

Appendix V5-6E

Hope Bay Belt Project: 2010 Freshwater Fish
and Fish Habitat Baseline Report



Hope Bay Mining Limited

HOPE BAY BELT PROJECT 2010 Freshwater Fish and Fish Habitat Baseline Report



Rescan™ Environmental Services Ltd.
Rescan Building, Sixth Floor - 1111 West Hastings Street
Vancouver, BC Canada V6E 2J3
Tel: (604) 689-9460 Fax: (604) 687-4277

November 2011

HOPE BAY BELT PROJECT

2010 FRESHWATER FISH AND FISH HABITAT BASELINE REPORT

November 2011
Project #1009-002-06

Citation:

Rescan. 2011. *Hope Bay Belt Project: 2010 Freshwater Fish and Fish Habitat Baseline Report*. Prepared for Hope Bay Mining Limited by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd.

Prepared for:



Hope Bay Mining Limited

Prepared by:



Rescan™ Environmental Services Ltd.
Vancouver, British Columbia

Executive Summary

Executive Summary

Environmental baseline studies were conducted by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd. (Rescan) on behalf of Hope Bay Mining Ltd. (HBML) at the Hope Bay Belt Project in 2010. The Hope Bay Belt property is located approximately 125 km southwest of Cambridge Bay, Nunavut, on the southern shore of Melville Sound.

This report presents the results from the Freshwater Fish and Fish Habitat portion of the 2010 Phase 2 environmental baseline program.

The primary objective of the 2010 freshwater fish and fish habitat baseline work was to characterize fish habitat and fish communities in the Project area. Specific objectives of the 2010 program were to:

1. Characterize fish habitat and fish communities in lakes, ponds, rivers and streams of the Phase 2 Project area, including those water bodies potentially affected by mine development and reference areas outside the Project area.
2. Describe the type of lake habitat (i.e., substrate or bottom type) near the proposed Ore Deposit site and a reference site in Aimaokatalok Lake.
3. Estimate the population number (with 95% confidence intervals) and spatial distributions of lake trout in the Ore Deposit and reference areas in Aimaokatalok Lake.
4. Determine the type, quantity and rating of stream habitat found along road alignments and within proposed waste rock and tailings management areas in the Phase 2 Project area.

Fish habitat was defined as those environmental components that are required either directly or indirectly by fish to carry out their life processes, including spawning and rearing areas, food production areas, migration routes and over-wintering areas. These areas included lakes, ponds, rivers and streams. The fish communities were defined in terms of total number and number-by-species at each sampling location, total catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) and species-specific CPUE for each type of assessment gear. Biological features of fish such as length, weight, condition, age and diet were also measured. Lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) tissue metal concentrations were evaluated at Aimaokatalok Lake and Reference Lake D. Ninespine stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*) tissue metal concentrations were evaluated at 5 streams within the Phase 2 Project Area. Hydroacoustic methods were also used to estimate absolute fish abundance and evaluate fish habitat in Aimaokatalok Lake.

Studies of fish habitat found that fines were the predominant substrate in streams that could be influenced by project development, while cobble and boulder substrates were most prevalent in streams outside the project area. Large rivers and lake outlet streams supplied good quality habitat for fish. Ninespine stickleback and Arctic grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*) were the predominant species captured from streams. Ponds and small, ephemeral streams assessed were generally non-fish-bearing and rated as poor habitat quality. Hydroacoustic studies of Aimaokatalok Lake indicated that the area adjacent to the ore deposit area was dominated by soft fines and mud, while the reference site in the northern section of the lake was dominated by cobble and boulder.

The fish communities of lake, river, stream and pond habitats were also assessed. The fish communities of lakes were assessed using gillnets and/or hydroacoustic gear. Large river sites were assessed with a combination of gillnets, minnow traps and electrofishing gear. The fish community of stream sites was primarily assessed using backpack electrofishing gear. Fish communities displayed very low species

richness. A total of eight species were identified in freshwater environments, including Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*), Arctic grayling, burbot (*Lota lota*), cisco (*Coregonus artedii*), lake trout, lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*), ninespine stickleback and slimy sculpin (*Cottus cognatus*). Lake trout, lake whitefish and ninespine stickleback represented the majority of fish captured.

