

Appendix V6-5N

Project-related Food Chain Model and Predicted
Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in
the Tissues of Country Food Species and Wildlife Species



Appendix V6-5N. Project-Related Food Chain Model and Predicted Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species and Wildlife Species

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Tables.....	ii
1. Introduction	1-1
2. Methods.....	2-1
2.1 Biotransfer Factors	2-1
2.2 Project-related Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Environmental Media and Biota	2-5
2.3 Project-related Invertebrate Tissue Concentrations.....	2-5
2.4 Wildlife Characteristics.....	2-6
2.4.1 Caribou	2-6
2.4.2 Muskox.....	2-19
2.4.3 Arctic Ground Squirrel	2-19
2.4.4 Canada Goose	2-19
2.4.5 Wolverine	2-19
2.4.6 Grizzly Bear.....	2-20
2.4.7 Wolf.....	2-20
2.4.8 Arctic Shrew	2-20
2.4.9 Northern Red-backed Vole	2-21
2.4.10 Willow Ptarmigan.....	2-21
2.4.11 American Tree Sparrow.....	2-21
2.4.12 Peregrine Falcon.....	2-21
2.4.13 Red-breasted Merganser	2-21
2.4.14 Least Sandpiper	2-22
2.4.15 Long-tailed Duck.....	2-22
2.4.16 Herring Gull.....	2-22
2.4.17 Yellow Warbler.....	2-22
2.4.18 Brant.....	2-22

2.4.19	Ringed Seal	2-23
2.5	Sample Calculation and Complete Model Results	2-23
References		R-1

List of Tables

TABLE	PAGE
Table V6-5N1. Wildlife Uptake Equations for Contaminants of Potential Concern	2-1
Table V6-5N2. Biotransfer Factors Used to Predict Uptake of Contaminants of Potential Concern into Wildlife Tissue	2-2
Table V6-5N3. Literature Derived Muscle Tissue Metal Concentrations in Caribou and Tissue Distribution Ratios used to Predict Kidney and Liver Tissue Metal Concentrations in Caribou.....	2-4
Table V6-5N4. Construction Phase Summary of the 95 th Percentile Concentration of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Vegetation, Soil, Sediment, Freshwater, Marine Water, Fish Tissue, and Mussel Tissue Samples	2-7
Table V6-5N5. Operational Phase Summary of the 95 th Percentile Concentration of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Vegetation, Soil, Sediment, Freshwater, Marine Water, Fish Tissue, and Mussel Tissue Samples	2-9
Table V6-5N6. Predicted Concentration of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Freshwater Aquatic Invertebrate Tissue during Construction and Operational Phases.....	2-11
Table V6-5N7. Predicted Concentration of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Terrestrial Invertebrate Tissue during Construction and Operational Phases	2-12
Table V6-5N8. Wildlife Diet Items and Proportions	2-13
Table V6-5N9. Wildlife Characteristics	2-15
Table V6-5N10. Sample Calculation of Copper Concentration in Caribou Tissue due to Uptake from Soil, Surface Water, and Vegetation	2-23
Table V6-5N11. Construction Phase Modeled Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species and Wildlife Species.....	2-25
Table V6-5N12. Operational Phase Modeled Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species and Wildlife Species.....	2-29

1. Introduction

In the Human Health and Environmental Risk Assessment (HHERA; Volume 6, Section 5), Madrid-Boston Project-related concentrations of contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) in the tissues of country food species and wildlife valued ecosystem components (VECs) were estimated using a food chain model. Modelled country food species include: caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*), Arctic ground squirrel (*Spermophilus parryi*), willow ptarmigan (*Lagopus lagopus*), local berries (i.e., *Empetrum nigrum*, *Arctostaphy alpine*, and *Vaccinium uliginosum*), arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*), and lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) existing conditions.

Consistent with the existing conditions food chain model (Appendix V6-5E), the wildlife VECs (or species selected to represent a VEC) include: caribou, muskox (*Ovibos moschatus*), wolverine (*Gulo gulo*), grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*), wolf (*Canis lupus arctos*), Arctic ground squirrel, Arctic shrew (*Sorex arcticus*), northern red-backed vole (*Myodes rutilus*), willow ptarmigan (*Lagopus lagopus*), American tree sparrow (*Spizella arborea*), peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), Canada goose, red-breasted merganser (*Mergus serrator*), least sandpiper (*Calidris minutilla*), long-tailed duck (*Clangula hyemalis*), herring gull (*Larus smithsonianus*), yellow warbler (*Setophaga petechia*), brant (*Branta bernicla*), and ringed seal (*Phoca hispida*).

The food chain model predicts COPC concentrations in animal tissue by estimating the fraction of COPCs that are retained in the tissues when wildlife ingests environmental media such as vegetation, prey, soil, sediment, and surface water. The food chain model followed the methodology described in Golder Associates Ltd. (2005), which is recommended by Health Canada (2010) and is the same type of model recommended by Environment Canada (2012a).

Modeled tissue COPC concentrations were used in the Project-related human health risk assessment (HHRA) and the Project-related environmental risk assessment (ERA) to assess the potential for country foods to affect human health, and the potential for prey species to affect wildlife health during the Construction and Operational phases of the Madrid-Boston Project.

2. Methods

The following equation was used to predict COPC concentrations in animal tissue (C_{total} in mg/kg):

$$C_{total} = C_{m[soil\ or\ sediment]} + C_{m[water]} + C_{m[veg]} + C_{m[prey]} \quad [\text{Equation 1}]$$

where:

- $C_{m[soil]}$ = Concentration in meat from exposure to COPCs in soil
- $C_{m[sediment]}$ = Concentration in meat from exposure to COPCs in sediment
- $C_{m[water]}$ = Concentration in meat from exposure to COPCs in water
- $C_{m[veg]}$ = Concentration in meat from exposure to COPCs in vegetation
- $C_{m[prey]}$ = Concentration in meat from exposure to COPCs in prey

The wildlife uptake equations used to estimate the concentrations in animal tissue (meat) from exposure to soil or sediment, vegetation, prey, and water are presented in Table V6-5N1.

Table V6-5N1. Wildlife Uptake Equations for Contaminants of Potential Concern

Pathway	Equation and Parameters
Generic Equation	$C_{m[media]} = BTF \times C \times IR \times ET \times fw$
Ingestion Equations	
Soil Ingestion	$C_{m[soil]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{soil} \times IR_{soil} \times ET \times fw$
Sediment Ingestion	$C_{m[sediment]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{sediment} \times IR_{sediment} \times ET \times fw$
Vegetation Ingestion	$C_{m[veg]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{veg} \times IR_{veg} \times ET \times fw$
Prey Ingestion	$C_{m[prey]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{prey} \times IR_{prey} \times ET \times fw$
Water Ingestion	$C_{m[water]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{water} \times IR_{water} \times ET \times fw$

Notes:

$C_{m[media]}$ = concentration of COPCs in wildlife tissue (mg/kg wet weight) from ingestion of environmental media (e.g., soil, sediment, vegetation, prey, water)

$BTF_{tissue-food}$ = biotransfer factor for the wildlife species and COPC (day/kg)

$C_{[media]}$ = COPC concentration in soil, sediment, vegetation, prey, or water (mg/kg or mg/L)

$IR_{soil/sediment/veg/prey/water}$ = daily ingestion rate of environmental media for wildlife species (kg/day or L/day)

ET = exposure time spent in the area for wildlife species (unitless)

fw = fraction of daily consumption for wildlife species (assumed 1; unitless)

2.1 BIOTRANSFER FACTORS

The tissue uptake calculations were based, in part, on COPC specific biotransfer factors (BTFs), which are rates at which COPCs are taken up and absorbed into wildlife tissue from their food. The use of BTFs in the calculations of Project-related tissue concentrations in country foods and wildlife VECs follows the same rationale and methodology as the existing conditions food chain model (Appendix V6-5E), but is repeated herein for consistency.

A scientific literature search on uptake or biotransfer factors (BTFs) was conducted for various wildlife species and country food species included in the HHERA (see table V6-5E7, Appendix V6-5E for a list of species) using the Web of Science search engine. Search terms used in the query included common and scientific names of country food and wildlife receptors in combination with "uptake factor",

“biotransfer factor”, “bio transfer factor”, “bioaccumulation factor”, and “bioconcentration factor” for each COPC. The scientific literature search did not identify any species-specific BTFs for the COPCs included in the FEIS.

The predicted COPC concentrations in wildlife and country food tissue in this FEIS are based on a food web bioaccumulation model that takes into account various uptake factors such as ingestion of various vegetation and prey items, drinking water, and incidental ingestion of soil/sediment. The regression models included in the US EPA (2007) guidance do not incorporate bioaccumulation via various exposure pathways as the bioaccumulation food web model does. The US EPA (2007) document uses a more basic relationship based on regression models to predict the tissue concentrations of biota from soil concentrations only. The regression equations presented in the US EPA (2007) document for the identified COPCs used to predict the uptake of metals from soil into tissue of small mammals are compilations of equations and relationships from various older documents including Sample et al. (1998) and Baes et al (1984). Therefore, although the US EPA (2007) guidance document is a relatively recent publication, the actual data used in the publication is not more recent than the data used in US EPA (1999), Staven et al. (2003), US EPA (2005), and the Risk Assessment Information System RAIS (2017) which were the sources of BTFs used in this HHERA (Table V6-5N2).

Table V6-5N2. Biotransfer Factors Used to Predict Uptake of Contaminants of Potential Concern into Wildlife Tissue

COPC	BTF _{beef}		BTF _{chicken}	
	day/kg	Reference	day/kg	Reference
Aluminum	0.0015	1	0.8	2, 3
Arsenic	0.002	1	0.83	2
Cadmium	0.00055	1	0.106	4
Chromium	0.0055	1	0.2	2
Copper	0.01	1	0.5	2
Lead	0.0003	2	0.8	2
Manganese	0.0004	1	0.05	2
Mercury	0.25	1	0.03	2
Nickel	0.006	1	0.001	2
Selenium	0.00227	4	1.13	4
Thallium	0.04	4	10.8	2
Zinc	0.00009	4	0.00875	4

Notes:

COPC = contaminant of potential concern

BTF_{beef} = biotransfer factor for beef; BTF_{chicken} = biotransfer factor for chicken

References: 1. RAIS (2017)

2. Staven et al. (2003).

3. BTF_{chicken} for aluminum is based on BTF_{chicken} for gallium.

4. US EPA (2005).

In addition, the uptake equations presented in the US EPA (2007) guidance document are designed to predict tissue concentrations in wildlife dietary items based only on exposure to soil concentrations (in contrast to the multiple exposure routes that were incorporated into the food chain model described herein). Also, the US EPA (2007) guidance does not provide uptake factors from soil to mammals for any of the identified COPCs in this assessment.

Using the soil-to-chicken BTFs for avian species and soil-to-beef BTFs for mammalian species in absence of species-specific BTFs is based on methodology recommended by Health Canada (Golder Associates Ltd. 2005), and is a common standard practice not unique to this Project. This methodology has been employed in various DEIS and FEIS environmental risk assessments for northern projects (e.g., Gahcho Kuè project for ungulates and Back River project for both mammalian and avian species) and in other environmental risk assessments for Canadian projects (e.g., Kemess Underground project, Kerr-Sulphurets-Mitchel project, Brucejack project, and Murray River project).

Gahcho Kuè referenced RAIS (2017) as a source of BTFs associated with ungulate species. For other mammals, the authors used the US EPA (2007) uptake equations to calculate the tissue concentrations of the mammalian species based on soil concentration on site. The authors then divided the predicted tissue concentrations that were derived from these equations by the soil concentrations used in the same equations to derive an uptake factor. In other words, the derived uptake factors used in the Gahcho Kuè project are a result of a circular calculation methodology from regression models and are no more species- or site-specific or recent than the BAFs offered by RAIS 2010, Staven et al 2003, or US EPA 2005. Given the circular nature of the calculations used in derivation of uptake factors from the US EPA (2007) formulas, and the fact that the US EPA (2007) guidance document is based on older data (e.g., Sample et al 1998), we have adopted RAIS (2017), Staven et al. (2003), and (US EPA 2005) BTFs in this FEIS.

Food-to-tissue BTFs are used for water, sediment, and soil transfer calculations in the absence of BTFs for these media, as recommended by Golder Associates Ltd. (2005). As no species-specific BTFs for the country food or wildlife species were available, beef BTFs were used for mammals (Table V6-5E2; US EPA 2005; RAIS 2017). The use of beef BTFs for wild mammals is considered to be a conservative approach (RAIS 2017). No BTFs were identified for specific avian wildlife species; therefore, chicken BTFs were used for bird species. The chicken BTFs were obtained from the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory's (PNNL) report and the US EPA (Staven et al. 2003; US EPA 2005).

When BTF values were not available for specific COPCs, the BTF for a COPC with similar physicochemical characteristics was substituted. Metal COPCs were considered similar in their physicochemical characteristics if they were immediately above or below each other on the periodic table of elements. For example, the BTF_{chicken} for aluminum was not available; therefore, the BTF_{chicken} value for gallium was substituted because gallium is below aluminum on the periodic table of the elements.

