

June 29, 2016

Via E-mail

Lawson Lundell LLP
Suite 1600 Cathedral Place
925 West Georgia Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 3L2

Attention: Christine J. Kowbel

Dear Madam:

Re: Type "A" Water Licence 2AM DOH1323 Application for Amendment:
Request for Contacts Information

This is further to the Pre-Hearing Conference (PHC) regarding the application to amend the Type A Water Licence 2AM DOH1323, which took place on June 24, 2016, and summarizes the Nunavut Water Board's (NWB or Board) response to TMAC Resources Inc.'s (TMAC) request for information regarding all federal, territorial and Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA) contacts currently maintained by the NWB Distribution List for the above-referenced application.

Having reviewed the NWB's prior practice with respect to disclosure of the Board's Distribution Lists, the relevant legislative requirements, privacy concerns, limits on the Board's use of the information provided by parties subscribing to the Distribution List, and related practical implications, please be advised that the NWB has concluded that the Board is not in a position to release the information requested by TMAC.

The NWB appreciates that the basis for TMAC's request was to ensure that all federal, territorial and KIA contacts with a mandate to participate in the Board's consideration of TMAC's application are receiving materials when circulated to the Distribution List and posted to the NWB's public registry. However, as each agency is independently responsible for ensuring that they maintain an up to date listing with the Board for the purposes of ensuring all relevant contacts (including new personnel and the parties' consultants) receive materials circulated via the Distribution List, the Board suggests that TMAC work with all the intervening parties to confirm that all relevant contacts are currently subscribed to the Board's Distribution List for the file. The Board requests that if parties identify that not all relevant contacts are receiving materials as they are circulated that they follow up with the Board to ensure the NWB Distribution List for the file is updated and accurate.



In closing, I note that the Board's conclusion is in contrast to my initial response in the Pre-Hearing Conference indicating that it may be possible to provide TMAC with the requested information if the parties participating in the PHC did not object; unfortunately my initial response did not fully consider the regulatory and practical constraints associated with the Board's collection and disclosure of this information. I apologize for any confusion that may have resulted.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to follow up with me.

Yours truly,

SHORES JARDINE LLP

Per:

CINDY KIEU

cindy@shoresjardine.com