

2AM-DOH0713 Clauses and Compliance (As of October 8, 2010)

Clause		Compliance Status
--------	--	-------------------

Part A: Scope, Definitions and Enforcement		
A.1	~	N/A
A.2	~	N/A
A.3	~	N/A
A.4	~	N/A
A.5	~	N/A
A.6	~	N/A
A.7	~	N/A

Part B: General Conditions		
B.1	~	N/A
B.2	✓	Paid until Sept. 19, 2011
B.3	✓	2007: April 30, 2008
	✓	2008: May 29, 2009
	✓	2009: March 31, 2010
B.4	✓	In Compliance
B.5	~	N/A
B.6	~	N/A
B.7	~	N/A
B.8	✓	In Compliance
B.9	~	N/A
B.10	~	N/A
B.11	✓	In Compliance
B.12	✓	In Compliance
B.13	~	N/A

Part C: Conditions Applying to Security		
C.1	✓	BMTO247073OS=\$11.714 M
C.2	x	Not yet applicable.
C.3	x	Not yet applicable.
C.4	~	N/A
C.5	✓	In Compliance

Part D: Conditions Applying to Construction		
D.1	✓	In Compliance
D.2	✓	In Compliance
D.3	✓	In Compliance
D.4	✓	In Compliance
D.5	✓	In Compliance
D.6	✓	In Compliance
D.7	✓	In Compliance
D.8	✓	2007: No construction took place therefore no report submitted.
	✓	2008: March 29, 2010
	✓	2009: No construction took place therefore no report submitted.
D.9	✓	Submitted November 27, 2009
D.10	✓	In Compliance
D.11	✓	In Compliance

D.12	✓	In Compliance
D.13	✓	In Compliance
D.14	✓	Submitted February 4, 2010
D.15	✓	In Compliance
D.16	✓	In Compliance
D.17	✓	In Compliance
D.18	✓	In Compliance
D.19	✓	In Compliance
D.20	✓	In Compliance
D.21	✓	In Compliance
D.22	✓	Submitted November 27, 2009
D.23	✓	In Compliance
D.24	✓	In Compliance
D.25	✓	In Compliance
D.26	✓	In Compliance
D.27	✓	In Compliance
D.28	x	Not yet applicable.
D.29	✓	In Compliance

Part E: Conditions Applying to Water Use		
E.1	✓	Using temporary intake until process water intake built.
E.2	x	Not yet applicable.
E.3	✓	In Compliance
E.4	✓	In Compliance
E.5	✓	In Compliance
E.6	✓	In Compliance
E.7	✓	In Compliance

Part F: Conditions Applying to Water Management		
F.1	x	To be submitted by the end of January 2011.
F.2	x	Not yet applicable.
F.3	x	Not yet applicable.
F.4	x	Not yet applicable.

Part G: Conditions Applying to Waste Management and Waste Management Plans		
G.1	✓	In Compliance
G.2	✓	In Compliance
G.3	✓	In Compliance
G.3.e	✓	Start-Up Notice: August 12, 2008
G.4	✓	Submitted August 6, 2008
G.5	✓	In Compliance
G.6	✓	Stack Test: October 1, 2009
G.7	✓	Submitted August 10, 2009
G.8	✓	In Compliance
G.9	x	Not yet applicable. HBML negotiating with KIA to construct a landfill.
G.10	x	Not yet applicable.
G.11	✓	Submitted September 30, 2009.
G.12	✓	In Compliance
G.13	✓	In Compliance
G.14	✓	Submitted July 12, 2010.
G.15	x	To be submitted by November 30, 2010.