Food chain models can over- or under-predict contaminant concentrations in the tissues of wildlife species, and the concentrations predicted with the Golder Associates Ltd. (2005) food chain model are for the whole-body and are not tissue specific. However, Inuit frequently consume the liver and kidney of caribou, which may have much higher metal concentrations than other tissues. Therefore, to obtain liver and kidney tissue concentrations for caribou, tissue distribution ratios were applied to the predicted whole-body tissue concentrations based on muscle, liver, and kidney concentrations in caribou tissue reported in peer reviewed literature. Tissue distribution ratios were calculated based on Canadian studies the data provided in the following studies:

- Crete et al. (1989): cadmium concentrations reported in muscle, kidney, and liver tissue of caribou from Quebec;
- Elkin and Bethke (1995): metal concentrations (i.e., aluminum, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, and zinc) reported in kidney and liver tissue of caribou from the Northwest Territories;

- Gamberg (2000): metal concentrations (i.e., arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, and zinc) reported in muscle, kidney, and liver tissue of caribou from the Yukon;
- Gamberg (2004): metal concentrations (i.e., aluminum, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, calcium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, strontium, thallium, tin, uranium, vanadium, and zinc) reported in kidney tissue of caribou from the Yukon;
- Gamberg et al. (2005): metal concentrations (i.e., aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, selenium, and zinc) reported in kidney tissue of caribou from Alaska and the Yukon;
- Gamberg (2010): metal concentrations (i.e., arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, selenium, and zinc) reported in kidney tissue of caribou from the Yukon and Northwest Territories;
- Gamberg and Scheuhammer (1994): cadmium concentrations reported in kidney and liver tissue of caribou from the Yukon and Northwest Territories;
- Kim, Chan, and Receuver (1998): cadmium concentrations reported in muscle, kidney, and liver tissue of caribou from the Northwest Territories;
- Larter et al. (2010): metal concentrations (i.e., aluminum, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, and zinc) reported in kidney tissue of caribou from the Northwest Territories;
- Macdonald et al. (2002): metal concentrations (i.e., aluminum, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, and zinc) reported in muscle, kidney, and liver tissue of caribou from the Northwest Territories and Nunavut;
- Pollock et al. (2009): metal concentrations (i.e., cadmium, lead, mercury, and selenium) reported in kidney tissue of caribou from Labrador; and
- Robillard et al. (2002): metal concentrations (i.e., cadmium, lead, and mercury) reported in muscle, kidney, and liver tissue in caribou from Northern Quebec.

Tissue distribution ratios for liver and kidney tissue were obtained by dividing the measured median liver or kidney concentrations by the measured median muscle concentration. The liver and kidney tissue calibration factors were then multiplied by the caribou whole body tissue concentration to obtain liver and kidney tissue concentrations. Calibration factors for organs could not be calculated for COPCs that were not measured in both muscle and kidney or liver; therefore, those COPCs were assumed to have a tissue distribution ratio of one, based on a lack of data to determine appropriate distribution ratios for organs compared to muscle. The tissue distribution ratios presented in Table V6-5N3 were used to estimate organ meat (i.e., liver and kidney tissue) concentrations based on predicted whole-body concentrations.

Table V6-5N3. Literature Derived Muscle Tissue Metal Concentrations in Caribou and Tissue Distribution Ratios used to Predict Kidney and Liver Tissue Metal Concentrations in Caribou

Metal	Median Muscle Tissue Concentration (mg/kg wet weight)	Median Liver Tissue Concentration (mg/kg wet weight)	Median Kidney Tissue Concentration (mg/kg wet weight)	Tissue Distribution Ratio for Liver	Tissue Distribution Ratio for Kidney
Arsenic	0.129	0.174	0.146	1.35	1.13
Cadmium	0.0382	5.33	46.1	140	1207
Copper	2.83	130	20.7	46.0	7.30
Lead	0.0540	9.77	1.18	181	21.8
Mercury	0.0186	2.02	9.63	109	518
Zinc	47.0	74.0	88.9	1.57	1.89

2.2 PROJECT-RELATED CONCENTRATIONS OF CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA AND BIOTA

A summary of the predicted 95th Percentile concentrations of COPCs in the media (i.e., vegetation, soil, water, sediment, fish tissue, and mussel tissue) used as inputs into the Project-related food chain model is presented in Table V6-5N4 (Construction phase) and Table V6-5N5 (Operational phase). The approaches used for predicting the concentrations of COPCs in each media are provided in the following locations:

- **Soil Concentrations:** Section 5.1.4.2 of the HHERA (Volume 6, Section 5) describes the approaches for estimating future soil concentrations due to Construction and Operations activity. Predicted soil quality is provided in Appendix V6-5H (Construction phase) and Appendix V6-5I (Operational phase).
- **Vegetation Concentrations:** Section 5.4.2.5 of the HHERA (Volume 6, Section 5) describes the approaches for estimating future vegetation concentrations due to Construction and Operations activity. Predicted vegetation quality is provided in Appendix V6-5L (Construction phase) and V6-5M (Operational phase).
- **Fish Tissue Concentrations:** Section 5.4.1.3 of the HHERA (Volume 6, Section 5) describes the approaches for estimating future fish tissue concentrations due to Construction and Operational activity. Predicted tissue concentrations in lake trout are provided in Table 5.4-4 of Section 5.4.1.3 in the HHERA (Volume 6, Section 5). Predicted tissue concentrations in whitefish and ninespine stickleback are provided in Tables 5.5-4 and 5.5-5, respectively, in Section 5.6.1.3 of the HHERA (Volume 6, Section 5).
- **Surface Water Concentrations:** The approaches and assumptions used to predict surface water quality are provided in the surface water modelling report (Appendix V3-2D; SRK 2017). Predicted surface water quality is also presented in the surface water modelling report (SRK 2017).
- **Marine Water Concentrations:** Marine water quality is not expected to measurably change from existing conditions (i.e., measured concentrations in marine water under existing conditions) during the Construction or Operational phases. The rationale for this assumption is provided in Volume 5, Section 8 (Marine Water Quality). Due to no expected changes in marine water quality, tissue concentrations in marine food chain species (Arctic char and mussel) are assumed to remain unchanged from existing conditions.
- **Sediment Quality:** Freshwater sediment quality and marine sediment quality are not expected to measurably change from existing conditions (i.e., measured concentrations in sediment under existing conditions) during the Construction or Operational phases. The rationale for these assumptions is provided in Volume 5, Section 5 (Freshwater Sediment Quality), and Volume 5, Section 9 (Marine Sediment Quality).

Information pertaining to the existing conditions data (i.e., measured concentrations) that fed into the calculations for predicting soil, vegetation, and fish tissue concentrations can be found in Section 2.2 of Appendix V6-5E.

2.3 PROJECT-RELATED INVERTEBRATE TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS

Several of the wildlife species included in the food chain model consume invertebrates. Project-related COPC concentrations in the tissue of freshwater and soil invertebrates were calculated using published bioconcentration factors (BCFs). To calculate Project-related COPC concentrations in invertebrate tissue, the 95th percentile COPC concentration in environmental media (i.e., predicted freshwater and

soil) was multiplied by the applicable BCF to obtain the COPC concentration in invertebrate tissue. The 95th percentile COPC concentrations in the environmental media, the invertebrate BCFs, and the calculated COPC concentrations in invertebrate tissue for the Construction and Operational Phases are presented in Tables V6-5N6 and V6-5N7.

2.4 WILDLIFE CHARACTERISTICS

Wildlife characteristics are species-specific parameters that were used to estimate the amount of time an animal would spend in the wildlife RSA and the amount of environmental media that each species would be exposed to during that time. Wildlife characteristics are described in Appendix V6-5E but are repeated herein for consistency.

Tables V6-5N8 and V6-5N9 present the species-specific characteristics that were used to predict country food and wildlife tissue concentrations of COPCs.

Concentrations of COPCs in tissue were not measured in prey species (except for fish and bay mussels); thus, tissue concentrations in prey species were modeled and used as diet items for carnivores and omnivores. Only the wildlife VECs were considered as prey species which is a simplification of the food chain. The diet items of the species included in the assessment is provided in Table V6-5N7.

Many of the ingestion rates for different wildlife species were not available in the literature, thus were calculated from equations provided in ORNL (1997). The calculations required the percent moisture of the food items, which are presented in Table V6-5N8.

The exposure time (ET) in the wildlife LSA for the different wildlife species was determined using information previously collected (e.g., collared caribou data), information available in the literature, and best professional judgement. A description of the ETs used for the different wildlife species are described in the sections below.

2.4.1 Caribou

The Madrid-Boston Project area lies within the seasonal ranges of the island caribou (Dolphin and Union herd) and mainland caribou (Beverly and Ahik sub-populations).

The Dolphin-Union herd winters on the mainland coast and migrates north at the end of April and May to Victoria Island to calve and spend the summer, returning to the mainland during the fall when the sea ice has frozen (typically in early November). The range of the Dolphin-Union caribou herd overlaps with the wildlife RSA during spring and fall migration, and during winter. More information on the caribou herds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.6.

The Ahik caribou herd calves and spends the summer to the east of the Madrid-Boston Project area in the Queen Maud Gulf Migratory Bird Sanctuary. This herd winters on the tundra, including in the Project area.

Estimation of occurrence of caribou in the Phase 2 Project area is based on baseline collar data (for details of this program see Volume 4, Section 9.8.3.2). The area used in this assessment is based on the air quality assessment area. The air quality assessment evaluated dust deposition within a 2 km Property Boundary (PB) zone. This modeling predicted that maximum TSP and PM_{2.5} concentrations met applicable standards at the PB, within 2 km from the Doris, Madrid North, Madrid South and Boston PDA's. PM₁₀ was predicted to exceed the applicable 24-hour average guideline by 19% along the PB to the southeast of Madrid South. However, exceedances were predicted to be infrequent (no more than one day per year).

Table V6-5N4. Construction Phase Summary of the 95th Percentile Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Vegetation, Soil, Sediment, Marine Water, Freshwater, Fish Tissue, and Mussel Tissue Samples

COPC	95 th Percentile Project Berry Species Concentration (mg/kg ww; n=64)	95 th Percentile Project Lichen Species Concentration (mg/kg ww; n=81)	Mean of 95 th Percentiles of Berries and Lichen (mg/kg ww) C _{veg}	95 th Percentile Project Soil Concentration (mg/kg dw; n=100) C _{soil}	95 th Percentile Project Freshwater Sediment Concentration a (mg/kg dw; n=271) C _{f-sediment}	95 th Percentile Project Marine Sediment Concentration (mg/kg dw; n=84) C _{m-sediment}	95 th Percentile Project Freshwater Concentration (mg/L; n=13 modelling nodes) C _{f-water}	95 th Percentile Project Marine Water Concentration (mg/L; n=214) C _{m-water}	95 th Percentile Arctic Char Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=17) C _{arcticchar}	95 th Percentile Lake Trout Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=69) C _{laketrout}	95 th Percentile Whitefish Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=7) C _{whitefish}	95 th Percentile Stickleback Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=134) C _{stickleback}	95 th Percentile Bay Mussel Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=24) C _{mussel}
Aluminum	5.07	405	205	21287	29422	22790	0.128	0.131	2.40	4.23	3.04	57.1	113
Arsenic	0.00387	0.205	0.104	3.70	19.1	16.80	0.000457	0.00132	2.01	0.148	0.180	0.108	2.77
Cadmium	0.00369	0.150	0.0769	0.250	0.262	0.1836	0.0000139	0.0000600	0.00166	0.00244	0.00244	0.0436	0.741
Chromium	9.80	5.77	7.78	65.6	81.0	65.8	0.000733	0.02500	0.0192	0.326	0.110	0.333	19.5
Copper	1.41	2.82	2.12	37.9	52.5	27.1	0.00262	0.00115	1.72	0.358	0.324	2.20	1.58
Lead	0.0121	0.787	0.400	15.0	12.7	8.42	0.000122	0.000500	0.00828	0.0787	0.121	0.0773	0.191
Manganese	22.4	113	67.7	369	2490	400	0.0322	0.00767	0.203	0.270	0.790	20.8	3.42
Mercury	0.000502	0.0889	0.0447	0.0498	0.0950	0.0179	0.00000283	0.00000500	0.0446	1.10	0.316	0.120	0.0206
Nickel	5.31	2.70	4.00	34.7	48.6	32.4	0.00109	0.000794	0.113	0.199	0.278	0.269	10.5
Selenium	0.0100	0.100	0.0550	0.251	0.650	0.538	0.000536	0.00100	0.566400	0.600	0.277	0.460	0.937
Thallium	0.000201	0.0138	0.00700	0.500	0.313	0.265	0.00000584	0.00500	0.00204	0.0107	0.00488	0.0146	0.00231
Zinc	2.24	28.3	15.3	59.2	105	77.6	0.00479	0.00250	7.91	4.84	3.97	78.4	20.4

Notes:

COPC = contaminant of potential concern

ww = wet weight

dw = dry weight

(-) = not calculated because that parameter was not measured in environmental media.

Mercury concentrations in aquatic biota are assumed to be 100% methylmercury

^a The freshwater sediment concentration is the higher 95th percentile concentration of either lake or stream samples.