Hydroacoustic gear was used to estimate fish density at the Ore Deposit area and the Reference Area in Aimaokatalok Lake. Results from the hydroacoustic surveys indicated that the overall mean density of fish in the ore deposit area was 12 fish/ha. The overall mean density of fish in the reference area was 48 fish/ha. The highest fish densities were observed in the southwest portion of the reference area in 10 to 15 m of water, and the highest densities in the ore deposit area were observed along the western shoreline of the study area. Fish densities ranged from 0 to 935 fish/ha in the ore deposit area, while densities in the Reference area ranged from 0 to 1,026 fish/ha. Concentrations of fish were typically associated with deep water habitat (e.g., 10 to 15 m) with lake cisco were found in higher proportions at these depths.

Taxonomic analysis of stomach contents was conducted on lake trout and lake whitefish. Lake trout were primarily piscivorous, while lake whitefish mainly fed on mysid crustaceans. Lake trout muscle and liver tissue samples were analysed for total metal concentrations from Aimaokatalok Lake and Reference Lake D. In Aimaokatalok Lake, lake trout had mean concentrations above the Health Canada guideline for mercury in both muscle and liver tissue. In Reference Lake D, all lake trout samples, both muscle and liver, had concentrations below the Health Canada guideline for mercury. Whole body samples of ninespine stickleback from streams that could potentially be influenced by mine development were also analysed for total metal concentrations. All ninespine stickleback samples had concentrations below the Health Canada guideline for mercury.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

Fish community and fish habitat surveys were performed by Mark Tupper (M.Sc., Ph.D., R.P.Bio.), Kevin Esseltine (M.Sc.), Chris Martin (M.Sc.), Michael Stamford (M.Sc.), Ellen Lea (M.Sc.), Scott Decker (M.Sc.), Jason Gabora (M.Sc.), Genevieve Morinville (M.Sc.), and Erika Reneker (B.Sc.), Hydroacoustics fieldwork and data analysis was conducted by Brock Stables (M.Sc.). Data analysis and report preparation was conducted by Mark Tupper, Kevin Esseltine, Fraser Ross (B.Sc.) and Erika Reneker. Field support was provided by HBML's Environment and Social Responsibility (ESR) Division, Great Slave Helicopter Co., and Inuit assistants. Deborah Muggli (Ph.D., M.Sc., R.P.Bio.) was the Rescan Project Manager for the 2010 environmental program.

Table of Contents

HOPE BAY BELT PROJECT

2010 FRESHWATER FISH AND FISH HABITAT BASELINE REPORT

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Plates	xi
List of Appendices.....	xii
1. Introduction	1-1
2. Materials and Methods.....	2-1
2.1 Fish Habitat.....	2-1
2.1.1 Shoreline Lake Habitat	2-1
2.1.2 Substrate Classification using Hydroacoustics and Underwater Video	2-1
2.1.2.1 Data Collection	2-1
2.1.2.2 Data Processing and Analysis	2-4
2.1.3 Stream Habitat.....	2-6
2.1.4 Sensitive Fish Habitat Inventory	2-6
2.2 Fish Community.....	2-15
2.2.1 Aimaokatalok Lake Hydroacoustic and Video Surveys	2-15
2.2.1.1 General	2-15
2.2.1.2 Data Collection	2-16
2.2.1.3 Data Processing and Analysis	2-16
2.2.2 Field Sample Collection and Processing	2-17
2.3 Quality Assurance / Quality Control	2-30
2.4 Data Analysis	2-32
3. Results and Discussion	3-1
3.1 Fish Habitat.....	3-1
3.1.1 Aimaokatalok Lake Hydroacoustic and Video Surveys	3-1
3.1.1.1 Ore Deposit Area	3-1
3.1.1.2 Reference Area.....	3-1