G.16	x	Not yet applicable.
G.17	x	Not yet applicable.
G.18	x	Not yet applicable.
G.19	✓	In Compliance
G.20	x	Not yet applicable.
G.21	x	Not yet applicable.
G.22	✓	In Compliance
G.23	x	Will be submitted at least 3 months prior to deposit of tailings.
G.24	x	Not yet applicable.
G.25	x	Not yet applicable.
G.26	x	Not yet applicable.
G.27	x	Not yet applicable.
G.28	x	Not yet applicable.
G.29	x	Not yet applicable.
G.30	x	Not yet applicable.
G.31	x	Not yet applicable.

Part H: Conditions Applying to Modifications		
H.1	~	N/A
H.2	~	N/A
H.3	✓	In Compliance

Part I: Conditions Applying to Contingency Planning		
I.1	✓	Submitted September 30, 2009
I.2	~	N/A
I.3	✓	In Compliance
I.4	✓	In Compliance
I.5	✓	In Compliance
I.6	✓	In Compliance
I.7	✓	In Compliance
I.8	✓	In Compliance
I.9	x	Not yet applicable.

Part J: Conditions Applying to General and Aquatic Effects Monitoring		
J.1	✓	In Compliance
J.2	x	Not yet applicable.
J.3	✓	Monitoring occurring at ST-5 through ST-9. Monitoring of other ST stations and of TL stations is not yet applicable.
J.4	x	Not yet applicable.
J.5	✓	Coordinates agreed upon with Inspector coincide with stations monitored in J3. Monitoring of other ST and TL stations is not yet applicable.
J.6	x	To be completed in Fall 2010.
J.7	~	N/A
J.8	x	Not yet applicable.
J.9	✓	In Compliance
J.10	✓	In Compliance
J.11	x	Not yet applicable.
J.12	✓	In compliance with a. All others are not yet applicable.
J.13	x	Not yet applicable.
J.14	✓	In Compliance
J.15	x	Not yet applicable.

J.16	x	Not yet applicable.
J.17	x	Not yet applicable.
J.18	✓	2009: Completed July 20-25, 2009
	✓	2010: Completed July 12-16 2010.
J.19	✓	2009: November 27, 2009
	x	2010: To be submitted by Nov. 31, 2010.
J.20	✓	In Compliance
J.21	✓	In compliance.

Part K: Conditions Applying to General and Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plans

K.1	✓	Submitted July 13, 2009
K.2	~	N/A
K.3	✓	In compliance
K.4	✓	In compliance
K.5	x	To be submitted by April 30, 2011.
K.6	x	Not yet applicable.
K.7	✓	Submitted March 28, 2008
K.8	✓	Confirmed by EC via e-mail March 23, 2010.
K.9	✓	AEMP implemented
K.10	✓	In compliance

Part L: Conditions Applying to Abandonment, Reclamation and Closure

L.1	x	Not yet applicable.
L.2	x	Not yet applicable.
L.3	x	Not yet applicable.
L.4	x	Not yet applicable.
L.5	x	Not yet applicable.
L.6	x	Not yet applicable.
L.7	x	Not yet applicable.
L.8	x	Not yet applicable.
L.9	x	Not yet applicable.

2AM-DOH0713 Regulatory Reviews

Agency	Date Reviewed		Action Due Date	HBML action	Request
--------	---------------	--	-----------------	-------------	---------

Stack Test

NWB	28/09/2010	x	22/10/2010	Submitted 22/10/10.	The letter suggests that HBML will be delaying the 2010 stack test until 2011 when the construction of the waste management facility will be completed. It is unclear what activities are involved with the construction of the waste management facility and how it prohibits 2010 stack testing. The NWB requests a clarification on this subject. Pg. 1, end of paragraph 2.
		x	22/10/2010	Submitted by 22/10/10.	The NWB requests that HBML provide clarification on the construction details identified above and provide a detailed account of the measures that have been taken to date to improve the performance of the incinerator, including efforts to address the recommendations made in the Rescan memorandum dated February 3, 2010 (attached). Please submit the information to the NWB's Licensing Manager, Phyllis Beaulieu (licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org), by October 22, 3010. Pg. 2, paragraph 1.