Table V6-5N5. Operational Phase Summary of the 95th Percentile Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Vegetation, Soil, Sediment, Marine Water, Freshwater, Fish Tissue, and Mussel Tissue Samples

	95 th Percentile Baseline Berry Species Concentration (mg/kg ww; n=64)	95 th Percentile Baseline Lichen Species Concentration (mg/kg ww; n=81)	Mean of 95 th Percentiles of Berries and Lichen (mg/kg ww) C _{veg}	95 th Percentile Baseline Soil Concentration (mg/kg dw; n=100) C _{soil}	95 th Percentile Baseline Freshwater Sediment Concentration ^a (mg/kg dw; n=271) C _{f-sediment}	95 th Percentile Baseline Marine Sediment Concentration (mg/kg dw; n=84) C _{m-sediment}	95 th Percentile Baseline Freshwater Concentration (mg/L; n=13 modelling nodes) C _{f-water}	95 th Percentile Baseline Marine Water Concentration (mg/L; n=214) C _{m-water}	95 th Percentile Arctic Char Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=17) C _{arcticchar}	95 th Percentile Lake Trout Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=69) C _{laketrout}	95 th Percentile Whitefish Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=7) C _{whitefish}	95 th Percentile Stickleback Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=134) C _{stickleback}	95 th Percentile Bay Mussel Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww, n=24) C _{mussel}
COPC													
Aluminum	5.06	406	205	21296	29422	22790	0.126	0.131	2.40	4.16	3.00	56.2	113
Antimony	0.001003	0.00661	0.00381	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.0000673	0.00500	0.00334	0.00540	0.00540	0.0162	0.00449
Arsenic	0.00387	0.205	0.104	3.70	19.1	16.80	0.000600	0.00132	2.01	0.195	0.236	0.142	2.77
Cadmium	0.00369	0.150	0.0771	0.250	0.262	0.1836	0.0000145	0.0000600	0.00166	0.00253	0.00253	0.0453	0.741
Chromium	9.80	5.77	7.78	65.7	81.0	65.8	0.000725	0.02500	0.0192	0.323	0.109	0.330	19.5
Copper	1.41	2.82	2.12	38.0	52.5	27.1	0.00278	0.00115	1.72	0.381	0.344	2.34	1.58
Lead	0.0121	0.787	0.400	15.0	12.7	8.42	0.000122	0.000500	0.00828	0.0787	0.121	0.0773	0.191
Manganese	22.5	113	67.7	369	2490	400	0.0329	0.00767	0.203	0.275	0.806	21.2	3.42
Mercury	0.000502	0.0890	0.0447	0.0498	0.0950	0.0179	0.00000308	0.00000500	-	-	-	-	-
Methylmercury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0446	1.20	0.344	0.130	0.0206
Nickel	5.31	2.70	4.00	34.7	48.6	32.4	0.00110	0.000794	0.113	0.202	0.282	0.272	10.5
Selenium	0.0100	0.100	0.0550	0.251	0.650	0.538	0.000530	0.00100	0.566400	0.594	0.274	0.455	0.937
Thallium	0.000201	0.0138	0.00700	0.500	0.313	0.265	0.00000611	0.00500	0.00204	0.0112	0.00510	0.0153	0.00231
Zinc	2.24	28.3	15.3	59.2	105	77.6	0.00485	0.00250	7.91	4.90	4.02	79.3	20.4

Notes:

COPC = contaminant of potential concern

ww = wet weight

dw = dry weight

(-) = not calculated because that parameter was not measured in environmental media.

Mercury concentrations in aquatic biota are assumed to be 100% methymercury

^a The freshwater sediment concentration is the higher 95th percentile concentration of either lake or stream samples.

Table V6-5N6. Predicted Concentration of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Freshwater Aquatic Invertebrate Tissue during Construction and Operational Phases

Parameter	Construction 95 th Percentile Surface Water Concentration (mg/L)	Operations 95 th Percentile Surface Water Concentration (mg/L)	BCF Water-to- Aquatic Invertebrates	BCF Source	Construction Aquatic Invertebrate Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww)	Operations Aquatic Invertebrate Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww)
Aluminum	0.128	0.126	231	US EPA (1988) in Sample et al. (1996)	29.7	29.2
Antimony	0.0000644	0.0000673	7	US EPA (1999)	0.000451	0.000471
Arsenic	0.000457	0.000600	73	US EPA (1999)	0.0333	0.0438
Cadmium	0.0000139	0.0000145	3461	US EPA (1999)	0.0482	0.0501
Chromium	0.000733	0.000725	3000	US EPA (1999)	2.20	2.18
Copper	0.00262	0.00278	3718	US EPA (1999)	9.72	10.3
Lead	0.000122	0.000122	5059	US EPA (1999)	0.618	0.617
Manganese	0.0322	0.0329	4066	US EPA (1999)	131	134
Mercury	0.00000283	0.00000308	20184	US EPA (1999)	0.0572	0.0622
Methylmercury	0.00000283	0.00000308	55000	US EPA (1999)	0.15579	0.16937
Nickel	0.00109	0.00110	28	US EPA (1999)	0.0304	0.0308
Selenium	0.000536	0.000530	1262	US EPA (1999)	0.676	0.669
Silver	0.0000113	0.0000116	298	US EPA (1999)	0.00337	0.00345

Notes:

$BCF = \text{bioconcentration factor (unitless; } BCF = C_{\text{invertebrate}} \text{ (in mg/kg ww)} / C_{\text{water}} \text{ (in mg/L))}$.

ww = wet weight.

Freshwater aquatic invertebrates are trophic level 2.

* Dissolved concentrations are typically applied in BCF calculations. In the absence of the dissolved concentrations for metals, total metals were conservatively used in the calculations.

Table V6-5N7. Predicted Concentration of Contaminants of Potential Concern in Terrestrial Invertebrate Tissue during Construction and Operational Phases

Parameter	Construction Phase 95 th Percentile Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	Operational Phase 95 th Percentile Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	BCF Soil-to- Terrestrial Invertebrates	BCF Source	Construction Terrestrial Invertebrate Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww)	Operations Terrestrial Invertebrate Tissue Concentration (mg/kg ww)
Aluminum	21287	21296	0.22	US EPA (1999)	4.68E+03	4.69E+03
Antimony	5.00	5.00	0.22	US EPA (1999)	1.10E+00	1.10E+00
Arsenic	3.70	3.70	0.11	US EPA (1999)	4.07E-01	4.07E-01
Cadmium	0.250	0.250	0.96	US EPA (1999)	2.40E-01	2.40E-01
Chromium	65.6	65.7	0.01	US EPA (1999)	6.56E-01	6.57E-01
Copper	37.9	38.0	0.04	US EPA (1999)	1.52E+00	1.52E+00
Lead	15.0	15.0	0.03	US EPA (1999)	4.50E-01	4.50E-01
Manganese	369	369	0.054	CHPPM (2004)	1.99E+01	1.99E+01
Mercury	0.0498	0.0498	0.04	US EPA (1999)	1.99E-03	1.99E-03
Methylmercury	34.7	34.7	0.02	US EPA (1999)	6.94E-01	6.94E-01
Nickel	0.251	0.251	0.22	US EPA (1999)	5.52E-02	5.52E-02
Selenium	1.00	1.00	0.22	US EPA (1999)	2.20E-01	2.20E-01
Silver	0.500	0.500	0.22	US EPA (1999)	1.10E-01	1.10E-01

Notes:

$BCF = \text{bioconcentration factor (unitless; } BCF = C_{\text{invertebrate}} \text{ (in mg/kg ww)} / C_{\text{soil}} \text{ (in mg/kg))}$.

ww = wet weight.

Terrestrial invertebrates are trophic level 2.

Table V6-5N8. Wildlife Diet Items and Proportions

Wildlife Species	Diet Item	% of Diet	Diet Reference	% Moisture of Diet Item	% Moisture Reference
Caribou	Vegetation	100	Environment Yukon (2016)	50.3	Existing conditions data
Muskox	Vegetation	100	Barboza, Peltier, and Forster (2006)	50.3	Existing conditions data
Wolverine	Caribou	8.33	State of Alaska (2015e)	70	Willmer, Stone, and Johnston (2009)
	Muskox	8.33			
	Arctic Ground Squirrel	8.33			
	Arctic Shrew	8.33			
	Northern Red-backed Vole	8.33			
	Willow Ptarmigan	8.33			
	Canada Goose	8.33			
	Red-breasted Merganser	8.33			
	Least sandpiper	8.33			
	American golden-plover	8.33			
	Yellow Warbler	8.33			
	American Tree Sparrow	8.33			
Grizzly Bear	Caribou	35.3	Gau et al. (2002)	70	Willmer, Stone, and Johnston (2009)
	Muskox	7.56			
	Arctic Ground Squirrel	7.56			
	Canada Goose	0.93			
	Willow Ptarmigan	0.93			
	Vegetation	46.8	Gau et al. (2002)	50.3	Existing conditions data
	Fish (all species)	0.93		77.0	Existing conditions data
Wolf	Muskox	16.7	Mech (2007)	70	Willmer, Stone, and Johnston (2009)
	Caribou	16.7			
	Arctic Ground Squirrel	16.7			
	Arctic Shrew	16.7			
	Northern Red-backed Vole	16.7			
	Fish (freshwater)	16.7	ERM field photo	76.0	Existing conditions data
Arctic Ground Squirrel	Vegetation	100	State of Alaska (2015a)	50.3	Existing conditions data
Arctic Shrew	Terrestrial Invertebrates	100	Environment Canada (2012b)	71.3	ORNL (1997)

Wildlife Species	Diet Item	% of Diet	Diet Reference	% Moisture of Diet Item	% Moisture Reference
Northern Red-backed Vole	Vegetation	80	Linzey et al. (2008)	50.3	Existing conditions data
	Terrestrial Invertebrates	20		71.3	ORNL (1997)
Willow Ptarmigan	Vegetation	100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015i)	50.3	Existing conditions data
American Tree Sparrow	Vegetation	50	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015a)	50.3	Existing conditions data
	Terrestrial Invertebrates	50		71.3	ORNL (1997)
Peregrine Falcon	Arctic Ground Squirrel	2.5	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015g)	70	Willmer, Stone, and Johnston (2009)
	Arctic Shrew	2.5			
	Northern Red-backed Vole	2.5			
	Canada Goose	10			
	King Eider	10			
	Red-breasted Merganser	10			
	Least Sandpiper	10			
	American Golden Plover	10			
	Red-throated Loon	10			
	Herring Gull	10			
	Yellow Warbler	10			
	Brant	10			
	Fish (all species)	2.5			
Canada Goose	Vegetation	100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015c)	50.3	Existing conditions data
Red-breasted Merganser	Fish (all species)	100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015h)	77.0	Existing conditions data
Least Sandpiper	Freshwater Invertebrates	100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015e)	78.5	ORNL (1997)
Long-tailed Duck	Vegetation	5	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015f)	50.3	Existing conditions data
	Freshwater Invertebrates	90		78.5	ORNL (1997)
	Fish (all species)	5		77.0	Existing conditions data
Herring Gull	Bay Mussel	50	(Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015d)	87.9	Existing conditions data
	Fish (marine)	50		80.0	Existing conditions data
Yellow Warbler	Terrestrial Invertebrates	100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015j)	71.3	ORNL (1997)

Wildlife Species	Diet Item	% of Diet	Diet Reference	% Moisture of Diet Item	% Moisture Reference
Brant	Vegetation	100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015b)	50.3	Existing conditions data
Ringed Seal	Fish (marine)	80	NOAA (2014)	80.0	Existing conditions data
	Bay Mussel	20		87.9	Existing conditions data

Notes:

Diet items were specified in the references listed but the percent of the item in the diet was typically not provided and instead best professional judgement was used.

Table V6-5N9. Wildlife Characteristics

Wildlife Species	Mean Body Weight (kg)	Body Weight Reference	Diet Items	Food Ingestion Rate (IR _{food} ; kg-ww/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate (IR _{soil} ; kg-dw/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate Reference	Water Ingestion Rate (IR _{water} ; L/day)	Exposure Time in Area (ET)	Fraction of Daily Consumption (fw)
Caribou	150	Environment Yukon (2016)	Vegetation	6.72	1.344	MacDonald and Gunn (2004)	9.00	0.00134	1
Muskox	273	State of Alaska (2015c)	Vegetation	10.4	0.706	Beyer et al. (1994)	15.4	1	1
Wolverine	12.0	State of Alaska (2015e)	Caribou	0.147	0.0353	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.93	1	1
			Muskox	0.147					
			Arctic Ground Squirrel	0.147					
			Arctic Shrew	0.147					
			Northern Red-backed Vole	0.147					
			Willow Ptarmigan	0.147					
			Canada Goose	0.147					
			Red-breasted Merganser	0.147					
			Least sandpiper	0.147					
			Long-tailed duck	0.147					
			Herring Gull	0.147					
			Brant	0.147					

Wildlife Species	Mean Body Weight (kg)	Body Weight Reference	Diet Items	Food Ingestion Rate (IR _{food} ; kg-ww/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate (IR _{soil} ; kg-dw/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate Reference	Water Ingestion Rate (IR _{water} ; L/day)	Exposure Time in Area (ET)	Fraction of Daily Consumption (fw)
Grizzly Bear	450	State of Alaska (2015b)	Caribou Muskox Arctic Ground Squirrel Canada Goose Willow Ptarmigan Vegetation Fish (all species)	12.3 2.63 2.63 3.23 10.7 9.80 4.22	1.27	Gau et al. (2002)	24.2	0.458	1
Wolf	49.5	State of Alaska (2015d)	Caribou Muskox Arctic Ground Squirrel Arctic Shrew Northern Red-backed Vole Fish (freshwater)	0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 1.18	0.118	Beyer and Fries (2003)	3.32	1	1
Arctic Ground Squirrel	1.01	State of Alaska (2015a)	Vegetation	0.0620	0.00434	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.100	0.417	1
Arctic Shrew	0.00410	Environment Canada (2012b)	Terrestrial Invertebrates	0.00116	0.0000815	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.000703	1	1
Northern Red-backed Vole	0.0300	Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History (2015)	Vegetation Terrestrial Invertebrates	0.00344 0.00598	0.000660	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.00422	1	1
Willow Ptarmigan	0.620	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015i)	Vegetation	0.0857	0.00171	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.0428	1	1
American Tree Sparrow	0.0285	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015a)	Vegetation Terrestrial Invertebrates	0.0115 0.0200	0.000631	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.00544	0.417	1