3.1.2	Habitat Assessment of Streams and Potential Quarry Sites.....	3-4
3.1.2.1	Streams Located Downstream of Potential Phase 2 Infrastructure.....	3-5
3.1.2.2	Reference Watersheds	3-16
3.1.2.3	Surface Waters Adjacent to Potential Quarries	3-21
3.1.3	Sensitive Habitat Inventory Method (SHIM)	3-21
3.1.3.1	Channel Characteristics.....	3-21
3.1.3.2	Channel Morphology and Instream Habitat.....	3-37
3.1.3.3	Habitat Quality	3-37
3.2	Fish Community.....	3-41
3.2.1	Lake Fish Community	3-41
3.2.1.1	Community Composition and CPUE	3-41
3.2.1.2	Aimaokatalok Lake Hydroacoustic Surveys	3-44
3.2.1.3	Length, Weight and Condition	3-50
3.2.1.4	Age and Growth	3-55
3.2.1.5	Diet	3-59
3.2.1.6	Tissue Metals Concentrations	3-66
3.2.2	River, Stream and Pond Fish Community.....	3-70
3.2.2.1	Community and CPUE	3-70
3.2.2.2	Length, Weight, and Condition.....	3-74
3.2.2.3	Tissue Metal Concentrations	3-75
4.	Summary	4-1
4.1	Aimaokatalok Lake Fish Habitat	4-1
4.2	Lake Fish Community	4-1
4.3	Stream Fish Habitat.....	4-2
4.4	Stream and Pond Fish Community.....	4-2
	References.....	R-1

List of Figures

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 1-1. Hope Bay Belt Project Location	1-2
Figure 1-2. Site Layout Options Considered for Phase 2 Baseline Program, 2010.....	1-3
Figure 2.1-1. Ore Deposit and Reference Sites at Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-2
Figure 2.1-2a. Stream and Pond Fish Habitat and Fish Community Assessment Locations, Hope Bay Belt North, 2010.....	2-7
Figure 2.1-2b. Stream and Pond Fish Habitat and Fish Community Assessment Locations, Hope Bay Belt Central, 2010.....	2-9

Figure 2.1-2c. Stream and Pond Fish Habitat and Fish Community Assessment Locations, Hope Bay Belt South, 2010.....	2-11
Figure 2.1-3. Locations of Streams Assessed by SHIM, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	2-13
Figure 2.2-1. Hope Bay Fisheries Lake Sampling Sites.	2-19
Figure 2.2-2. Gillnet and Minnow Trap Set Locations in Aimaokatalok Lake (Reference Area), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	2-22
Figure 2.2-3. Gillnet and Minnow Trap Set Locations in Aimaokatalok Lake (Ore Deposit), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-23
Figure 2.2-4. Gillnet and Minnow Trap Set Locations in Stickleback Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-24
Figure 2.2-5. Gillnet and Minnow Trap Set Locations in Trout Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010....	2-25
Figure 2.2-6. Gillnet and Minnow Trap Set Locations in Reference B Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-26
Figure 2.2-7. Gillnet and Minnow Trap Set Locations in Reference D Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-27
Figure 3.1-1. Substrate Composition of Aimaokatalok Lake (Ore Deposit) Derived from Hydroacoustic and Underwater Video Surveys, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-2
Figure 3.1-2. Substrate Composition of Aimaokatalok Lake (Reference Area) Derived from Hydroacoustic and Underwater Video Surveys, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-3
Figure 3.1-3. Mean Gradient of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-6
Figure 3.1-4. Mean Bankfull Depth of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-7
Figure 3.1-5. Mean Bankfull Width of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-8
Figure 3.1-6. Substrate Composition of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-9
Figure 3.1-7. Available Cover for Fish in Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-10
Figure 3.1-8. Mean Gradient of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-11
Figure 3.1-9. Mean Bankfull Depth of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-12
Figure 3.1-10. Mean Bankfull Width of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-13
Figure 3.1-11. Substrate Composition of Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-14