Incinerator Management Plan

NWB	14/05/2010	✓	N/A	Responded to letter June 1, 2010	1. (...) However, should the results indicate composting as the preferred option, an amendment application must be filed by HBML. The amendment application would be subject to review by parties. Pg. 2, Item 1
		✓	31/05/2010	Responded to letter June 1, 2010. Submitted August 16, 2010	2. The NWB could not located, within the Plan, an executive summary or translations required by Part B, Item 8 of the License. Please provide the executive summaries to NWB's Manager of Licensing by May 31, 2010. Pg. 2, Item 2
		✓	31/05/2010	Responded to letter June 1, 2010	3. Given that is has been six (6) months since HBML's correspondence of November 9, 2009, the NWB requests an update on the status of the Landfill and Landfill Management Plan required in accordance with Part G, Item 9. The NWB acknowledges MHBL's commitment to providing the Landfill Management Plan, for review, at least sixty (60) days prior to operation. Please provide an update to NWB's Manager of Licensing by May 31, 2010. Pg. 2, Item 3

		✓	N/A	Responded to letter June 1, 2010	<p>Finally, the NWB would like to remind the Licensee of condition Part G, Item 6: The Licensee shall ensure that any on-site incinerator meets the requirements of the Canada-Wide Standards for Dioxins and Furans and Canada-Wide Standards for Mercury emissions.</p> <p>The NWB encourages the Licensee to work with Environment Canada (EC) should any issues be identified through the stack testing required under the Schedule B Annual Reporting requirements. The Licensee is encouraged to consult with EC on any changes required to ensure the requirements of Part G, Item 6 are met. Please keep the NWB apprised of applicable correspondence and outcomes. Revisions to the Plan should be included with the Annual Report. Bottom of Pg. 2</p>
--	--	---	-----	----------------------------------	---

Portal					
NWB	30/07/2010	✓	N/A	Notification submitted 30/09/10.	In accordance with Part H, Item 1 of Licence 2AM-DOH0713, a notification is to be provided to the NWB at least sixty (60) days prior to the proposed beginning of the activities. Page 1, paragraph 3.
		✓	N/A	In Compliance	It is the Licensee's responsibility to revise management plans where necessary in accordance with Schedule B, Item 8 to reflect the relocation of the portal and recommendations made. Page 2, Point 1.
		✓	14/08/2010	Responded to request 30/09/10. Waste Rock Management Plan to be submitted by 30/11/10 and Monitoring and Follow-up Plan to be submitted by April 30, 2011.	The NWB agrees with INAC's request and asks that HBML provide an update on the status of the revisions to the 2007 Waste Rock Management Plan and the 2007 Monitoring and Follow-up Plan required pursuant to Part G, Item 15 and Part K, Item 5 of the licence. The NWB requests that an update be submitted within fifteen (15) days of the date of this letter. Page 2, Point 2.
		✓	N/A	In Compliance	Part D, Item 27 of the Licence requires that the construction of engineered structures be supervised and field checked by an appropriately qualified and experienced Engineer in such a manner that the project specification can be enforced and, where required, the quality control measures can be followed. The Licensee shall also ensure that the construction records of all engineered structures are maintained and made available at the request of the Board and/or Inspector. Page 2, Point 3.
		x	N/A	To be completed when structure is complete.	The Licensee is reminded that in accordance with Part H, Item 3, as-built plans and drawings are to be provided within (90) days of completion. The plans and drawings shall be stamped and signed by an Engineer. The NWB appreciates HBML acknowledgement of this requirement in the modification notice. Page 2, Point 4.
DFO	29/06/2010	~	N/A	N/A	No comments.