Wildlife Species	Mean Body Weight (kg)	Body Weight Reference	Diet Items	Food Ingestion Rate (IR _{food} ; kg-ww/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate (IR _{soil} ; kg-dw/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate Reference	Water Ingestion Rate (IR _{water} ; L/day)	Exposure Time in Area (ET)	Fraction of Daily Consumption (fw)
Peregrine Falcon	0.815	Environment Canada (2012b)	Arctic Ground Squirrel	0.00425	0.00683	Environment Canada (2012b)	0.0514	0.417	1
			Arctic Shrew	0.00425					
			Northern Red-backed Vole	0.00425					
			Willow Ptarmigan	0.0170					
			American Tree Sparrow	0.0170					
			Canada Goose	0.0170					
			Red-breasted Merganser	0.0170					
			Least Sandpiper	0.0170					
			Long-tailed duck	0.0170					
			Herring Gull	0.0170					
			Yellow Warbler	0.0170					
			Brant	0.0170					
			Fish (all species)	0.00514					
Canada Goose	3.16	US EPA (1993)	Vegetation	0.247	0.0198	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.128	0.417	1
Red-breasted Merganser	1.08	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015h)	Fish (freshwater)	0.247	0.00494	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.0621	0.417	1
Least Sandpiper	0.0245	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015e)	Freshwater Invertebrates	0.0242	0.000484	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.00492	0.417	1
Long-tailed Duck	0.800	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015f)	Vegetation	0.00506	0.00452	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.0508	0.417	1
			Freshwater Invertebrates	0.211					
			Fish (freshwater)	0.0102					

Wildlife Species	Mean Body Weight (kg)	Body Weight Reference	Diet Items	Food Ingestion Rate (IR _{food} ; kg-ww/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate (IR _{soil} ; kg-dw/day)	Soil/Sediment Ingestion Rate Reference	Water Ingestion Rate (IR _{water} ; L/day)	Exposure Time in Area (ET)	Fraction of Daily Consumption (fw)
Herring Gull	1.03	(Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015d)	Bay Mussel Fish (marine)	0.245 0.109	0.00707	(Beyer and Fries 2003)	0.0602	0.417	1
Yellow Warbler	0.0100	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015j)	Terrestrial Invertebrates	0.0101	0.000203	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.00270	0.417	1
Brant	1.50	Cornell Lab of Ornithology (2015b)	Vegetation	0.152	0.00305	Beyer and Fries (2003)	0.07742	0.417	1
Ringed Seal	54.4	NOAA (2014)	Fish (marine) Bay Mussel	7.34 3.03	0.207	Environment Canada (2012b)	N/A	1	1

Notes:

ww = wet weight

dw = dry weight

N/A = not applicable

The food and water ingestion rates were obtained from ORNL (1997) and are based on equations for mammals and birds.

Many of the wildlife species were assumed to be similar to closely related species if species specific information was not available (e.g., assumed that soil ingestion by muskox was similar to that for bison).

In order to match the assessment used in the air quality assessment, the HHERA evaluated the residency time of caribou within the 2 km PB. For the island caribou, which spend the greatest time in the Project area, a total of 5% of collars interact with the PB across all years of collar data. The residency time was calculated as 0.38 days per year for spring migration, fall migration and winter combined and 0.4 days per year during the winter, when caribou are actively feeding in the PB zone. An initial (i.e., preliminary) residency time of 0.49 days per year was originally estimated for caribou (Volume 4, Section 9.8.3.7). As a conservative approach, this initial value of 0.49 days per year (ET = 0.00134) was used in the food chain model instead of the newer (and lower) frequency of 0.4 days per year.

TMAC held a series of caribou workshops with Elders and land users during 2016 and 2017. Workshop participants identified and rated potential risks to the caribou populations due to the Madrid-Boston project, including habitat loss, disturbance and contamination of the environment by dust and water from the Project. An assessment of the effects of altered environmental media (soil, vegetation and water) is addressed with the Madrid-Boston Project-related ERA.

2.4.2 Muskox

Muskoxen do not migrate and spend their entire lives in the Arctic (State of Alaska 2015c). The winter home range for muskox is 27 to 70 km², while the summer home range is 223 km² (Volume 4, Section 9.2.6.1). Thus, they could be present year round (ET = 1) in the terrestrial wildlife LSA (563 km²). More information on muskoxen that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 97.

2.4.3 Arctic Ground Squirrel

The study area is large enough that it could overlap with the entire home range of an individual Arctic ground squirrel (less than 3 ha; Hubbs and Boonstra 1998). Arctic ground squirrels hibernate over winter from early-September to late-April and would not be exposed to COPCs during that time. Therefore, the residency time in the study area was assumed to be five months of the year (ET = 0.417). Ecological Risk Assessment guidance (Environment Canada 2012a) indicates that certain terrestrial receptor types require assessment in an ERA. Therefore, Arctic ground squirrel was selected to represent small herbivorous mammals and they were also selected to represent the wildlife VEC “less conspicuous species that may be maximally exposed to contaminants”.

2.4.4 Canada Goose

Canada geese arrive on the central Canadian Arctic barrens in early to mid-May, and generally depart by mid-September (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015c). If a pair of geese were to nest and raise young in the study area, it is conceivable that residency in the Madrid-Boston Project area would be for the entire time that they are in the Arctic. Therefore, the residency of Canada goose in the study area is at most five months of the year (ET = 0.417). Freshwater sediment concentrations were used in predicting the Canada goose tissue concentrations of COPCs as Canada goose may ingest freshwater sediments while grazing. More information on the waterbirds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.11.

2.4.5 Wolverine

Wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) are members of the mustelid family, which includes weasels, badgers, and marten. Very large home ranges and low population densities are characteristics of this solitary species. Females have a home range of 100 km², and males 600 km² (Volume 4, Section 9.2.9.1); thus and they could be present in the terrestrial wildlife LSA (563 km²) during the entire year. The wolverine is listed as being of Special Concern by COSEWIC (2016). Wolverines do not migrate or

hibernate and spend their entire lives in the Arctic (State of Alaska 2015e). Thus, they could be present year round in the wildlife LSA (ET = 1). More information on wolverines that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.9.

2.4.6 Grizzly Bear

Barren-ground grizzly bears (*Ursus arctos horribilis*) inhabit the northern extent of the grizzly bear range in North America and are known to occur in the wildlife LSA and RSA from satellite-collar data and observations made during existing conditions studies (Rescan 2011b). Average annual ranges of male and female grizzly bears are approximately 7,245 km² and 2,100 km², respectively, and home range overlap is relatively high (McLoughlin, Ferguson, and Messier 2000). These home ranges are much larger than the terrestrial wildlife LSA (563 km²), thus a dose adjustment factor (DAF) was applied to the estimated daily intake of COPCs for grizzly bears. The DAF was calculated by dividing the area of the terrestrial wildlife LSA by the home range for females (DAF = 0.268).

In the Canadian Arctic typically emerge from hibernation in early to mid-May and resume hibernation in mid to late-October (Gau et al. 2002). Thus the maximum amount of time that a grizzly bear could possibly spend in the wildlife LSA is five and a half months of the year (ET = 0.458).

Barren-ground grizzly bears are listed by COSEWIC (2016) as being of Special Concern but they are not listed under SARA. More information on barren-ground grizzly bears that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.8.

2.4.7 Wolf

The grey wolf (*Canis lupis*) is the largest member of the *Canis* genus and is widespread throughout much of northern Canada, including the West Kitikmeot region of Nunavut. Three subspecies of grey wolf occur in Nunavut, all of which may be found within the wildlife RSA (Chambers et al. 2012): the northern timber wolf (*Canis lupis occidentalis*), the plains wolf (*Canis lupis nubilus*), and the Arctic wolf (*Canis lupis arctos*). The northern timber wolf and plains wolf subspecies are listed by COSEWIC (2016) as Not at Risk, while the Arctic wolf subspecies is listed as Data Deficient.

Wolves do not migrate or hibernate and spend their entire lives in the Arctic (State of Alaska 2015d). Thus, they could be present year round in the wildlife LSA (ET = 1). However, the home range for female wolves is 45,000 km², while that for males is 63,000 km² (Volume 4, Section 9.2.8.1), both of which are much larger than the terrestrial wildlife LSA (563 km²). Thus a DAF was applied to the estimated daily intake of COPCs for wolves. The DAF was calculated by dividing the area of the terrestrial wildlife LSA by the home range for females (DAF = 0.0125). More information on wolves that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.9.

2.4.8 Arctic Shrew

The study area is large enough that it could overlap with the entire home range of the Arctic shrew (0.1 ha; Hammerson 2008). Arctic shrews do not hibernate over winter; therefore, the residency time in the study area was assumed to be the entire year (ET = 1). Ecological Risk Assessment guidance (Environment Canada 2012a) indicates that certain terrestrial receptor types require assessment in an ERA. Therefore, Arctic shrew was selected to represent insectivorous mammals and they were also selected to represent the wildlife VEC “less conspicuous species that may be maximally exposed to contaminants”.

2.4.9 Northern Red-backed Vole

The study area is large enough that it could overlap with the entire home range of the northern red-backed vole (0.5 ha; Batzli 1999). Northern red-backed voles do not hibernate over winter; therefore, the residency time in the study area was assumed to be the entire year (ET = 1). Ecological Risk Assessment guidance (Environment Canada 2012a) indicates that certain terrestrial receptor types require assessment in an ERA. Therefore, northern red-backed vole was selected to represent small omnivorous mammals and they were also selected to represent the wildlife VEC “less conspicuous species that may be maximally exposed to contaminants”.

2.4.10 Willow Ptarmigan

Willow ptarmigans make short local migrations depending on weather conditions, but are otherwise resident species that overwinter on the tundra. Willow ptarmigan migrate between summer and winter ranges that can be separated by a few kilometers to over a 100 kilometers (State of Alaska 2016). To provide a conservative risk estimate it was assumed that willow ptarmigan could be in the study area the entire year (ET = 1). More information on the upland birds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.12.

2.4.11 American Tree Sparrow

American tree sparrows have a medium distance migration, with breeding occurring in the far north of North America and wintering occurring in north and central North America (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015a). If a pair of sparrows were to nest and raise young in the study area, it is conceivable that residency in the Madrid-Boston Project area would be for the entire time that they are in the Arctic. Therefore, the residency of American tree sparrow in the study area is at most five months of the year (ET = 0.417). More information on the upland birds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.12.

2.4.12 Peregrine Falcon

Peregrine falcons (*Falco peregrinus*) are cliff-nesting raptors and have the potential to breed in the wildlife RSA. There are three subspecies of peregrine falcon in Canada, and the tundra peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*) is highly migratory and breeds in the Canadian Arctic, Alaska, and Greenland (Rescan 2011b). They have the greatest distance migration of any North American bird, with some falcons nesting in the Arctic tundra and wintering as far south as Argentina and Chile (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015g). Thus, they could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). The tundra peregrine falcon is ranked as of Special Concern by (COSEWIC 2016) and is federally listed on Schedule 1 as a population of Special Concern under SARA (Government of Canada 2015). More information on the raptors that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.10.

2.4.13 Red-breasted Merganser

Red-breasted mergansers spend the summer breeding season at northern latitudes and winter along the coast at locations further south (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015h). Thus, they could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). Freshwater sediment concentrations were used in predicting the red-breasted merganser tissue concentrations of COPCs as they may ingest freshwater sediments while foraging. More information on the waterbirds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.11.

2.4.14 Least Sandpiper

Least sandpipers have long distance migrations that can range from the far north of North America to South America (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015e). Thus, they could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). Freshwater sediment concentrations were used in predicting the least sandpiper tissue concentrations of COPCs as they may ingest freshwater sediments while foraging. More information on the waterbirds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.11.

2.4.15 Long-tailed Duck

North American long-tailed ducks breed in the Arctic and migrate to wintering grounds along the Pacific coast from the Bering Sea to California and as far west as Russia (Sea Duck Joint Venture 2003). Waterbirds can spend up to 50% of the year migrating between wintering and breeding areas, and up to 95% of that time staging in areas prior to and following breeding. Thus, they could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). Freshwater sediment concentrations were used in predicting the long-tailed duck tissue concentrations of COPCs as they may ingest freshwater sediments while foraging. More information on the waterbirds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.11.

2.4.16 Herring Gull

Herring gulls have a short to medium distance migration and birds that breed in the far north of North America tend to move south or out to sea for the winter (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015d). Thus, herring gulls could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). Marine sediment concentrations were used in predicting the herring gull tissue concentrations of COPCs as they may ingest marine sediments while foraging. Seabirds have the ability to drink salt water while at sea (National Audubon Society 2013), thus to be conservative the highest 95th percentile COPC concentration from either freshwater or marine water was used as the drinking water input in the food chain model. More information on the seabirds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 5, Section 11.2.7.

2.4.17 Yellow Warbler

Yellow warblers have a long migration from breeding grounds in North America to wintering grounds in Central America and northern South America (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2015j). Thus, yellow warblers could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). More information on the upland birds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 4, Section 9.2.12.