Figure 3.1-12. Available Cover for Fish in Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-15
Figure 3.1-13. Mean Gradient, Bankfull Depth and Bankfull Width of Reference Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-17
Figure 3.1-14. Substrate Composition and Available Cover of Reference Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat at Freshet, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-18
Figure 3.1-15. Mean Gradient, Bankfull Depth and Bankfull Width of Reference Stream Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-19
Figure 3.1-16. Substrate Composition and Available Cover of Reference Stream Sites in the Northern Belt Surveyed in Summer for Fish Habitat, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-20
Figure 3.1-17. Mean Gradient, Bankfull Depth and Bankfull Width of Streams Located in Potential Quarry Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-22
Figure 3.1-18. Substrate Composition and Available Cover of Streams Located in Potential Quarry Sites Surveyed for Fish Habitat in Summer, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-23
Figure 3.1-19a. SHIM Survey of P.O. Inflow, Doris Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-24
Figure 3.1-19b. SHIM Survey of Doris Inflow, Doris Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-25
Figure 3.1-19c. SHIM Survey of Patch Lake North/Patch Lake North 3 Inflow, Doris Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-26
Figure 3.1-19d. SHIM Survey of Patch Lake North Inflow, Doris Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-27
Figure 3.1-19e. SHIM Survey of Patch Lake South Inflow, Doris Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-28
Figure 3.1-20a. SHIM Survey of Boston Tailings Stream 1a, Aimaokatalok Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-29
Figure 3.1-20b. SHIM Survey of Boston Tailings Streams 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d, Aimaokatalok Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-30
Figure 3.1-20c. SHIM Survey of Boston Tailings Streams 1e and A, Aimaokatalok Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-31
Figure 3.1-20d. SHIM Survey of Boston Tailings Stream B, Aimaokatalok Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-32
Figure 3.1-20e. SHIM Survey of Boston Tailings Stream 3, Aimaokatalok Watershed, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-33
Figure 3.1-20f. Streams Surveyed using SHIM in the Boston Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-34
Figure 3.2-1. Aimaokatalok Lake Fish Density Distribution Derived from Hydroacoustics (Ore Deposit Area, Daytime), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-45
Figure 3.2-2. Aimaokatalok Lake Fish Density Distribution Derived from Hydroacoustics (Ore Deposit Area, Dusk), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-46

Figure 3.2-3. Aimaokatalok Lake Fish Density Distribution Derived from Hydroacoustics (Reference Area, Daytime), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-51
Figure 3.2-4. Length-Frequency Distributions for Fish Sampled from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-52
Figure 3.2-5. Length-Frequency Distributions for Lake Trout Sampled from Reference Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-53
Figure 3.2-6. Length-Weight Regressions for Fish Sampled from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-56
Figure 3.2-7. Length-Weight Regressions for Lake Trout Sampled from Reference Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-57
Figure 3.2-8. Mean Condition of Fish Sampled from Four Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-58
Figure 3.2-9. Age-Frequency Distributions for Fish Sampled from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-60
Figure 3.2-10. Age-Frequency Distributions for Lake Trout Sampled from Reference Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-61
Figure 3.2-11. von Bertalanffy Growth Models for Lake Trout and Lake Whitefish Sampled from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-62
Figure 3.2-12. von Bertalanffy Growth Models for Lake Trout Sampled from Reference Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-63
Figure 3.2-13. Mean Taxonomic Composition of Fish Stomach Contents by Count, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-64
Figure 3.2-14. Mean Taxonomic Composition of Fish Stomach Contents by Wet Weight, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-65
Figure 3.2-15. Plot of Muscle Tissue Mercury Concentration from Lake Trout Sampled for Tissue Metals, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-71
Figure 3.2-16. Mean Whole-body Tissue Concentrations of Arsenic and Mercury in Ninespine Stickleback from Five Streams in the Boston Area, Hope Bay Belt, 2010	3-77
Figure 3.2-17. Plot of Muscle Tissue Mercury Concentrations from Ninespine Stickleback from Four Streams in the Boston Area, Hope Bay Belt, 2010	3-80

List of Tables

TABLE	PAGE
Table 2.1-1. Hydroacoustic System Specifications for Surveys of Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	2-3
Table 2.1-2. Visual Bottom Typing (VBT) Processing Settings Used to Distinguish Bottom Types of Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	2-5