INAC	14/05/2010	✓	N/A	In Compliance	Section 7.0 of the HBML's April 2007 Waste Rock Management Plan provides monitoring procedures to demonstrate the suitability of using underground waste rock for use on surface. It appears that HBML is following these procedures for the use of waste rock from the portal face off and underground decline. HBML is expected to continue carrying out these procedures. They are as follows, (see document). Page 3, Item 1.
		✓	N/A	Recommendations will be included in applicable plans.	The geochemical data provided in the SRK Consulting Mar. 30/10 memo, included in HBML's Apr. 1/10 submission, indicates that the NPR values derived from ABA tests for all drill hole samples exceed 3.0 and are therefore considered to be not-PAG. Considering that the TIC/AP ratio results for the recovered samples classify the material as PAG, not-PAG, or uncertain, the recommendations provided by SRK Consulting should be applied and incorporated into applicable license plans. Page 4, Item 2.
		✓	N/A	Responded to request in letter to NWB submitted 30/09/10. Waste Rock Management Plan to be submitted by 30/11/10 and Monitoring and Follow-up Plan to be submitted by April 30, 2011.	When reviewing the submitted modification proposal I noted that HBML's April 2007 Waste Rock Management Plan and July 2007 Monitoring and Follow-up Plan require revisions pursuant to Part G, Item #15 and Part K, Item #5 of the license and that there is no record of their submission on the NWB's public registry. HBML should ensure that all plans requiring revision be submitted to the Board for review. Page 4, Item 3.
KIA	05/05/2010	~	N/A	N/A	No comments.

Spill Contingency Plan

NWB	22/07/2010	✓	N/A	Table in plan updated with current info. Plan revisions to be included in 2010 Annual Report.	However, please note, for your information, that the contact information listed in the table entitled "Key Government Contacts" (adobe pg 3) should be updated as follows: (see document)
-----	------------	---	-----	---	---

Sewage Management Plan

NWB	25/05/2010	x	N/A	Any future revised Sewage Management Plan will be submitted as directed.	Given the NWB's aforementioned direction as well as the time that has passed since receipt of HBML's November 9, 2009 update, the NWB is requesting that HBML continue to keep the NWB informed regarding its plans to apply for an amendment to the Licence, as well as any changes to its plan for submission of a revised Sewage Management Plan. Pg. 2, paragraph 2.
	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Figures 1 and 2 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	1) The proponent must include in this plan a detailed map/diagram of the entire Doris North site including the proposed monitoring locations ST-8, ST-9 and receiving lake for sewage effluent, Glen Lake. Pg. 2, Item 1.

INAC	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Section 5.2 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	2) The Plan lacks detail with regard to sewage treatment/disposal at the temporary Matrix Camp. Details similar to those provided for the permanent facilities should be incorporated into the plan. This would include, but not be limited to specific details noting the type of incinerator, operational procedures implemented to meet CCME Standards, volumes of waste disposed, disposal of ash, contingency measures to be implemented should effluent license criteria be exceeded as well as an Operations Manual. Pg. 2, Item 2
	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Section 5.4 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	3) The use of silt curtains installed at the discharge point into Glen Lake to control suspended solids should be included in the Plan as a permanent operational procedure. INAC is concerned with the idea of discharging effluent over a large area during the winter months. Although all effluent discharged at ST-8 will be treated there may be an accumulation of solids that could run-off to Glen Lake during spring melt. Pg. 2, Item 3
	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Section 7.1 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	4) Operator training requirements should be stated in the plan for both the temporary and permanent facilities. Pg. 3, Item 4
	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Section 7.11 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	5) Cleaning solutions and other solvents used in sewage treatment systems must be included in the Spill Contingency Plan, as well as placing spill kits in these locations. Pg. 3, Item 5
	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Sections 7.11 and 7.12 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	6) In the event that discharged effluent does not meet the licence criteria; the Plan should state contingency measures. It is noted that the Plan refers to malfunctions or upsets and the utilization of two 8000 litre storage tanks however there is no mention of contingencies with respect to effluent criteria exceedences. Pg. 3, Item 6
	15/09/2008	✓	N/A	Addressed in Section 7.12 and Table 6 of March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	7) The proponent should include a raw sewage/grey water monitoring program, in the Plan, similar to the effluent program that would assist in assessing/determining the performance of both the temporary and the permanent MBR treatment units. Pg. 3, Item 7
EC	10/09/2008	✓	N/A	See March 2009 Sewage Management Plan.	Given that HBML will be utilizing the TIA for sewage effluent, EC requests that the proponent advise on feasibility of depositing the sewage sludge into the TIA (or another appropriate containment area) once the mine is operational. End of paragraph 1
KIA	10/09/2008	~	N/A	N/A	No comments.

