** and **Hazardous Waste Repackaging and Storage** before shipment to disposal facilities down South. As per the Water Treatment Facility we have a **License Type B from the Nunavut Water Board (1BR-THI1419)**. As per the Hazardous Waste Transfer Facility we are registered with the Government of Nunavut as **Hazardous Waste Receiver (NUR#300001)** and as **Hazardous Waste Management Facility (NUF#400006)**. At our new location, we plan on moving these activities and add another activity wich is **Landfarming**. Following conversations with GN Dept. Of Environment, it was understood that permitting and enforcing of landfarming activities were the GN's responsability. I just want to make sure with you that this is indeed the case. So my

questions are as follow:

- Do landfarming project on City of Igaluit's land needs to be screened/reviewed by NPC (or NIRB)?
- Do Hazardous Waste Management activities need to be screened/reviewed by NPC? If yes, can the grandfather clause apply to Qikiqtaaluk Environmental Inc. since we've been doing it under the GN's authorization since 2011?

I cc'd Mr. Alex Brisco on this email from the Government of Nunavut's Department of Environment, Ms. Mélodie Simard from Planning Division at City of Iqaluit and Mr. Harry Flaherty President of QE. Hope to receive answers from you soon.

Best Regards,

Olivier Simard B.SC.

Project Manager - Northern Projects



1571B Kakivak Ct. PO Box 1228

Iqaluit, Nunavut X0A 0H0 Canada

Cellular 867 222-8194

The present document is subject to our information privacy policy available on our Web site.

Think about the environment, do you really need to print this message?

3 attachments



noname.eml

☐ 51K

Letter - DOE Approval of New Facility - 16 Sep 15.pdf 122K