Table 2.1-3. Stream and River Fish Habitat Assessment Locations, Hope Bay Project, 2010	2-14
Table 2.1-4. Classification System for Streams, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	2-15
Table 2.2-1. Metals and Detection Limits for Lake Trout Tissue Analysis, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	2-30
Table 2.3-1. Tests of Variability of Fish Tissue Metal Concentrations, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2009 ..	2-31
Table 3.1-1. Substrate Composition of Aimaokatalok Lake (Ore Deposit) Derived from Hydroacoustics and Underwater Video, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-1
Table 3.1-2. Substrate Composition of Aimaokatalok Lake (Reference Area) Derived from Hydroacoustics and Underwater Video, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-4
Table 3.1-3a. Summary of Channel and Instream Habitat Characteristics of Streams Mapped using SHIM, Doris Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-35
Table 3.1-3b. Summary of Channel and Instream Habitat Characteristics of Streams Mapped using SHIM, Boston Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-36
Table 3.1-4a. Summary of Habitat Quality Characteristics of Streams Mapped using SHIM, Doris Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-38
Table 3.1-4b. Summary of Habitat Quality Characteristics of Streams Mapped using SHIM, Boston Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-39
Table 3.2-1. Total Catch and Mean Catch per Unit Effort for Gillnets in Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-47
Table 3.2-2. Total Effort, Catch by Species, Total Catch and Catch per Unit Effort at Varying Depths for Ore Body and Reference Sites in Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-47
Table 3.2-3. Catch per Unit Effort in Floating and Sinking Gillnets at Various Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-48
Table 3.2-4. Mean Areal Fish Density (Fish/ha) by Transect during each Survey of the Aimaokatalok Lake Ore Body and Reference Areas, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-48
Table 3.2-5. Species Composition Derived from Gillnetting Surveys of the Ore Deposit and Reference Areas at Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-49
Table 3.2-6. Fish Species Composition and Density by Depth during each Survey of the Aimaokatalok Lake Ore Deposit and Reference Areas, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-49
Table 3.2-7. Mean Areal Fish Density (Fish/ha) by Species for each Survey of the Aimaokatalok Lake Ore Deposit and Reference Areas, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-50
Table 3.2-8. Summary of Mean Length, Weight and Condition for Fish Sampled from Five Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-54
Table 3.2-9. Age Data Summary for Fish Sampled from Three Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010 ..	3-59
Table 3.2-10. Mean Concentration of Metals in Lake Trout Muscle Tissue from Two Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-66

Table 3.2-11. Mean Concentration of Metals in Lake Trout Liver Tissue from Two lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	3-67
Table 3.2-12. Two-Way ANOVA Results Comparing Lake Trout Tissue Metal Concentrations among Lakes and Tissue Types, Hope Bay Belt, 2010.....	3-69
Table 3.2-13. Fish Species and Numbers Captured from Streams, Ponds and Surface Waters Adjacent to Proposed Quarry Sites, Hope Bay Project, 2010.....	3-72
Table 3.2-14. Electrofishing Effort, Catch and CPUE for Streams, Ponds and Surface Waters Adjacent to Proposed Quarry Sites, Hope Bay Project, 2010.....	3-74
Table 3.2-15. Summary of Mean Length, Weight and Condition for Fish Sampled from Streams, Hope Bay Project, 2010	3-75
Table 3.2-16. Summary of Mean Length, Weight and Condition for Fish Sampled from Ponds and Surface Waters Adjacent to Quarry Sites, Hope Bay Project, 2010	3-76
Table 3.2-17a. Mean Concentration of Metals in Ninespine Stickleback Whole Body Tissue, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-78
Table 3.2-17b. Mean Concentration of Metals in Ninespine Stickleback Whole Body Tissue, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-79

List of Plates

PLATE	PAGE
Plate 2.1-1. Boat set-up used to conduct hydroacoustics surveys of Ore Deposit and reference areas at Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.	2-3
Plate 2.2-1. Gillnetting at Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.	2-18
Plate 2.2-2. Backpack electrofishing gear used to assess the fish communities in streams, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-18
Plate 2.2-3. Field sampling equipment used to collect fish biological data, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	2-28
Plate 2.2-4. Envelopes used for the storage of fish aging structures, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010. .	2-28
Plate 2.2-5. Example of a lake trout muscle tissue sample collected for analysis of metals concentrations, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2009.	2-29
Plate 3.2-1. Lake trout captured by gillnetting from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-42
Plate 3.2-2. Lake whitefish captured by gillnetting from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-42
Plate 3.2-3. Cisco captured by gillnetting from Aimaokatalok Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010. ..	3-43
Plate 3.2-4. Arctic grayling captured by gillnetting from Stickleback Lake, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-43