AEMP

NWB	31/03/2010	✓	N/A	Noted	The Licensee is reminded of the reporting requirements identified within the Plan and as required under Part K, Item 4 and Schedule B, Item 10 of the Licence. Pg. 2, end of paragraph 3
-----	------------	---	-----	-------	--

Landfarm

		x	N/A	The NWB will be notified.	In accordance with Part H, Item 1 of Licence 2AM-DOH0713, a notification is to be provided to the NWB as least sixty (60) days prior to the proposed beginning of the activities. Pg. 1, paragraph 3
--	--	---	-----	---------------------------	--

NWB	11/03/2010	~	N/A	N/A	The NWB accepts the proposed modification, encourages the inclusion of the EC guidelines in the revised Landfarm Management Plan and would like to highlight Licence conditions and requirements of this letter that apply to the modification and the facility, which are:
		✓	N/A	In Compliance	1. Part D, Item 22(c) regarding effluent quality limits for any discharge from the facility; Pg. 2, Item 1
		✓	N/A	In compliance. We will include this facility in the geotechnical inspection.	2. Part J, Item 18 regarding the geotechnical inspection of engineered facilities to be carried out annually between July and September; Pg. 2, Item 2
		✓	N/A	Submitted July 12, 2010.	3. As indicated in the cover letter, a revised Landfarm Management Plan was required under Part G, Item 14 for the initial design and location. Further to a letter received November 9, 2009 and based on the new location, new design and planned operational conditions, this Plan is to be provided to the NWB for review, as least ninety (90) days prior to the operation of the facility, or within ninety (90) days following completion of the modifications, whichever should occur first, and Pg. 2, Item 3
		x	N/A	Will be provided within 90 days of facility completion.	4. Part H, Item 3 of the Licence requires the submission of as-built drawings, within ninety (90) days of completion of the modification, stamped by an Engineer. Pg. 2, Item 4
INAC	05/02/2010	~	N/A	N/A	No actionable items.
KIA	29/01/2010	x	N/A	Landfarm not yet built. Quality control will be carried out during construction.	The proponent's geotechnical engineering consultant must carry out quality control of the facility construction. Quality control should include inspection of the existing foundation to assess whether there are any particularly unsuitable soils that should be improved or replaced prior to foundation pad replacement; Pg. 1, Item 1
		x	N/A	Landfarm not yet built. As-built drawings will be provided once facility complete.	The proponent should produce accurate as-built survey drawings of the completed facility, including surveyed profiles of the berm crests. These berm crest profiles should be re-surveyed one year after construction to assess the amount of settlement that may occur; Pg. 1, Item 2
		✓	N/A	Submitted July 12, 2010.	The proponent's must submit a Landfarm Management Plan prior to 60 days before operation of the facility commences; Pg. 1, Item 3
		✓	N/A	In Compliance	The proponent should inform the affected community(ies); Pg. 1, Item 4
		✓	N/A	In Compliance	The proponent should hire local Inuit. Pg. 1, Item 5

2008 Annual Report and Direction for 2009 Annual Report

		x	01/03/2010	An implementation schedule will be prepared once the 2010 Geotechnical Inspection Report is submitted and the schedule will be included in the 2010 Annual Report.	(...) Review of the geotechnical inspection will be addressed under separate cover, however the NWB does note the lack of an implementation plan to address each of the Geotechnical Engineer's recommendations made in Table A. HBML is asked to submit the required implementation schedule by March 1, 2010. Pg. 1, end of paragraph 3
--	--	---	------------	--	---