2.4.18 Brant

The breeding ground of brants is in the high Arctic tundra and wintering grounds are along the coasts of the Pacific and Atlantic oceans of the US. Thus, brants could be present for five months of the year in the study area due to migration (ET = 0.417). Marine sediment concentrations were used in predicting the brant tissue concentrations of COPCs as they may ingest marine sediments while foraging. Seabirds have the ability to drink salt water while at sea (National Audubon Society 2013), thus to be conservative the highest 95th percentile COPC concentration from either freshwater or marine water was used as the drinking water input in the food chain model. More information on the seabirds that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 5, Section 11.2.7.

2.4.19 Ringed Seal

Ringed seals inhabit Arctic waters and are often found near ice floes and pack ice as they use ice to haul out on (NOAA 2014). To provide a conservative risk estimate it was assumed that ringed seals could be in the study area the entire year (ET = 1). Marine sediment concentrations were used in predicting the ringed seal tissue concentrations of COPCs as they may ingest marine sediments while foraging. Ringed seal are listed by COSEWIC (2016) as being Not at Risk. More information on the marine mammals that can be found in the Madrid-Boston Project area can be found in Volume 5, Section 11.2.6.

2.5 SAMPLE CALCULATION AND COMPLETE MODEL RESULTS

To calculate the amount of COPCs that each ingestion pathway contributes, an equation for all ingestion routes is presented in Table V6-5N10, followed by media specific equations. Table V6-5N10 also provides a sample calculation for the copper concentration in caribou tissue resulting from ingestion of soil, water, and vegetation. As described in Section 2.1, the food chain model predicts whole-body tissue concentrations; therefore, Table V6-5N10 also provides a sample calculation for the calibrated muscle, liver, and kidney tissue copper concentration in caribou.

Table V6-5N10. Sample Calculation of Copper Concentration in Caribou Tissue due to Uptake from Soil, Surface Water, and Vegetation

Overall equation:	
$C_{total} = C_{m[veg]} + C_{m[soil]} + C_{m[water]}$	
where: $C_{m[veg]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{veg} \times IR_{veg} \times ET \times fw$	
$C_{m[soil]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{soil} \times IR_{soil} \times ET \times fw$	
$C_{m[water]} = BTF_{tissue-food} \times C_{water} \times IR_{water} \times ET \times fw$	
Parameters:	
C_{total}	= Total concentration of COPC (copper) in animal tissue (caribou) from all ingestion pathways (mg/kg)
$C_{m[veg]}$	= Total concentration of COPC (copper) in animal tissue (caribou) from vegetation ingestion (mg/kg)
$C_{m[soil]}$	= Total concentration of COPC (copper) in animal tissue (caribou) from soil ingestion (mg/kg)
$C_{m[water]}$	= Total concentration of COPC (copper) in animal tissue (caribou) from water ingestion (mg/kg)
$BTF_{tissue-food}$	= Biotransfer factor from food consumption to tissues for a selected COPC (mg/kg)
$C_{m[media]}$	= 95 th percentile COPC concentration in media (mg/kg)
$IR_{soil/veg/water}$	= Ingestion rate of media (i.e., soil, vegetation, or water; kg/day or L/day)
ET	= Exposure time in the Project area (unitless)
fw	= Fraction of daily consumption for animal (assumed 1; unitless)
Sample calculation for whole-body concentration:	
$C_{m[veg]}$	$= (0.01 \text{ day/kg}) \times (2.04 \text{ mg/kg ww}) \times (6.72 \text{ kg/day}) \times 0.00134 \times 1$ $= 0.000184 \text{ mg/kg}$
$C_{m[soil]}$	$= (0.01 \text{ day/kg}) \times (38.3 \text{ mg/kg dw}) \times (1.34 \text{ kg/day}) \times 0.00134 \times 1$ $= 0.000688 \text{ mg/kg}$
$C_{m[water]}$	$= (0.01 \text{ day/kg}) \times (0.00145 \text{ mg/L}) \times (9 \text{ L/day}) \times 0.00134 \times 1$ $= 0.000000175 \text{ mg/kg}$
C_{total}	$= 0.000184 \text{ mg/kg} + 0.000688 \text{ mg/kg} + 0.000000175 \text{ mg/kg}$ $= 0.000872 \text{ mg/kg}$

Sample calculation for concentrations in liver and kidney tissue using the tissue distribution ratio:

$$C_{\text{liver}} = C_{\text{total}} \times \text{liver distribution ratio}$$

$$= 0.000872 \text{ mg/kg} \times 46.0$$

$$= 0.0401 \text{ mg/kg}$$

$$C_{\text{kidney}} = C_{\text{total}} \times \text{kidney distribution ratio}$$

$$= 0.000872 \text{ mg/kg} \times 7.30$$

$$= 0.00637 \text{ mg/kg}$$

Tables V6-5N11 (Construction Phase) and V6-5N12 (Operational Phase) present the modeled concentrations of COPCs in tissue of country food species (caribou, Arctic ground squirrel, and willow ptarmigan) and wildlife species (caribou, muskox, wolverine, grizzly bear, wolf, Arctic ground squirrel, Arctic shrew, northern red-backed vole, willow ptarmigan, American tree sparrow, peregrine falcon, Canada goose, red-breasted merganser, least sandpiper, long-tailed duck, herring gull, yellow warbler, brant, and ringed seal) for the Project-related HHRA and ERA. Each ingestion pathway (i.e., soil or sediment, water, prey, and vegetation) contributes to the total concentration of COPCs in these species.

The Project-related concentrations of COPCs modeled in country food tissue (caribou, Arctic ground squirrel, and willow ptarmigan) were used in the Project-related HHRA to calculate the estimated daily intake of COPCs for people who eat these foods from within the human health RSA. The Project-related concentrations of COPCs modeled in wildlife species were used in the Project-related ERA to calculate the estimated daily intake (EDI) of COPCs from ingestion of prey items for carnivores and omnivores who eat these prey items from within the wildlife RSA.

Table V6-5N11. Construction Phase Modeled Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species (Caribou, Arctic Ground Squirrel, and Canada Goose) and Wildlife Species (Muskox, Wolverine, Grizzly Bear, Wolf, Peregrine Falcon, Short-eared Owl, King/Common Eider, Red-breasted Merganser, and Ringed Seal)

COPC	Caribou				Muskox				Wolverine				Grizzly Bear				
	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	2.78E-03	5.76E-02	2.33E-06	6.04E-02	3.20E+00	2.25E+01	2.97E-03	2.57E+01	1.06E-01	1.13E+00	1.78E-04	1.23E+00	1.38E+00	8.80E-01	1.85E+01	2.13E-03	2.08E+01
Arsenic	1.88E-06	1.33E-05	1.10E-08	1.52E-05	2.17E-03	5.22E-03	1.41E-05	7.40E-03	1.81E-04	2.61E-04	8.46E-07	4.43E-04	9.38E-04	2.75E-03	4.29E-03	1.01E-05	7.99E-03
Cadmium	3.82E-07	2.48E-07	9.26E-11	6.30E-07	4.39E-04	9.71E-05	1.18E-07	5.37E-04	9.56E-07	4.86E-06	7.10E-09	5.82E-06	1.90E-04	1.56E-05	7.98E-05	8.50E-08	2.86E-04
Chromium	3.86E-04	6.51E-04	4.87E-08	1.04E-03	4.44E-01	2.55E-01	6.22E-05	6.99E-01	1.48E-03	1.27E-02	3.73E-06	1.42E-02	1.92E-01	1.32E-02	2.09E-01	4.47E-05	4.15E-01
Copper	1.91E-04	6.84E-04	3.16E-07	8.75E-04	2.20E-01	2.68E-01	4.03E-04	4.88E-01	2.68E-03	1.34E-02	2.42E-05	1.61E-02	9.51E-02	3.74E-02	2.20E-01	2.90E-04	3.53E-01
Lead	1.08E-06	8.12E-06	4.43E-10	9.20E-06	1.24E-03	3.18E-03	5.65E-07	4.42E-03	1.47E-05	1.59E-04	3.39E-08	1.74E-04	5.39E-04	1.63E-04	2.61E-03	4.06E-07	3.31E-03
Manganese	2.44E-04	2.66E-04	1.56E-07	5.11E-04	2.81E-01	1.04E-01	1.99E-04	3.86E-01	2.12E-04	5.21E-03	1.19E-05	5.44E-03	1.22E-01	5.59E-03	8.56E-02	1.43E-04	2.13E-01
Mercury	1.01E-04	2.24E-05	8.55E-09	1.23E-04	1.16E-01	8.79E-03	1.09E-05	1.25E-01	4.62E-03	4.39E-04	6.56E-07	5.06E-03	5.02E-02	2.15E-01	7.22E-03	7.85E-06	2.73E-01
Nickel	2.17E-04	3.76E-04	7.87E-08	5.92E-04	2.49E-01	1.47E-01	1.00E-04	3.97E-01	3.54E-04	7.35E-03	6.04E-06	7.71E-03	1.08E-01	5.22E-03	1.21E-01	7.22E-05	2.34E-01
Selenium	1.12E-06	1.02E-06	1.47E-08	2.16E-06	1.29E-03	4.01E-04	1.87E-05	1.71E-03	9.82E-05	2.00E-05	1.12E-06	1.19E-04	5.60E-04	2.04E-03	3.29E-04	1.34E-05	2.95E-03
Thallium	2.53E-06	3.61E-05	2.82E-09	3.86E-05	2.91E-03	1.41E-02	3.60E-06	1.70E-02	1.29E-03	7.06E-04	2.17E-07	2.00E-03	1.26E-03	6.62E-03	1.16E-02	2.59E-06	1.95E-02
Zinc	1.24E-05	9.60E-06	5.21E-09	2.20E-05	1.43E-02	3.76E-03	6.65E-06	1.80E-02	1.78E-06	1.88E-04	4.00E-07	1.90E-04	6.18E-03	3.85E-03	3.09E-03	4.78E-06	1.31E-02

COPC	Wolf				Arctic Ground Squirrel				Arctic Shrew				Northern Red-backed Vole				
	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	3.67E-02	3.77E+00	6.39E-04	3.80E+00	7.96E-03	5.78E-02	8.01E-06	6.57E-02	8.18E-03	2.60E-03	1.35E-07	1.08E-02	1.06E-03	4.20E-02	2.11E-02	8.12E-07	6.41E-02
Arsenic	1.41E-05	8.72E-04	3.03E-06	8.89E-04	5.40E-06	1.34E-05	3.80E-08	1.88E-05	9.47E-07	6.03E-07	6.42E-10	1.55E-06	7.19E-07	4.86E-06	4.88E-06	3.85E-09	1.05E-05
Cadmium	2.80E-07	1.62E-05	2.54E-08	1.65E-05	1.09E-06	2.49E-07	3.19E-10	1.34E-06	1.54E-07	1.12E-08	5.39E-12	1.65E-07	1.46E-07	7.90E-07	9.07E-08	3.23E-11	1.03E-06
Chromium	3.65E-03	4.26E-02	1.34E-05	4.62E-02	1.11E-03	6.53E-04	1.68E-07	1.76E-03	4.20E-06	2.94E-05	2.83E-09	3.36E-05	1.47E-04	2.16E-05	2.38E-04	1.70E-08	4.07E-04
Copper	4.63E-03	4.47E-02	8.67E-05	4.94E-02	5.47E-04	6.86E-04	1.09E-06	1.23E-03	1.77E-05	3.09E-05	1.84E-08	4.86E-05	7.29E-05	9.07E-05	2.50E-04	1.10E-07	4.14E-04
Lead	1.26E-06	5.31E-04	1.22E-07	5.32E-04	3.10E-06	8.14E-06	1.52E-09	1.12E-05	1.57E-07	3.67E-07	2.58E-11	5.24E-07	4.13E-07	8.07E-07	2.97E-06	1.55E-10	4.19E-06
Manganese	1.46E-04	1.74E-02	4.28E-05	1.76E-02	7.00E-04	2.67E-04	5.37E-07	9.67E-04	9.28E-06	1.20E-05	9.07E-09	2.13E-05	9.33E-05	4.77E-05	9.74E-05	5.44E-08	2.38E-04
Mercury	2.96E-02	1.47E-03	2.35E-06	3.10E-02	2.89E-04	2.25E-05	2.95E-08	3.11E-04	5.80E-07	1.01E-06	4.98E-10	1.59E-06	3.85E-05	2.98E-06	8.21E-06	2.99E-09	4.97E-05
Nickel	2.25E-03	2.46E-02	2.16E-05	2.68E-02	6.21E-04	3.77E-04	2.71E-07	9.98E-04	3.85E-07	1.70E-05	4.58E-09	1.74E-05	8.28E-05	1.98E-06	1.37E-04	2.75E-08	2.22E-04
Selenium	3.68E-06	6.70E-05	4.02E-06	7.47E-05	3.22E-06	1.03E-06	5.05E-08	4.30E-06	5.80E-07	4.63E-08	8.53E-10	6.27E-07	4.29E-07	2.98E-06	3.75E-07	5.12E-09	3.79E-06
Thallium	1.00E-03	2.36E-03	7.75E-07	3.36E-03	7.24E-06	3.62E-05	9.73E-09	4.34E-05	1.54E-03	1.63E-06	1.64E-10	1.54E-03	9.65E-07	7.92E-03	1.32E-05	9.86E-10	7.94E-03
Zinc	1.54E-06	6.28E-04	1.43E-06	6.31E-04	3.55E-05	9.63E-06	1.79E-08	4.52E-05	0.00E+00	4.34E-07	3.03E-10	4.34E-07	4.74E-06	0.00E+00	3.51E-06	1.82E-09	8.25E-06