Plate 3.2-5. Adult female and juvenile ninespine stickleback caught by electrofishing from stream N07 (Doris Outflow), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.....	3-73
Plate 3.2-6. Young-of-year Arctic grayling caught by electrofishing in stream S04, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010.	3-73

List of Appendices

Appendix 2.1-1. Field Data Sheet Template	
Appendix 2.2-1. Lake Fishing Effort, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 2.3-1. Quality Control Tests of Fish Tissue Metal Concentrations, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 2.3-2. Fish Tissue Replicate Metals Concentrations, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.1-1. Substrate Data Collected from Hydroacoustic Surveys of the Aimaokatalok Lake Ore Deposit Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.1-2. Substrate Data Collected from Hydroacoustic Surveys of the Aimaokatalok Lake Reference Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.1-3. Fish Habitat Stream Surveys, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.1-4. SHIM Data for Stream Segments Assessed in the Doris and Boston Areas, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.1-5. SHIM Data for Pond Segments Assessed in the Doris and Boston Areas, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-1. Biological Data for Fish Sampled from Lakes, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-2. Fish Density Data Collected during the Daytime Hydroacoustic Survey of the Aimaokatalok Lake Ore Deposit Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-3. Fish Density Data Collected during the Dusk Hydroacoustic Survey of the Aimaokatalok Lake Ore Deposit Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-4. Fish Density Data Collected during the Daytime Hydroacoustic Survey of the Aimaokatalok Lake Reference Area, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-5. Fish Diet Composition Data (Number), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-6. Fish Diet Composition Data (Wet Weight), Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-7. Fish Tissue Metals Concentrations of Lake Trout Sampled from Streams and Ponds, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-8. Biological Data for Fish Sampled from Streams, Ponds and Surface Waters Adjacent to Potential Quarry Sites, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	
Appendix 3.2-9. Fish Tissue Metals Concentrations of Ninespine Stickleback Sampled from Streams and Ponds, Hope Bay Belt Project, 2010	

1. Introduction

1. Introduction

The Hope Bay Belt Property is located approximately 125 km southwest of Cambridge Bay, Nunavut, on the south shore of Melville Sound (Figure 1-1). The nearest communities are Omingmaktok (75 km to the southwest of the property), Cambridge Bay, and Kingaok (Bathurst Inlet; 160 km to the southwest of the property).

The property consists of a greenstone belt running in a north/south direction, approximately 80 km long, with 3 main gold deposit areas. The Doris and Madrid deposits are located in the northern portion of the belt, and the Boston deposit is located in the southern end. The northern portion of the property consists of several watershed systems that drain into Roberts Bay, and a large river (Koignuk River) that drains into Hope Bay. Watersheds in the southern portion of the belt ultimately drain into the upper Koignuk, which drains into Hope Bay.

Hope Bay Mining Limited (HBML) is proceeding with the development of the Doris North Project. Required licences and permits are in place for the development of the Doris North Gold Mine, and construction of the project commenced in 2010.

HBML plans to develop additional deposits in the belt, and planning for this Phase 2 Project development has commenced. Baseline studies to support the permitting of the Phase 2 Project were carried out in 2009, and were continued in 2010. The environmental baseline program conducted in 2010 was intended to fill in information gaps in order to support the permitting process for the Phase 2 Project. The site layout options considered for the 2010 Phase 2 environmental baseline program are shown in Figure 1-2.

Results from the 2010 Phase 2 Project environmental baseline program are being reported in a series of reports, as follows:

- 2010 Hydrology Baseline Report
- 2010 Freshwater Baseline Report
- 2010 Freshwater Fish and Fish Habitat Baseline Report
- 2010 Marine Baseline Report
- 2010 Marine Fish and Fish Habitat Baseline Report
- 2010 Terrain and Soils Baseline Report
- 2010 Country Foods Baseline Report
- 2010 Ecosystems and Vegetation Baseline Report
- 2010 Marine Wildlife Baseline Report

In addition, numerous reports are being produced as part of the Doris North Project compliance requirements, and many of these reports cover the geographical areas of the proposed Phase 2 Project. Examples of Doris North Project compliance reports generated in 2010 that are relevant to the proposed Phase 2 Project include:

- 2010 Meteorology Compliance Report, Doris North Project



Figure 1-1

Hope Bay Belt Project Location