NWB	10/02/2010	x	N/A	Once revised, Sewage Management Plan will be prepared taking INAC's recommendations into account.	Re Schedule B, Item 8 (Sewage Management Plan): (...) Although EC was generally agreeable with the Plan, INAC offered valuable recommendations that should be incorporated into a revision of the Plan. Pg. 2, paragraph 3
		✓	31/03/2010	Submitted February 25, 2010	Re Schedule B, Item 12 (Annual Stack Test): Based on HBML commitment, the NWB anticipates that this issue was resolved in 2009 and that the results will be provided in the 2009 Annual Report, due March 31, 2010. Pg. 2, paragraph 4
		✓	01/03/2010	All waste taken offsite is taken to an approved waste handling facility in Yellowknife for proper sorting and disposal. No waste is being disposed of in Nunavut communities.	Re Schedule B, Item 13 (Landfill Management Report): HBML indicated that at the request of the Kitikmeot Inuit Association, HBML has not constructed a landfill and as a result, solid waste that cannot be burned is taken offsite for disposal. The NWB requests clarification concerning where solid waste is being disposed. If solid waste is being disposed of in Nunavut communities, HBML is requested to provide the NWB with written authorization from the Hamlet(s). HBML is asked to submit the required correspondence by March 1, 2010. Pg. 2, paragraph 5

2008 Annual Report Comments

		✓	N/A	Submitted to NWB September 2, 2009.	1. An executive summary of this report in Inuktitut and Inuinnaqtun was not included with HBML's submission to the NWB. This is a required under Part B, Item #8 of the license. Pg. 2, Item 1
		✓	N/A	Submitted to NWB March 29, 2010.	2. As per Part D, Item #8 of the license, HBML is required to provide a Construction Monitoring Report that includes a summary of their Quarry Rock Construction Monitoring Program. To date, this report has not been submitted to the Board. In their 2008 Annual Report, HBML states that a Construction Monitoring Report is currently being developed and will be submitted in 2009. Pg. 3, Item 2
		✓	N/A	Submitted to NWB November 27, 2009.	3. As per Part D, Item #22 of the license, HBML is required to provide a report that presents data from the Quarry Rock Seepage Monitoring Program conducted under Part D, Item #21. A report was not provided with the Annual Report. Pg. 3, Item 3
		✓	N/A	Submitted to NWB August 10, 2009.	4. As per Part G, Item #9 of the license, HBML is required to provide an Incineration Management Plan. Appendix B of the Supplemental Document states that this plan is being prepared and will be included in a Material Management Plan. Pg. 3, Item 4