COPC	Willow Ptarmigan				American Tree Sparrow					Peregrine Falcon				Canada Goose			
	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	1.41E+01	2.92E+01	4.40E-03	4.33E+01	7.90E-01	3.13E+01	4.48E+00	2.33E-04	3.65E+01	2.90E+00	4.84E+01	2.20E-03	5.13E+01	1.69E+01	1.94E+02	5.46E-03	2.11E+02
Arsenic	7.43E-03	5.26E-03	1.62E-05	1.27E-02	4.17E-04	2.82E-03	8.07E-04	8.59E-07	4.04E-03	4.70E-03	8.73E-03	8.13E-06	1.34E-02	8.94E-03	1.31E-01	2.01E-05	1.40E-01
Cadmium	7.01E-04	4.56E-05	6.34E-08	7.46E-04	3.93E-05	2.13E-04	6.99E-06	3.36E-09	2.59E-04	1.16E-05	7.56E-05	3.17E-08	8.73E-05	8.43E-04	2.30E-04	7.87E-08	1.07E-03
Chromium	1.33E-01	2.25E-02	6.28E-06	1.56E-01	7.49E-03	1.10E-03	3.45E-03	3.32E-07	1.20E-02	1.69E-03	3.73E-02	3.14E-06	3.90E-02	1.60E-01	1.34E-01	7.79E-06	2.94E-01
Copper	9.07E-02	3.25E-02	5.60E-05	1.23E-01	5.09E-03	6.33E-03	4.99E-03	2.96E-06	1.64E-02	6.02E-03	5.40E-02	2.80E-05	6.00E-02	1.09E-01	2.16E-01	6.95E-05	3.26E-01
Lead	2.74E-02	2.06E-02	4.18E-06	4.80E-02	1.54E-03	3.00E-03	3.16E-03	2.21E-07	7.70E-03	2.04E-03	3.41E-02	2.09E-06	3.62E-02	3.30E-02	8.37E-02	5.19E-06	1.17E-01
Manganese	2.90E-01	3.16E-02	6.90E-05	3.22E-01	1.63E-02	8.32E-03	4.86E-03	3.65E-06	2.95E-02	1.74E-03	5.25E-02	3.45E-05	5.43E-02	3.49E-01	1.03E+00	8.56E-05	1.38E+00
Mercury	1.15E-04	2.56E-06	3.64E-09	1.18E-04	6.45E-06	4.99E-07	3.93E-07	1.93E-10	7.34E-06	1.02E-07	4.25E-06	1.82E-09	4.35E-06	1.38E-04	2.35E-05	4.52E-09	1.62E-04
Nickel	3.43E-04	5.95E-05	4.65E-08	4.03E-04	1.93E-05	4.60E-07	9.13E-06	2.46E-09	2.88E-05	4.83E-07	9.87E-05	2.33E-08	9.92E-05	4.13E-04	4.01E-04	5.77E-08	8.14E-04
Selenium	5.31E-03	4.84E-04	2.58E-05	5.82E-03	2.98E-04	2.07E-03	7.43E-05	1.37E-06	2.44E-03	3.51E-03	8.03E-04	1.29E-05	4.33E-03	6.39E-03	6.04E-03	3.21E-05	1.25E-02
Thallium	6.48E-03	9.26E-03	2.70E-06	1.57E-02	3.64E-04	2.99E+00	1.42E-03	1.43E-07	2.99E+00	3.59E-01	1.54E-02	1.35E-06	3.74E-01	7.79E-03	2.79E-02	3.35E-06	3.57E-02
Zinc	1.15E-02	8.87E-04	1.80E-06	1.23E-02	6.43E-04	0.00E+00	1.36E-04	9.50E-08	7.79E-04	4.53E-04	1.47E-03	8.99E-07	1.93E-03	1.38E-02	7.57E-03	2.23E-06	2.14E-02

Table V6-5N11. Construction Phase Modeled Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species (Caribou, Arctic Ground Squirrel, and Canada Goose) and Wildlife Species (Muskox, Wolverine, Grizzly Bear, Wolf, Peregrine Falcon, Short-eared Owl, King/Common Eider, Red-breasted Merganser, and Ringed Seal)

COPC	Red-breasted Merganser				Least Sandpiper				Long-tailed Duck					Herring Gull			
	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	1.77E+00	4.84E+01	2.66E-03	5.02E+01	2.39E-01	4.75E+00	2.10E-04	4.99E+00	3.46E-01	2.16E+00	4.43E+01	2.17E-03	4.68E+01	9.29E+00	5.38E+01	2.63E-03	6.31E+01
Arsenic	1.24E-02	3.27E-02	9.81E-06	4.51E-02	2.79E-04	3.20E-03	7.76E-07	3.48E-03	1.83E-04	2.94E-03	2.99E-02	8.02E-06	3.30E-02	3.11E-01	4.11E-02	2.75E-05	3.52E-01
Cadmium	1.77E-04	5.74E-05	3.83E-08	2.34E-04	5.17E-05	5.62E-06	3.03E-09	5.73E-05	1.72E-05	4.57E-04	5.25E-05	3.13E-08	5.27E-04	8.03E-03	5.75E-05	1.60E-07	8.09E-03
Chromium	5.28E-03	3.33E-02	3.79E-06	3.86E-02	4.43E-03	3.27E-03	3.00E-07	7.70E-03	3.28E-03	3.88E-02	3.05E-02	3.10E-06	7.26E-02	3.98E-01	3.88E-02	1.25E-04	4.37E-01
Copper	4.95E-02	5.40E-02	3.38E-05	1.04E-01	4.90E-02	5.29E-03	2.68E-06	5.43E-02	2.23E-03	4.29E-01	4.94E-02	2.77E-05	4.80E-01	1.19E-01	3.99E-02	3.28E-05	1.59E-01
Lead	7.61E-03	2.09E-02	2.53E-06	2.85E-02	4.98E-03	2.05E-03	2.00E-07	7.03E-03	6.74E-04	4.37E-02	1.91E-02	2.07E-06	6.35E-02	1.59E-02	1.99E-02	1.00E-05	3.58E-02
Manganese	3.75E-02	2.56E-01	4.17E-05	2.94E-01	6.61E-02	2.51E-02	3.30E-06	9.12E-02	7.14E-03	5.77E-01	2.34E-01	3.41E-05	8.18E-01	1.79E-02	5.89E-02	4.04E-05	7.69E-02
Mercury	1.58E-03	5.87E-06	2.20E-09	1.59E-03	4.71E-05	5.75E-07	1.74E-10	4.77E-05	2.83E-06	4.75E-04	5.37E-06	1.80E-09	4.84E-04	1.24E-04	1.58E-06	3.76E-09	1.26E-04
Nickel	2.56E-05	1.00E-04	2.81E-08	1.26E-04	3.07E-07	9.80E-06	2.22E-09	1.01E-05	8.44E-06	3.72E-06	9.15E-05	2.30E-08	1.04E-04	1.07E-03	9.56E-05	1.99E-08	1.17E-03
Selenium	5.17E-02	1.51E-03	1.56E-05	5.32E-02	7.68E-03	1.48E-04	1.24E-06	7.83E-03	1.31E-04	6.90E-02	1.38E-03	1.28E-05	7.05E-02	1.37E-01	1.79E-03	2.82E-05	1.38E-01
Thallium	1.12E-02	6.96E-03	1.63E-06	1.82E-02	9.54E-03	6.82E-04	1.29E-07	1.02E-02	1.59E-04	8.35E-02	6.37E-03	1.34E-06	9.01E-02	3.54E-03	8.44E-03	1.35E-03	1.33E-02
Zinc	2.62E-02	1.89E-03	1.09E-06	2.81E-02	1.94E-03	1.85E-04	8.59E-08	2.12E-03	2.82E-04	1.79E-02	1.73E-03	8.88E-07	1.99E-02	2.13E-02	2.00E-03	1.05E-06	2.33E-02

COPC	Yellow Warbler				Brant				Ringed Seal		
	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	1.58E+01	1.44E+00	1.15E-04	1.72E+01	1.04E+01	2.31E+01	3.38E-03	3.36E+01	5.40E-01	7.09E+00	7.63E+00
Arsenic	1.42E-03	2.59E-04	4.26E-07	1.68E-03	5.50E-03	1.77E-02	3.53E-05	2.32E-02	4.64E-02	6.97E-03	5.33E-02
Cadmium	1.08E-04	2.24E-06	1.66E-09	1.10E-04	5.19E-04	2.48E-05	2.06E-07	5.44E-04	1.24E-03	2.09E-05	1.26E-03
Chromium	5.54E-04	1.11E-03	1.65E-07	1.66E-03	9.88E-02	1.67E-02	1.61E-04	1.16E-01	3.26E-01	7.51E-02	4.02E-01
Copper	3.20E-03	1.60E-03	1.47E-06	4.80E-03	6.72E-02	1.72E-02	4.22E-05	8.44E-02	1.74E-01	5.62E-02	2.30E-01
Lead	1.52E-03	1.01E-03	1.10E-07	2.53E-03	2.03E-02	8.55E-03	1.29E-05	2.88E-02	1.92E-04	5.24E-04	7.16E-04
Manganese	4.21E-03	1.56E-03	1.81E-06	5.77E-03	2.15E-01	2.54E-02	5.20E-05	2.40E-01	4.75E-03	3.32E-02	3.79E-02
Mercury	2.52E-07	1.26E-07	9.55E-11	3.78E-07	8.51E-05	6.82E-07	4.84E-09	8.58E-05	9.74E-02	9.28E-04	9.83E-02
Nickel	2.33E-07	2.93E-06	1.22E-09	3.16E-06	2.54E-04	4.12E-05	2.56E-08	2.95E-04	1.96E-01	4.04E-02	2.36E-01
Selenium	1.05E-03	2.38E-05	6.78E-07	1.07E-03	3.93E-03	7.70E-04	3.63E-05	4.74E-03	1.59E-02	2.53E-04	1.61E-02
Thallium	1.51E+00	4.56E-04	7.09E-08	1.51E+00	4.80E-03	3.63E-03	1.74E-03	1.02E-02	8.79E-04	2.20E-03	3.08E-03
Zinc	0.00E+00	4.37E-05	4.71E-08	4.37E-05	8.48E-03	8.62E-04	1.35E-06	9.35E-03	1.08E-02	1.45E-03	1.22E-02

Notes:

All concentrations in mg/kg wet weight.

COPC = contaminant of potential concern

C_m[veg] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from vegetation consumption

C_m[prey] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from prey consumption

C_m[soil] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from soil consumption

C_m[sediment] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from sediment consumption

C_m[water] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from water consumption

C_m[total] = total concentration of COPC in meat tissue from soil, vegetation, and water consumption

Table V6-5N12. Operations Phase Modeled Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species (Caribou, Arctic Ground Squirrel, and Canada Goose) and Wildlife Species (Muskox, Wolverine, Grizzly Bear, Wolf, Peregrine Falcon, Short-eared Owl, King/Common Eider, Red-breasted Merganser, and Ringed Seal)

COPC	Caribou				Muskox				Wolverine				Grizzly Bear				
	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	2.78E-03	5.76E-02	2.29E-06	6.04E-02	3.20E+00	2.26E+01	2.93E-03	2.58E+01	1.06E-01	1.13E+00	1.76E-04	1.23E+00	1.38E+00	8.80E-01	1.85E+01	2.10E-03	2.08E+01
Arsenic	1.88E-06	1.33E-05	1.45E-08	1.52E-05	2.17E-03	5.22E-03	1.85E-05	7.41E-03	1.83E-04	2.61E-04	1.11E-06	4.45E-04	9.38E-04	2.88E-03	4.29E-03	1.33E-05	8.12E-03
Cadmium	3.82E-07	2.48E-07	9.61E-11	6.31E-07	4.40E-04	9.71E-05	1.23E-07	5.37E-04	9.58E-07	4.86E-06	7.37E-09	5.82E-06	1.90E-04	1.61E-05	7.98E-05	8.82E-08	2.86E-04
Chromium	3.86E-04	6.52E-04	4.82E-08	1.04E-03	4.44E-01	2.55E-01	6.15E-05	7.00E-01	1.48E-03	1.28E-02	3.70E-06	1.42E-02	1.92E-01	1.32E-02	2.10E-01	4.42E-05	4.15E-01
Copper	1.91E-04	6.85E-04	3.36E-07	8.77E-04	2.20E-01	2.68E-01	4.29E-04	4.89E-01	2.73E-03	1.34E-02	2.58E-05	1.62E-02	9.52E-02	3.83E-02	2.20E-01	3.08E-04	3.54E-01
Lead	1.08E-06	8.12E-06	4.42E-10	9.20E-06	1.24E-03	3.18E-03	5.64E-07	4.42E-03	1.47E-05	1.59E-04	3.39E-08	1.74E-04	5.39E-04	1.63E-04	2.61E-03	4.06E-07	3.31E-03
Manganese	2.44E-04	2.67E-04	1.59E-07	5.11E-04	2.81E-01	1.04E-01	2.03E-04	3.86E-01	2.13E-04	5.22E-03	1.22E-05	5.44E-03	1.22E-01	5.67E-03	8.57E-02	1.46E-04	2.13E-01
Mercury	1.01E-04	2.24E-05	9.30E-09	1.23E-04	1.16E-01	8.79E-03	1.19E-05	1.25E-01	4.63E-03	4.39E-04	7.13E-07	5.07E-03	5.03E-02	2.30E-01	7.22E-03	8.53E-06	2.88E-01
Nickel	2.17E-04	3.76E-04	7.97E-08	5.92E-04	2.49E-01	1.47E-01	1.02E-04	3.97E-01	3.54E-04	7.35E-03	6.11E-06	7.71E-03	1.08E-01	5.25E-03	1.21E-01	7.31E-05	2.34E-01
Selenium	1.12E-06	1.02E-06	1.45E-08	2.16E-06	1.29E-03	4.01E-04	1.85E-05	1.71E-03	9.77E-05	2.01E-05	1.11E-06	1.19E-04	5.60E-04	2.03E-03	3.30E-04	1.33E-05	2.93E-03
Thallium	2.53E-06	3.61E-05	2.95E-09	3.86E-05	2.91E-03	1.41E-02	3.77E-06	1.70E-02	1.27E-03	7.06E-04	2.26E-07	1.97E-03	1.26E-03	6.65E-03	1.16E-02	2.71E-06	1.95E-02
Zinc	1.24E-05	9.61E-06	5.27E-09	2.20E-05	1.43E-02	3.76E-03	6.73E-06	1.80E-02	1.79E-06	1.88E-04	4.04E-07	1.90E-04	6.18E-03	3.89E-03	3.09E-03	4.84E-06	1.32E-02

