



**Environment Environnement  
Canada Canada**

Environmental Protection Operations  
Qimugjuk Building 969  
P.O. Box 1870  
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0  
Tel: (867) 975-4631  
Fax: (867) 975-4645

18 June 2010

EC file: 4703 004 006  
NWB file: 3BC-ACP----

Phyllis Beaulieu  
Manager of Licensing  
Nunavut Water Board  
P.O. Box 119  
Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

*Via email: [licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org](mailto:licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org)*

**RE: 3BC-ACP---- New Application – Benoit Beauchamp – Arctic Carbonates Project – Research**

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the information submitted with the above-mentioned application. The following specialist advice has been provided pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*, Section 36(3) of the *Fisheries Act*, the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, and the *Species at Risk Act*.

Benoit Beauchamp, of the University of Calgary, has applied for a Scientific Research License to investigate ocean acidification during the late Paleozoic-early Mesozoic transition period in the Sverdrup Basin centered on Raanes Peninsula, southwest Ellesmere Island. The project will examine outcrops of large ancient reefs west of Blind Fiord and outcrops of carbonates and sandstones east of Blind Fiord on Raanes Peninsula. About 50 small rock samples, less than 0.5 kg each, will be collected for geochemical analysis. The project team of 3 people will operate out from temporary tent camps at five different locations for three weeks between June 25 and August 6, 2010. Project crew will initially access the project area by Twin Otter, from Resolute Bay to Eureka, Nunavut. Transport to and around the study areas will include a helicopter and accessing sites on foot. If more work is required, project activities could continue into 2011 and 2012.

EC provides the following comments and recommendations for the NWB's consideration:

**Camp**

- The proponent shall not deposit, nor permit the deposit of chemicals, sediment, wastes, or fuels associated with the project into any water body. According to the *Fisheries Act*, Section 36 (3), the deposition of deleterious substances of any type in water frequented by fish, or in any place under any conditions where the deleterious substance, or any deleterious substance that results from the deposit of the deleterious substance, may enter any such water, is prohibited.
- All sumps, spill basins, and fuel caches should be located in such a manner as to ensure that their contents do not enter any water body, and are to backfilled and re-contoured to match the surrounding landscape when they are no longer required.

- The proponent states that solid waste will be incinerated. EC recommends the use of an approved incinerator for the disposal of combustible camp wastes. The proponent is considering onsite incineration a waste disposal option. EC has developed a Technical Document for Batch Waste Incineration, and is available at the following web link:  
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/gdd-mw/default.asp?lang=En&n=F53EDE13-1>  
The technical document provides information on appropriate incineration technologies, best management and operational practices, monitoring and reporting.
- A spill kit, including shovels, barrels, absorbents, etc. should be readily available at all locations where fuel is being stored or transferred in order to provide immediate response in the event of a spill.
- Spills are to be documented and report to the NWT/NU 24 hour Spill Line at (867) 920-8130. EC recommends that all releases of harmful substances, regardless of quantity, are immediately reportable where the release:
  - is near or into a water body;
  - is near or into a designated sensitive environment or sensitive wildlife habitat;
  - poses an imminent threat to human health or safety; or,
  - poses an imminent threat to a listed species at risk or its critical habitat.

### **Wildlife and Species at Risk**

- Section 6 (a) of the *Migratory Birds Regulations* states that no one shall disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of migratory birds. If active nests are encountered during project activities, the nesting area should be avoided until nesting is complete (i.e., the young have left the vicinity of the nest).
- EC recommends that food, domestic wastes, and petroleum-based chemicals (e.g., greases, gasoline, glycol-based antifreeze) be made inaccessible to wildlife at all times. Such items can attract predators of migratory birds such as foxes, ravens, gulls, and bears. Although these animals may initially be attracted to the novel food sources, they often will also eat eggs and young birds in the area. These predators can have significant negative effects on the local bird populations.
- In order to reduce aircraft disturbance to migratory birds, Environment Canada recommends the following:
  - Fly at times when few birds are present (e.g., early spring, late fall, winter)
  - If flights cannot be scheduled when few birds are present, plan flight paths that minimize flights over habitat likely to have birds and maintain a minimum flight altitude of 650 m (2100 feet).
  - Minimize flights during periods when birds are particularly sensitive to disturbance such as migration, nesting, and moulting.
  - Plan flight paths to avoid known concentrations of birds (e.g., bird colonies, moulting areas) by a lateral distance of at least 1.5 km. If avoidance is not possible, maintain a minimum flight altitude of 1100 m (3500 feet) over areas where birds are known to concentrate.
  - Avoid the seaward side of seabird colonies and areas used by flocks of migrating waterfowl by 3 km.
  - Avoid excessive hovering or circling over areas likely to have birds.
  - Inform pilots of these recommendations and areas known to have birds.
- The following comments are pursuant to the Species at Risk Act (SARA), which came into full effect on June 1, 2004. Section 79 (2) of SARA, states that during an assessment of effects of a project, the adverse effects of the project on listed wildlife species and its critical habitat must be identified, that measures are taken to avoid or lessen those effects, and that the effects need to be monitored. This section applies to all species listed on

Schedule 1 of SARA. However, as a matter of best practice, Environment Canada suggests that species on other Schedules of SARA and under consideration for listing on SARA, including those designated as at risk by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), be considered during an environmental assessment in a similar manner.