INAC	13/07/2009	✓	N/A	See HBML letter to NWB of August 5, 2009. Geotechnical Inspection Report for 2009 submitted November 27, 2009.	5. As per Part H, Item #18 of the license, HBML shall ensure that a geotechnical inspection is carried out annually between July and September by a Geotechnical Engineer. Furthermore, as per Part H, Item #29, HBML shall submit the Geotechnical Engineer's inspection report along with a cover letter outlining an implementation plan addressing each of the Geotechnical Engineer's recommendations. In the Supporting Document's section of geotechnical inspections (p.7) it is stated that "the construction of a tailings impoundment area has been deferred and as such HBML cannot update the NWB on the capacity of this area." It is noted that not all geotechnical engineering monitoring requirements relate to the tailings impoundment area and that in HBML's Apr. 21/09 notice to the Board, it was indicated that the following components identified in Part H, Item #18 remain applicable for annual geotechnical inspections, - Geotechnical instrumentation and associated monitoring data; - All weather access roads; - Roberts Bay Jetty; - Fuel Storage and Containment Facilities at the Roberts Bay site; and,
		x	N/A	Not Yet Applicable.	6. As per Part F, Item #1 of the license, HBML is required to submit to the Board a revised Water Management Plan, including certain aspects identified in this license condition. According to page 8 of the submitted Supplemental Document, this plan has not been revised or modified. Pg. 3, Item 6
		✓	N/A	Submitted to NWB September 30, 2009.	7. As per Part G, Item #11 of the license, HBML is required to submit to the Board for review a revised Hazardous Waste Management Plan. According to page 8 of the submitted Supplemental Document, this plan has not been revised or modified. Pg. 3-4, Item 7
		✓	N/A	Revised plan submitted to NWB September 30, 2009.	8. Although the Emergency Response and Contingency Plan's contact names and numbers have changed since the Miramar Hope Bay Ltd. April 2007 submission (previous licensee) and changes have been made to the project's fuel management system (refer to Appendix C, Letter from HBML to INAC dated Aug. 11/08), HBML has not revised this plan accordingly. Pg. 4, Item 8
		✓	N/A	Submitted Aug. 5, 2009.	1. INAC is requesting that HBML provide a Plan of Action with a firm timetable of implementation that addresses the above noted deficiencies with this Annual Report. The Plan should be submitted no later than Aug. 15, 2009. Pg. 4, Item 1
		✓	N/A	The amount of solid waste removed from site is reported on in the Annual Report. See 2009 Annual Report. Note no waste is disposed of in Nunavut at this time. Waste disposed of in Yellowknife.	2. For information management purposes, INAC requests that HBML notify the NWB through both monthly and annual monitoring report submissions the total amount of solid waste produced on-site and the location of where such waste is disposed of (provide copies of Hamlet written permission). Pg. 4, Item 2

Modification to Water Treatment System at Doris Camp

KIA	28/04/2009	~	N/A	N/A	No comments.
-----	------------	---	-----	-----	--------------

GN DoE	27/04/2009	~	N/A	N/A	No comments.
EC	24/04/2009	~	N/A	N/A	No comments.
INAC	22/04/2009	✓	N/A	Reported in montly monitoring reports.	2. For information purposes, INAC recommends that HBML include the sample analysis results for blue-green algae from the raw Doris Lake freshwater intake station #ST-7 in their monthly monitoring reports; Pg. 3, Item 2
		x	N/A	Not Yet Applicable.	3. During my review, I noted that Part F, Item #1 of Water License #2AM-DOH0713 requires HBML to submit a revised Water Management Plan to the Board for review by May 1/08. The revised Plan must include the following: a. A requirement to continuously monitor Doris Lake levels and outflow during the two (2) years of mining and beyond to confirm water balance model predictions; b. Requirements for on-going monitoring and calibration of the water quality model; c. A strategy to monitor and remove where necessary snow accumulation in the Pollution Control Pond, roads, ditches, and drainage channels; and, d. The Plan shall consider the monitoring requirements set out in Parts J and K or the Water License. This revised Plan cannot be located on the NWB ftp site. Please confirm that this Plan has been submitted to the Board. Pg. 3-4, Item 3

2AM-DOH0713 Inspection Reviews

Inspection Date	Agency	Report Status
-----------------	--------	---------------

2008

July 2008	INAC	No report issued by INAC. Inspection follow-up by HBML submitted to NWB August 1, 2008.
10/09/2008	NIRB	Report issued by NIRB October 8, 2008.

2009

09/07/2009	INAC	No report issued by INAC. Inspection follow-up by HBML submitted to NWB July 14, 2009.
14/07/2009	KIA	Report issued by KIA October 28, 2009.
18/08/2009	NIRB	Report issued by NIRB October 20, 2009.

2010

02/08/2010	INAC	No report issued by INAC. Inspection follow-up by HBML submitted to NWB September 2, 2010.
08/07/2010	KIA	Report issued by KIA the same day.
18/09/2010	NIRB	Report to be issued by NIRB.