COPC	Wolf				Arctic Ground Squirrel				Arctic Shrew				Northern Red-backed Vole				
	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	3.67E-02	3.77E+00	6.29E-04	3.80E+00	7.96E-03	5.78E-02	7.90E-06	6.57E-02	8.18E-03	2.60E-03	1.33E-07	1.08E-02	1.06E-03	4.20E-02	2.11E-02	8.00E-07	6.41E-02
Arsenic	1.41E-05	8.72E-04	3.98E-06	8.90E-04	5.40E-06	1.34E-05	4.99E-08	1.88E-05	9.47E-07	6.03E-07	8.44E-10	1.55E-06	7.19E-07	4.86E-06	4.88E-06	5.06E-09	1.05E-05
Cadmium	2.80E-07	1.62E-05	2.64E-08	1.65E-05	1.10E-06	2.49E-07	3.31E-10	1.34E-06	1.54E-07	1.12E-08	5.60E-12	1.65E-07	1.46E-07	7.90E-07	9.07E-08	3.36E-11	1.03E-06
Chromium	3.65E-03	4.26E-02	1.32E-05	4.63E-02	1.11E-03	6.54E-04	1.66E-07	1.76E-03	4.21E-06	2.95E-05	2.81E-09	3.37E-05	1.47E-04	2.16E-05	2.38E-04	1.68E-08	4.07E-04
Copper	4.63E-03	4.48E-02	9.23E-05	4.95E-02	5.47E-04	6.87E-04	1.16E-06	1.24E-03	1.77E-05	3.10E-05	1.96E-08	4.87E-05	7.30E-05	9.09E-05	2.51E-04	1.17E-07	4.15E-04
Lead	1.26E-06	5.31E-04	1.21E-07	5.32E-04	3.10E-06	8.14E-06	1.52E-09	1.12E-05	1.57E-07	3.67E-07	2.57E-11	5.24E-07	4.13E-07	8.07E-07	2.97E-06	1.54E-10	4.19E-06
Manganese	1.46E-04	1.74E-02	4.36E-05	1.76E-02	7.00E-04	2.67E-04	5.47E-07	9.68E-04	9.29E-06	1.20E-05	9.25E-09	2.13E-05	9.33E-05	4.77E-05	9.75E-05	5.55E-08	2.39E-04
Mercury	2.96E-02	1.47E-03	2.55E-06	3.10E-02	2.89E-04	2.25E-05	3.20E-08	3.12E-04	5.80E-07	1.01E-06	5.41E-10	1.59E-06	3.85E-05	2.98E-06	8.21E-06	3.25E-09	4.97E-05
Nickel	2.26E-03	2.46E-02	2.19E-05	2.68E-02	6.21E-04	3.77E-04	2.75E-07	9.98E-04	4.85E-06	1.70E-05	4.64E-09	2.18E-05	8.28E-05	2.49E-05	1.37E-04	2.78E-08	2.45E-04
Selenium	3.68E-06	6.70E-05	3.98E-06	7.47E-05	3.22E-06	1.03E-06	5.00E-08	4.30E-06	1.46E-07	4.63E-08	8.44E-10	1.93E-07	4.29E-07	7.47E-07	3.75E-07	5.06E-09	1.56E-06
Thallium	6.48E-04	2.36E-03	8.11E-07	3.01E-03	7.24E-06	3.62E-05	1.02E-08	4.34E-05	5.12E-06	1.63E-06	1.72E-10	6.76E-06	9.65E-07	2.63E-05	1.32E-05	1.03E-09	4.05E-05
Zinc	1.54E-06	6.28E-04	1.45E-06	6.31E-04	3.55E-05	9.63E-06	1.82E-08	4.52E-05	3.47E-06	4.34E-07	3.07E-10	3.91E-06	4.74E-06	1.78E-05	3.51E-06	1.84E-09	2.61E-05

COPC	Willow Ptarmigan				American Tree Sparrow					Peregrine Falcon				Canada Goose			
	C _m [veg]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	1.41E+01	2.92E+01	4.33E-03	4.33E+01	7.90E-01	3.13E+01	4.48E+00	2.29E-04	3.66E+01	2.90E+00	4.85E+01	2.17E-03	5.14E+01	1.69E+01	1.94E+02	5.38E-03	2.11E+02
Arsenic	7.43E-03	5.26E-03	2.13E-05	1.27E-02	4.17E-04	2.82E-03	8.07E-04	1.13E-06	4.04E-03	4.79E-03	8.73E-03	1.07E-05	1.35E-02	8.94E-03	1.31E-01	2.65E-05	1.40E-01
Cadmium	7.02E-04	4.56E-05	6.58E-08	7.48E-04	3.94E-05	2.13E-04	6.99E-06	3.48E-09	2.59E-04	1.17E-05	7.56E-05	3.29E-08	8.74E-05	8.44E-04	2.30E-04	8.17E-08	1.07E-03
Chromium	1.33E-01	2.25E-02	6.21E-06	1.56E-01	7.49E-03	1.10E-03	3.46E-03	3.29E-07	1.20E-02	1.69E-03	3.74E-02	3.11E-06	3.91E-02	1.60E-01	1.34E-01	7.71E-06	2.94E-01
Copper	9.08E-02	3.26E-02	5.96E-05	1.23E-01	5.09E-03	6.34E-03	5.00E-03	3.15E-06	1.64E-02	6.19E-03	5.41E-02	2.98E-05	6.03E-02	1.09E-01	2.16E-01	7.39E-05	3.26E-01
Lead	2.74E-02	2.06E-02	4.18E-06	4.80E-02	1.54E-03	3.00E-03	3.16E-03	2.21E-07	7.70E-03	2.04E-03	3.41E-02	2.09E-06	3.62E-02	3.30E-02	8.37E-02	5.19E-06	1.17E-01
Manganese	2.90E-01	3.17E-02	7.04E-05	3.22E-01	1.63E-02	8.32E-03	4.86E-03	3.73E-06	2.95E-02	1.76E-03	5.26E-02	3.52E-05	5.43E-02	3.49E-01	1.03E+00	8.74E-05	1.38E+00
Mercury	1.15E-04	2.56E-06	3.96E-09	1.18E-04	6.45E-06	4.99E-07	3.93E-07	2.09E-10	7.35E-06	1.02E-07	4.25E-06	1.98E-09	4.35E-06	1.38E-04	2.35E-05	4.91E-09	1.62E-04
Nickel	3.43E-04	5.95E-05	4.71E-08	4.03E-04	1.93E-05	5.79E-06	9.13E-06	2.49E-09	3.42E-05	4.88E-07	9.88E-05	2.36E-08	9.93E-05	4.13E-04	4.01E-04	5.84E-08	8.14E-04
Selenium	5.31E-03	4.84E-04	2.56E-05	5.82E-03	2.98E-04	5.19E-04	7.43E-05	1.35E-06	8.92E-04	3.47E-03	8.04E-04	1.28E-05	4.29E-03	6.39E-03	6.04E-03	3.17E-05	1.25E-02
Thallium	6.48E-03	9.26E-03	2.83E-06	1.57E-02	3.64E-04	9.92E-03	1.42E-03	1.50E-07	1.17E-02	1.67E-02	1.54E-02	1.41E-06	3.20E-02	7.79E-03	2.79E-02	3.51E-06	3.57E-02
Zinc	1.15E-02	8.88E-04	1.82E-06	1.24E-02	6.43E-04	2.42E-03	1.36E-04	9.62E-08	3.20E-03	4.58E-04	1.47E-03	9.09E-07	1.93E-03	1.38E-02	7.57E-03	2.25E-06	2.14E-02

Table V6-5N12. Operations Phase Modeled Concentrations of Contaminants of Potential Concern in the Tissues of Country Food Species (Caribou, Arctic Ground Squirrel, and Canada Goose) and Wildlife Species (Muskox, Wolverine, Grizzly Bear, Wolf, Peregrine Falcon, Short-eared Owl, King/Common Eider, Red-breasted Merganser, and Ringed Seal)

COPC	Red-breasted Merganser				Least Sandpiper				Long-tailed Duck					Herring Gull			
	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	1.74E+00	4.84E+01	2.62E-03	5.02E+01	2.36E-01	4.75E+00	2.07E-04	4.98E+00	3.46E-01	2.12E+00	4.43E+01	2.14E-03	4.68E+01	9.29E+00	5.38E+01	2.63E-03	6.31E+01
Arsenic	1.63E-02	3.27E-02	1.29E-05	4.90E-02	3.67E-04	3.20E-03	1.02E-06	3.57E-03	1.83E-04	3.86E-03	2.99E-02	1.05E-05	3.40E-02	3.11E-01	4.11E-02	2.75E-05	3.52E-01
Cadmium	1.84E-04	5.74E-05	3.98E-08	2.41E-04	5.36E-05	5.62E-06	3.15E-09	5.93E-05	1.73E-05	4.75E-04	5.25E-05	3.25E-08	5.44E-04	8.03E-03	5.75E-05	1.60E-07	8.09E-03
Chromium	5.23E-03	3.33E-02	3.76E-06	3.86E-02	4.39E-03	3.27E-03	2.97E-07	7.66E-03	3.28E-03	3.84E-02	3.05E-02	3.07E-06	7.22E-02	3.98E-01	3.88E-02	1.25E-04	4.37E-01
Copper	5.26E-02	5.40E-02	3.60E-05	1.07E-01	5.22E-02	5.29E-03	2.85E-06	5.75E-02	2.23E-03	4.56E-01	4.94E-02	2.95E-05	5.08E-01	1.19E-01	3.99E-02	3.49E-05	1.59E-01
Lead	7.60E-03	2.09E-02	2.53E-06	2.85E-02	4.98E-03	2.05E-03	2.00E-07	7.03E-03	6.74E-04	4.37E-02	1.91E-02	2.07E-06	6.34E-02	1.59E-02	1.99E-02	1.00E-05	3.58E-02
Manganese	3.82E-02	2.56E-01	4.25E-05	2.94E-01	6.74E-02	2.51E-02	3.37E-06	9.25E-02	7.14E-03	5.88E-01	2.34E-01	3.48E-05	8.30E-01	1.79E-02	5.89E-02	4.12E-05	7.69E-02
Mercury	1.72E-03	5.87E-06	2.39E-09	1.73E-03	5.12E-05	5.75E-07	1.89E-10	5.18E-05	2.83E-06	5.17E-04	5.37E-06	1.96E-09	5.25E-04	1.24E-04	1.58E-06	3.76E-09	1.26E-04
Nickel	2.59E-05	1.00E-04	2.85E-08	1.26E-04	3.10E-07	9.80E-06	2.25E-09	1.01E-05	8.44E-06	3.77E-06	9.15E-05	2.33E-08	1.04E-04	1.07E-03	9.56E-05	1.99E-08	1.17E-03
Selenium	5.11E-02	1.51E-03	1.54E-05	5.26E-02	7.60E-03	1.48E-04	1.22E-06	7.74E-03	1.31E-04	6.82E-02	1.38E-03	1.26E-05	6.97E-02	1.37E-01	1.79E-03	2.82E-05	1.38E-01
Thallium	1.17E-02	6.96E-03	1.71E-06	1.87E-02	9.98E-03	6.82E-04	1.35E-07	1.07E-02	1.59E-04	8.74E-02	6.37E-03	1.40E-06	9.39E-02	3.54E-03	8.44E-03	1.35E-03	1.33E-02
Zinc	2.65E-02	1.89E-03	1.10E-06	2.84E-02	1.96E-03	1.85E-04	8.69E-08	2.14E-03	2.82E-04	1.81E-02	1.73E-03	8.98E-07	2.02E-02	2.13E-02	2.00E-03	1.06E-06	2.33E-02

COPC	Yellow Warbler				Brant				Ringed Seal		
	C _m [prey]	C _m [soil]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [veg]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [water]	C _m [total]	C _m [prey]	C _m [sediment]	C _m [total]
Aluminum	1.58E+01	1.44E+00	1.14E-04	1.73E+01	1.04E+01	2.31E+01	3.38E-03	3.36E+01	5.40E-01	7.09E+00	7.63E+00
Arsenic	1.42E-03	2.59E-04	5.60E-07	1.68E-03	5.50E-03	1.77E-02	3.53E-05	2.32E-02	4.64E-02	6.97E-03	5.33E-02
Cadmium	1.08E-04	2.24E-06	1.73E-09	1.10E-04	5.20E-04	2.48E-05	2.06E-07	5.45E-04	1.24E-03	2.09E-05	1.26E-03
Chromium	5.55E-04	1.11E-03	1.63E-07	1.66E-03	9.88E-02	1.67E-02	1.61E-04	1.16E-01	3.26E-01	7.51E-02	4.02E-01
Copper	3.21E-03	1.60E-03	1.56E-06	4.81E-03	6.72E-02	1.72E-02	4.49E-05	8.45E-02	1.74E-01	5.62E-02	2.30E-01
Lead	1.52E-03	1.01E-03	1.10E-07	2.53E-03	2.03E-02	8.55E-03	1.29E-05	2.88E-02	1.92E-04	5.24E-04	7.16E-04
Manganese	4.21E-03	1.56E-03	1.85E-06	5.77E-03	2.15E-01	2.54E-02	5.30E-05	2.40E-01	4.75E-03	3.32E-02	3.79E-02
Mercury	2.52E-07	1.26E-07	1.04E-10	3.78E-07	8.52E-05	6.82E-07	4.84E-09	8.59E-05	9.74E-02	9.28E-04	9.83E-02
Nickel	2.93E-06	2.93E-06	1.24E-09	5.86E-06	2.54E-04	4.12E-05	2.56E-08	2.95E-04	1.96E-01	4.04E-02	2.36E-01
Selenium	2.62E-04	2.38E-05	6.71E-07	2.87E-04	3.93E-03	7.70E-04	3.63E-05	4.74E-03	1.59E-02	2.53E-04	1.61E-02
Thallium	5.02E-03	4.56E-04	7.41E-08	5.47E-03	4.80E-03	3.63E-03	1.74E-03	1.02E-02	8.79E-04	2.20E-03	3.08E-03
Zinc	1.22E-03	4.37E-05	4.77E-08	1.27E-03	8.49E-03	8.62E-04	1.37E-06	9.35E-03	1.08E-02	1.45E-03	1.22E-02

Notes:

All concentrations in mg/kg wet weight.