Terrestrial Species at Risk <sup>1</sup>	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility <sup>2</sup>
Red Knot ( <i>islandica</i> subspecies)	Endangered	Pending	EC
Porsild's Bryum	Threatened	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Wolverine (Western population)	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Peary Caribou	Endangered	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut

<sup>1</sup> The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has responsibility for aquatic species.

<sup>2</sup> Environment Canada has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Thus, for species within their responsibility, the Territorial Government is best suited to provide detailed advice and information on potential adverse effects, mitigation measures, and monitoring.

Impacts could be disturbance and attraction to operations.

Environment Canada recommends:

- Species at Risk that could be encountered or affected by the project should be identified and any potential adverse effects of the project to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence noted. All direct, indirect, and cumulative effects should be considered. Refer to species status reports and other information on the Species at Risk registry at [www.sararegistry.gc.ca](http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca) for information on specific species.
- If Species at Risk are encountered or affected, the primary mitigation measure should be avoidance. The proponent should avoid contact with or disturbance to each species, its habitat and/or its residence.
- Monitoring should be undertaken by the proponent to determine the effectiveness of mitigation and/or identify where further mitigation is required. As a minimum, this monitoring should include recording the locations and dates of any observations of Species at Risk, behaviour or actions taken by the animals when project activities were encountered, and any actions taken by the proponent to avoid contact or disturbance to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence. This information should be submitted to the appropriate regulators and organizations with management responsibility for that species, as requested
- For species primarily managed by the Territorial Government, the Territorial Government should be consulted to identify other appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to minimize effects to these species from the project.
- Mitigation and monitoring measures must be taken in a way that is consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action/management plans
- EC notes that the Red Knot (a shorebird) was designated as a species of Special Concern by COSEWIC in April 2007. The Red Knot (*islandica* subspecies) breeding range overlaps with the location of the proposed project area. Although the major threats to Red Knot relate to habitat degradation in the wintering areas and decreases in food resources

during spring migration, the proponent should ensure that extra precautions are taken to avoid any disturbance to the Red Knot or its habitat during the breeding season. Red Knots nest on barren habitats (often less than 5% vegetation) such as windswept ridges, slopes or plateaus. Nest sites are usually in dry, south-facing locations, and may be located near wetlands or lake edges, where the young are led after hatching. Nests are simple scrapes on the ground in small patches of vegetation. Nesting will occur in June with hatching in early July. If an active Red Knot nest is encountered during project activities, or observations of Red Knot in the area suggest that a nest could be nearby, the proponent should avoid all activities in the area until nesting is complete (i.e., likely only resume activities in the area until after mid-July).

- The Canadian Wildlife Service of Environment Canada is interested in observations of birds, especially observations of birds identified as Species at Risk (e.g. Red Knot).

Observations can be reported through the NWT/NU Bird Checklist program.

NWT/NU Bird Checklist Survey  
Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada  
5019 - 52 Street, 4th Floor  
P.O. Box 2310  
Yellowknife NT, X1A 2P7  
Phone: 867.669.4773  
Email: [NWTChecklist@ec.gc.ca](mailto:NWTChecklist@ec.gc.ca)

- All mitigation measures identified by the proponent, and the additional measures suggested herein should be strictly adhered to in conducting project activities. This will require awareness on the part of the proponents' representatives (including contractors) conducting operations in the field. Environment Canada recommends that all field staff be made aware of the proponents' commitments to these mitigation measures and provided with appropriate advice/training on how to implement these measures.
- Implementation of these measures may help to reduce or eliminate some effects of the project on migratory birds and Species at Risk, but will not necessarily ensure that the proponent remains in compliance with the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, *Migratory Birds Regulations*, and the *Species at Risk Act*. The proponent must ensure they remain in compliance during all phases and in all undertakings related to the project.

If there are any changes in the proposed project, EC should be notified, as further review may be necessary. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments with regards to the foregoing at (867) 975-4631 or by email at [Paula.C.Smith@ec.gc.ca](mailto:Paula.C.Smith@ec.gc.ca).

Yours truly,



Paula C. Smith  
Environmental Assessment Coordinator

cc: Carey Ogilvie (Head, Environmental Assessment-North, EPO, Yellowknife, NT)  
Ron Bujold (Environmental Assessment Technician, EPO, Yellowknife, NT)  
Myra Robertson (Population Management Biologist, CWS, Yellowknife, NT)  
James Hodson (Environmental Assessment Officer, CWS, Yellowknife, NT)