COPC = contaminant of potential concern

C_m[veg] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from vegetation consumption

C_m[prey] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from prey consumption

C_m[soil] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from soil consumption

C_m[sediment] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from sediment consumption

C_m[water] = concentration of COPC in meat tissue from water consumption

C_m[total] = total concentration of COPC in meat tissue from soil, vegetation, and water consumption

References

- Barboza, P. S., T. C. Peltier, and R. J. Forster. 2006. Ruminal fermentation and fill change with season in an Arctic grazer: responses to hyperphagia and hypophagia in muskoxen (*Ovibos moschatus*). *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 79 (3): 497-513.
- Batzli, G. 1999. Northern red-backed vole (*Clethrionomys rutilus*). In *The Smithsonian Book of North American Mammals*. Eds. D. Wilson and S. Ruff. pp. 616-17. Washington, D.C.: The Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Beyer, W. N. and G. F. Fries. 2003. Toxicological Significance of Soil Ingestion by Wild and Domestic Animals. In *Handbook of Ecotoxicology, 2nd Edition*. Eds. D. J. Hoffman, B. A. Rattner, G. A. J. Burton, and J. J. Cairns. 151-66. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers.
- Chambers, S. M., S. R. Fain, B. Fazio, and M. Amaral. 2012. An account of the taxonomy of North American wolves from morphological and genetic analyses. *North American Fauna*, 77: 1-67.
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015a. All About Birds: American Tree Sparrow. https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/American_Tree_Sparrow/lifehistory (accessed November 2016).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015b. All About Birds: Brant. <https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Brant/lifehistory> (accessed November 2016).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015c. All About Birds: Canada Goose. http://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Canada_Goose/lifehistory (accessed September 2015).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015d. All About Birds: Herring Gull. https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Herring_Gull/lifehistory (accessed November 2016).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015e. All About Birds: Least Sandpiper. https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Least_Sandpiper/lifehistory (accessed November 2016).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015f. All About Birds: Long-tailed Duck. https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Long-tailed_Duck/lifehistory (accessed December 2015).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015g. *All About Birds: Peregrine Falcon*. http://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Peregrine_Falcon/lifehistory (accessed September 2015).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015h. *All About Birds: Red-breasted Merganser*. http://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Red-breasted_Merganser/lifehistory (accessed September 2015).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015i. All About Birds: Willow Ptarmigan. https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Willow_Ptarmigan/lifehistory (accessed December 2015).
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2015j. All About Birds: Yellow Warbler. https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Yellow_Warbler/lifehistory (accessed November 2016).
- COSEWIC. 2016. *Database of Wildlife Species Assessed by COSEWIC*. Committee on the Status of Endangered Species in Canada. http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/eng/sct5/index_e.cfm (accessed October 2016).

- Crete, M., R. Nault, P. Walsh, J. L. Benedetti, M. A. Lefebvre, J. P. Weber, and J. Gagnon. 1989. Variation in cadmium content of caribou tissues from northern Quebec, Canada. *Science of the Total Environment*, 80: 103 - 12.
- Elkin, B. T. and R. W. Bethke. 1995. Environmental contaminants in caribou in the Northwest Territories, Canada. *Science of the Total Environment*, 160-161: 307-21.
- Environment Canada. 2012a. *Federal Contaminated Sites Action Plan (FCSAP) Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance*. Government of Canada, Environment Canada: Gatineau, QC.
- Environment Canada. 2012b. *Federal Contaminated Sites Action Plan (FCSAP) Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance. Module 3: Standardization of Wildlife Receptor Characteristics*. Gatineau, QC.
- Environment Yukon. 2016. Barren-ground Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus grantii*). *Yukon Government*: <http://www.env.gov.yk.ca/animals-habitat/mammals/barrengroundcaribou.php> (accessed July 2016).
- ERM and EDI. 2016. *Hope Bay Project: Caribou Workshop*. Prepared for TMAC Resources Inc. by ERM Consultants Canada Ltd.: Vancouver, British Columbia and EDI Environmental Dynamics Inc: Whitehorse, Yukon: Vancouver, British Columbia.
- Gamberg, M. 2000. *Contaminants in Yukon Country Foods*. Prepared for Yukon Contaminants Committee and Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Northern Contaminants Program by Gamberg Consulting: Whitehorse, YK.
- Gamberg, M. 2004. *Contaminants in Yukon Moose and Caribou - 2003*. Prepared for Yukon Contaminants Committee and Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Northern Contaminants Program by Gamberg Consulting: Whitehorse, YT.
- Gamberg, M. 2010. *Arctic moose and caribou contaminant monitoring program. Synopsis of research conducted under the 2009-2010 Northern Contaminants Program*. Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development: Ottawa, ON.
- Gamberg, M., B. Braune, E. Davey, B. Elkin, P. F. Hoekstra, D. Kennedy, C. Macdonald, D. Muir, A. Nirwal, M. Wayland, and B. Zeeb. 2005. Spatial and temporal trends of contaminants in terrestrial biota from the Canadian arctic. *Science of the Total Environment*, 351-352: 148-64.
- Gamberg, M. and A. M. Scheuhammer. 1994. Cadmium in caribou and muskoxen from the Canadian Yukon and Northwest Territories. *Science of the Total Environment*, 143 (2-3): 221-34.
- Gau, R. J., R. Case, D. F. Penner, and P. D. McLoughlin. 2002. Feeding patterns of barren-ground grizzly bears in the central Canadian Arctic. *Arctic*, 55 (4): 339-44.
- Golder Associates Ltd. 2005. *Guidance for Including Country Foods in Human Health Risk Assessments for Federal Contaminated Sites*. 04-1412-041. Prepared for Health Canada: Burnaby, BC.
- Government of Canada. 2015. *Species at Risk Public Registry*. <http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/default.asp?lang=en&n=24F7211B-1> (accessed August 2015).
- Gunn, A., J. Dragon, and J. Boulanger. 2001. *Seasonal Movements of Satellite-collared Caribou from the Bathurst herd*. Final report to the West Kitikmeot Slave Study Society: Yellowknife, NT.
- Hammerson, G. 2008. NatureServe: *Sorex arcticus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: e.T41385A10442058. <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/41385/0> (accessed October 2016).
- Health Canada. 2010. *Draft Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada, Supplemental Guidance on Human Health Risk Assessment for Country Foods (HHRA_{FOODS})*. Version 1.2 (Draft). Contaminated Sites Division, Safe Environments Directorate: Ottawa, ON.

- Hubbs, A. H. and R. Boonstra. 1998. Effects of food and predators on the home-range sizes of Arctic ground squirrels (*Spermophilus parryi*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 76 (3): 592-96.
- Kim, C., H. M. Chan, and O. Receuver. 1998. Risk assessment of cadmium exposure in Fort Resolution, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 15 (3): 307-17.
- Larter, N. C., J. A. Nagy, B. T. Elkin, and C. R. Macdonald. 2010. Differences in radionuclide and heavy metal concentrations found in the kidneys of barren-ground caribou from the western Northwest Territories 1994/95 to 2000/01. *Rangifer*, 30 (1): 61-66.
- Linzey, A. V., H. Henttonen, B. Sheftel, and N. Batsaikhan. 2008. *Myodes rutilus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: e.T4975A11106075. <http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/4975/0> (accessed October 2016).
- Macdonald, C. R., B. T. Elkin, P. Roach, M. Gamberg, and M. Palmer. 2002. *Inorganic elements in caribou in the Yukon, NWT, and Nunavut from 1992 to 2000. Spatial and temporal trends and the effect of modifying factors*. Environment Canada, unpublished report: n.p.
- Mech, D. L. 2007. Arctic Wolves and their Prey. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Arctic Theme Page. http://www.arctic.noaa.gov/essay_mech.html (accessed September 2015).
- National Audubon Society. 2013. *What Happens When Seabirds Drink Saltwater?* <https://www.audubon.org/news/what-happens-when-seabirds-drink-saltwater> (accessed September 2015).
- NOAA. 2014. *Ringed Seal (Phoca hispida)*. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Office of Protected Resources. <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/pinnipeds/ringedseal.htm> (accessed September 2015).
- ORNL. 1997. *Methods and Tools for Estimation of the Exposure of Terrestrial Wildlife to Contaminants*. Publication No. 4650. Prepared for the United States Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Policy and Assistance, Air, Water, and Radiation Division by Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN.
- Pollock, B., B. Penashue, M. Scott, J. Vanleeuwen, P. Y. Daoust, N. M. Burgess, and A. R. Tasker. 2009. Liver parasites and body condition in relation to environmental contaminants in caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) from Labrador, Canada. *Arctic*, 62: 1-12.
- RAIS. 2017. Chemical Factors. Risk Assessment Information System. <http://rais.ornl.gov/index.html> (accessed September 2017).
- Rescan. 2011a. *Doris North Gold Mine Project: Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Program, 2010*. Prepared for Hope Bay Mining Ltd. by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd.: Vancouver, BC.
- Rescan. 2011b. *Hope Bay Belt Project: Wildlife Habitat Suitability Baseline, 2010*. Prepared for Hope Bay Mining Limited by Rescan Environmental Services Ltd.: Vancouver, BC.
- Robillard, S., G. Beauchamp, G. Paillard, and D. Belanger. 2002. Levels of cadmium, lead, mercury and ¹³⁷caesium in Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) tissues from northern Québec. *Arctic*, 55 (1): 1-9.
- Sample et al. 1998. *Development and Validation of Bioaccumulation Models for Earthworms*. ES/ER/TM-220. U.S. Department of Energy:
- Sea Duck Joint Venture. 2003. Sea Duck Information Series: Long-tailed Duck (*Clangula hyemalis*). Info Sheet #10 of 15. http://seaduckjv.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/ltdu_sppfactsheet.pdf (accessed October 2016).

- Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History. 2015. North American Mammals: Northern Red-backed Vole, *Myodes rutilus*. http://www.mnh.si.edu/mna/image_info.cfm?species_id=44 (accessed December 2015).
- State of Alaska. 2015a. Arctic Ground Squirrel (*Spermophilus parryi*). State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game. <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=arcticgroundsquirrel.printerfriendly> (accessed September 2015).
- State of Alaska. 2015b. *Brown/Grizzly Bear Hunting in Alaska*. State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game. <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=brownbearhunting.main> (accessed September 2015).
- State of Alaska. 2015c. *Muskox Hunting in Alaska*. State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game. <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=muskoxhunting.main> (accessed September 2015).
- State of Alaska. 2015d. *Wolf (Canis lupus) Species Profile*. State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game. <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=wolf.main> (accessed September 2015).
- State of Alaska. 2015e. *Wolverine (Gulo gulo) Species Profile*. State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game. <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=wolverine.main> (accessed September 2015).
- State of Alaska. 2016. Small Game Hunting in Alaska: Small Game Species - Willow Ptarmigan. <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=smallgamehunting.willowptarmigan> (accessed October 2016).
- Staven, L. H., K. Rhoads, B. A. Napier, and D. L. Strenge. 2003. *A Compendium of Transfer Factors for Agricultural and Animal Products*. PNNL-13421. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory US Department of Energy: Richland, WA.
- US EPA. 1993. *Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook*. EPA/600/R-93/187. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development: Washington, DC.
- US EPA. 1999. *Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment Protocol. Appendix C: Media-to-Receptor Bioconcentration Factors (BCFs)*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste: n.p.
- US EPA. 2005. *Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities*. EPA520-R-05-006. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response: Washington, DC.
- US EPA. 2007. *Guidance for Developing Ecological Soil Screening Levels (Eco-SSLs)*. OSWER Directive 9285.7-55. United States Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, D.C.
- Willmer, P., G. Stone, and I. Johnston. 2009. *Environmental Physiology of Animals*. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing.