Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada
Affaires autochtones et du Nord Canada

TMAC Resources Inc.

Amendment Application No. 1 to Water Licence No. 2AM-DOH1323

Nunavut Water Board Technical Meeting
Cambridge Bay, NU

January 28-29, 2016

ᑎᒪᒃ ᓄᓇᒥᙵᕐᑐᓕᕆᔨᑦ (TMAC Resources Inc.)

ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ ᐆᒃᑐᕈᑎ ᓅᓴᐅᑎᖓ 1 ᐃᒪᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᒧᑦ ᓅᓴᐅᑎᖓ 2AM-DOH1323

ᓄᓇᕗᑦ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓂᖅ

ᐃᖃᓗᒃᑑᑦᑎᐊᖅ, ᓄᓇᕗᑦ

ᔮᓄᐊᕆ 28, 2016

Canada

Outline

1. Roles and Responsibilities
2. Contributions to the Water Licence Amendment Application Review
3. Technical Review
   a) Resolved
   b) Outstanding
4. Completeness Review
   a) Resolved
   b) Outstanding
5. Reclamation Cost Estimate
6. Conclusion

ᐃᓗᓕᖕᒋᑦ

1. ᐊᑐᕐᓂᕐᑲᐅᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᕆᐊᕐᑲᖅᑕᖕᒋᑦ
2. ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒋᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᖕᓂᖕᒐ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ
3. ᐃᓗᓕᕐᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
   a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ
   b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ
4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒑᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
   a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ
   b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ
5. ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᐊᑭᖕᒐ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
6. ᐃᓱᓕᔾᔪᑎ

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada / Affaires autochtones et du Nord Canada

1. Roles and Responsibilities

ᐊᑐᕐᓂᖃᐅᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑕᖏᑦ

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada's (INAC) responsibilities, mandate, and obligations stem from the following legislation:

- *Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development Act*
- *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Act*
- *Nunavut Waters and Nunavut Surface Rights Tribunal Act* and the associated regulations
- *Territorial Lands Act* and the associated regulations

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᒪᒋᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑕᖏᑦ, ᐱᔭᒃᓴᖏᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑎᒋᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑕᖏᑦ ᐱᒋᐊᕐᓂᖃᖅᐳᑦ ᐅᑯᓇᙵᑦ ᒪᓕᒐᕐᓂᑦ:

- ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᓐᓄᑦ ᐱᖁᔭᖅ
- ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᑖᕐᓂᒃᑯᑦ ᐊᖏᖃᑎᒌᒍᑎ
- ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᓄᓇᓄᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᓯᕆᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑭᒡᓕᓯᓂᐊᖅᑎᓄᑦ ᐱᖁᔭᖅ ᐊᒃᑐᐊᔪᓪᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ
- ᑎᐊᓕᑐᐊᓕᓄᑦ ᓄᓇᓄᑦ ᐱᖁᔭᖅ ᐊᒃᑐᐊᔪᓪᓗ ᒪᓕᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

2. Contributions to the Water Licence Amendment Application Review

INAC submissions to the Nunavut Water Board (NWB):

1. September 18, 2015 Completeness Review Memorandum
2. December 8, 2015 Technical Review Memorandum
3. December 8, 2015 Independent Closure Cost Estimate
4. December 15, 2015 Geotechnical Site Inspection Report

ᐃᑲᔪᖅᓯᒪᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᔪᓇᐅᑎ ᓄᑖᕆᓇᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᒃᑲᓐᓂᕐᓂᖓ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔩᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᒪᔭᖏᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᔩᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔩᒃᑯᖏᓐᓄᑦ:

1. ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015 ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒑᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖅ
2. ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015 ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑎᑎᖅᑲᖅ
3. ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015 ᐃᖕᒥᒃᑰᖅᑐᒥᑦ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᑭᖓ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ
4. ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 15, 2015 ᓄᓇᒥ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐃᓂᒃᑳᓕᐊᖅ

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada
Affaires autochtones et du Nord Canada

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC-1: Water Quality Predictions – Source Terms for Process Water

The Proponent has provided a reasonable explanation of selection of process water effluent source terms.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC-1:** ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᑖᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ – ᕿᒻᒪᑯᐃᑦ ᓱᕈᕐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᓄᑦ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖅᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᓂᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᓗᖕᒥᑦ ᕿᒻᒪᑯᐃᑦ ᓱᕈᕐᓇᑦᑐᓄᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓯᒪᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᕿᒻᒪᑯᐃᑦ ᓱᕈᕐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᔾᔨᐸᓗᖅᑲᕐᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᑐᓂ ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᓂᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᓯᑦ

INAC TC2: Groundwater Quality Predictions

The Proponent has provided an explanation of the rationale for the absence of underground mine sources in the model.

The Proponent has provided a description of the potential for acid rock drainage (ARD) and metal leaching (ML) from mine walls, waste rock and underground tailings as well as potential impacts to mine water quality and downstream receptors.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC2: ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᑖᖅᑕᐅᓯᑦ**

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᔾᔨᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᒪᑦ ᓵᖅᑮᔾᔪᐊᕈᑎᒥ ᐱᑕᖃᓚᐅᖏᒪᙱᑦ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᐅᔾᔨᕐᓂᐊᕐᕕᒃ.

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᐱᑕᖃᕆᐊᖃᑐᐃᓐᓇᕐᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐆᓇᖅᑐᙵᑕ ᕿᑰᓗᐊᕐᓂᖓ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᑦ ᐲᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᒃᓴᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᓯᐊᓄᑦ (ML) ᐅᔾᔨᕐᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᑉ ᐊᑭᓐᓇᖏᓐᓂᑦ, ᐅᔭᖅᑲᓂᒃ ᐊᒃᑕᑐᓂᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐊᒃᑐᐊᔭᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖓ ᐅᔾᔨᕐᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖓ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᑯᕕᖔᕕᐅᔪᑦ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada | Affaires autochtones et du Nord Canada

3. Technical Review

a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ

a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC5: Water Quality Predictions – Input for Ore and Waste Rock Stockpiles

The Proponent has provided adequate details regarding the basis for selecting source terms for surface waste rock and ore stockpiles and what can be expected in the future.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC5:** ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᑖᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ – ᑐᓂᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᐅᔭᕋᓐᓂᐊᖅᑕᐅᔪᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᔭᖃᑦ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᑦ ᐃᓕᐅᖃᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓄᑦ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐃᓗᓕᐅᖃᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᑐᓂᓯᓯᒪᕗᑦ ᐱᔾᔪᑎᒋᓪᓗᒍ ᑐᙵᕕᒋᔭᐅᔪᖅ ᓂᕆᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᕿᒻᒪᑯᐃᑦ ᓱᕈᕐᓇᖅᑐᑦ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᖄᖓᓂ ᐅᔭᖃᓄᑦ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᔭᖃᑦ ᐃᓕᐅᖃᖅᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓯᕗᓂᒃᓴᒥ ᓂᕆᐅᒋᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC6: Tailings Impoundment Area Storage Capacity

The Proponent has provided adequate information to explain management processes and water treatment plans intended to proactively identify problems and install mitigative measures.

They have also provided a satisfactory explanation of the contingency plans in place in the event that effluent does not meet discharge criteria.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC6:** ᓴᕕᕐᓯᒃᓴᐃᓯᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐃᓂᒃᓴᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᑐᖅᑯᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᖅᑎᒋᓂᖕᒋᑦ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒃᑲᐃᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓂᐊᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᐃᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᑲᐅᙱᑦᑐᐅᕈᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᔾᔪᑎᒃᓴᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓕᓯᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᕈᑎᒃᒪᓕᒃ.

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐅᓯᓇᔭᐅᓂᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᙱᓐᓂᖅᐸᑦ ᐊᓂᐊᕙᓪᓕᐊᔪᓄᑦ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᓕᖕᓂᒃ.

.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC7: Waste Rock for Construction Use

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory explanation of the basis for criteria selection to determine whether diabase can be used for construction outside the containment area.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC7:** ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᒃᓴᑦ ᓴᓇᓂᕐᒧᑦ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᑐᙵᕕᑎᔅᓴᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᓂᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑕ ᓴᓇᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᓱᕈᖅᓯᒪᔫᑉ ᓯᓚᑖᓂ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᕐ: ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᕐ: ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC8: Monitoring Mineralized Rock

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory explanation of the basis for the waste rock segregation plan and the potential for acid rock drainage and metal leaching from "non-mineralized" rock.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔾᔪᑎᒃᑯᑦ TC8: ᓇᐅᑦᑎᖅᓱᕐᓂᖅ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᓂᒃ ᐅᔭᕋᙱᐊᓚᒃᓴᓕᙱᓂᒃ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐅᓯᕆᓯᒫᔾ ᑐᙱᕙᐱᔾᔪᓂᖕᖓ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᑦ ᐊᕙᒃᓯᒪᑎᑕᐅᓵᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐱᑕᖃᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᖕᖓᓂᒃ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐆᓐᓇᖅᑐᖕᖓᑕ ᖁᕐᓗᐊᕐᓂᖕᖓᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᕙᕋᔭᒃᓴᑦ ᐲᔭᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᒃᓴᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐊᓯᐊᓄᑦ 'ᐅᔭᕋᙱᐊᓚᒃᓴᖅᙱᑦᑐᓂᑦ' ᐅᔭᖅᑲᓂᒃ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC9: Filtering Capability of the Interim Dyke

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory level of detail on how they will undertake the placement of layers on the upstream face while the Tailings Impoundment Area is in operation.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ TC9: ᐊᑕᖕᑮᔾᔭᐃᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᖅ ᐳᐊᐃᓂᑰᓚᐅᑲᖕᓂᐊᖅᑐᒥ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓅᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᓯᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᖃᓄᖅ ᖁᓕᕇᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᓯᖃᑦᑕᓛᕐᒪᖕᒑᕐᒥ ᑲᖕᒋᐊᓂ ᓴᕙᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᕝᕙᖕᒐ ᐃᖕᒋᕐᕋᑎᓪᓗᒍ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᖁᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑮᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC10: Permafrost Maintenance of Thermal Rock Pads After Closure

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory explanation of measures that will be implemented to ensure that water will not pond against the edges of the thermal rock fill pads after closure.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ TC10:
ᖁᕐᑭᒪᐃᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒥᒃ ᒪᑭᒪᑎᑦᑎᓂᖅ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᓂᒃ ᓂᒡᓕᓇᐃᓐᓇᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒫᓂᓕᖅᐸᑦ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐅᓯᓇᓯᒪᔪᖓ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓛᑦᑐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᖅ ᑲᑎᑉᐸᖓᓕᐊᓛᖕᒋᒻᒪᑦ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᓂᒃ ᓂᒡᓕᓇᐃᓐᓇᕈᑎᓂ ᐅᔭᕋᙱᓂᐊᕐᕕᒃ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᑦ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᖁᒥᕿᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC12: Sewage Treatment

The Proponent has referenced its 2014 Doris North Wastewater Management Plan and demonstrated that licence criteria are being met prior to any discharge of treated effluent to the receiving environment.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC12: ᑭᓈᓗᒻᒥᒃ ᓴᓗᒪᖅᓴᐃᓂᖅ**

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓯᒪᔭᖓ 2014ᒧᑦ ᑐᕆᔅ ᐅᐊᙵᙳᓄᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᓗᙵᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎᒥᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᖅᑭᔮᖅᑎᑦᑎᓯᒪᕗᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᖃᕈᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᔭᐅᔾᕐᓂᙶᓂᒃ ᓴᓗᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᓗᙵᒥᒃ ᐊᓂᐊᕆᐣᓕᐊᔪᖃᓚᐅᖅᑎᓐᓇᒍ ᐊᕙᑎᒧᑦ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒫᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
a) Resolved

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC TC13: Water Treatment

The Proponent has provided adequate information to explain management processes and water treatment plans intended to proactively identify problems and install mitigative measures.

They have also provided a satisfactory explanation of the contingency plans in place in the event that effluent does not meet discharge criteria.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ **TC13:** ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᖃᐅᔨᒃᑲᐃᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐅᓯᕆᓪᓗᓂᐅᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᔪᖅ/ᐅᒪᐅᑉ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎᑦ ᐱᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓛᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᑲᐅᙱᓕᐅᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᓛᕐᓂᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᕈᑎᑦ.

ᑐᓂᓯᓯᒪᖕᒥᔪᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑲᐅᓯᕆᔭᐅᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᕐᓗᑎᒃ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᙱᓐᓂᖅᐸᑦ ᐊᓂᐊᕋᓪᓕᐊᔪᓄᑦ ᑎᑭᐅᑎᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᓕᖕᓂᒃ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	**ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015**
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015

3. Technical Review
b) Outstanding

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

INAC TC3: Groundwater Quality Post-Closure

- Potential for impacts on groundwater quality due to mine flooding after operations, and
- Potential for groundwater discharge to Doris Lake after mine closure.

Awaiting further information the Proponent is to provide at the NWB Technical Meeting.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ **TC3:** ᓄᓇᒥ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖓ ᐅᔭᖅᓂᐊᕐᕕᒃ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᑦ

- ᐊᒃᑐᐊᔭᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᓖᑦ ᓄᓇᒥ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐅᔭᖅᓂᐊᕐᕕᒃ ᕿᒥᓐᓂᖓᓂᑦ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ
- ᐊᓂᐊᕋᓪᓕᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᕐᑲᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᓄᓇᒥᑦ ᐃᒪᖅ ᑐᕆᔅ ᑕᓯᐊᓄᑦ ᐅᔭᖅᓂᐊᕐᕕᒃ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᑦ.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᑕᖅᑭᔪᑦ ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᐅᔾᒃᑲᐃᓛᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᔾᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔾᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕆᐊᕐᑲᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓕᕈᑎᒃ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔾᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᒡᒋᖅᑐᖅ:

3. Technical Review
b) Outstanding

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

INAC TC4: Water Quality Predictions – Input for Exposed Tailings Beaches

Awaiting further information to be provided by the Proponent at the NWB Technical Meeting.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **TC4:** ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᓇᓚᐅᑦᑖᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ – ᑐᓂᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᓴᖅᑭᔮᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᓴᕕᕋᔭᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᓯᒡᔭᐃᑦ

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᓱᓕ ᐅᑕᖅᑭᔪᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᖃᖅᑕᐅᓛᕐᓂᕐᒥᓂᒃ ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᓂᑦ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᓄᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᓕᕈᑎᒃ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᖏᖅᑐᖅ:

3. Technical Review
b) Outstanding

3. ᐃᓗᓕᖃᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᕐᓂᖅ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

INAC TC11: Tailings Management Strategies

The Tailings Management Plan should be revised to include the use of environmentally suitable chemical dust suppressants.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔩᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ TC11: ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᒍᑏᑦ

ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᕐᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᐊᖅᑕᐅᓪᓗᐊᖅᑐᖅ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᔾᔨᓯᒪᓂᐊᕐᒪᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᑏᕐᓂᖏᑦ ᐊᕙᑎᒧᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᑦ ᐃᓚᐅᕈᑎᓂᒃ ᐳᔫᔾᔭᐃᒃᑯᑎ.

INAC Submission: Dec 8, 2015	ᐅᓄᓕᕆᔩᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᔨᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᓯᖓᒍᖅ:

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᖃᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR1: Water Quality

The Proponent has provided satisfactory detail on the methodology and rationale on how the improved water predictions can be verified if on-site water quality monitoring laboratory is not required.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑦᑯᖅᑲᐅᑦᑕᐅᔫᖅᑐᑦ IR1: ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖕᒐ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᐅᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖅᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᖃᓄᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᒻᒪᑦᑐ ᐃᒪᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᐅᓂᖅᓴᒃᑯᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖕᒐᕆᕋᓱᒋᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᓱᓕᓈᕆᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᖕᒫᑕ ᐅᔭᕋᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᕖᑦᑕᖅᑲᓂᐊᖅᑲᖏᑉᐸᑦ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑦᑯᖅᑲᐅᑦᑕᐅᔫᖅᑐᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᓇ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑐᖅᒪᖔᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR2, IR3 & IR4: Water Quality

The Proponent indicated that the document requested was incorrectly referenced in the application and had been replaced with a document that had already been included in the application.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᒍᑉᑳᒃᑯᑦ IR2, IR3 & IR4: ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖓ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᐸᐃᑉᐹᖅ ᑐᒃᓯᕋᓇᔭᐅᔪᖅ ᐆᒃᑐᕈᑎᒥ ᑕᒻᒪᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖓᓂᒃ ᐅᕐᑲᐅᓯᓇᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ ᐃᓇᖏᖅᑕᐅᓚᐅᕐᓂᖓᓂᒡᓗ ᐸᐃᑉᐹᕐᒧᑦ ᐃᓚᓕᐅᕝᔭᐅᓯᒫᓂᓚᐅᖅᑐᒧᑦ ᐆᒃᑐᕈᑎᒥ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᒍᑉᑳᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐋᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada | Affaires autochtones et du Nord Canada

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR5: Water Quality

The Proponent indicated which document in the application contained the study describing the groundwater inflow predictions to the mine, including inflows from Doris Lake and groundwater.

ᐃᓄᓕᓇᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ IR5: ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖕᒐ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓴᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᒪᐅᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓪᓕᐊᒃ ᐸᐃᑉᐹᖅ ᐆᒃᑐᕈᑎᒥ ᐃᓗᓕᖅᑲᓚᐅᕐᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᕐᓂᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᒥᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐅᖅᓯᓪᓗᓂ ᓄᓇᒥ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᖃᓄᐃᓛᕋᓱᒋᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᓯᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᔪᑦ ᐅᔭᕋᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᒧᑦ, ᐃᓚᐅᓪᓗᑎᒃ ᐃᓯᖅᐸᓪᓕᐊᔪᑦ ᑐᐊᓇᔅ ᑕᓯᐊᓂᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	**ᐃᓄᓕᓇᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᓇ 18, 2015**
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

INAC IR6: Water Management and Treatment

The Proponent, as requested, specified which document in the application contained information that described how the losses from Doris Lake will be determined, and explained what will happen to the lake as a result of these losses.

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ IR6: ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᐃᓂᖅ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ, ᑐᒃᓯᕋᕐᕕᐅᔭᐅᓪᓗᓂ, ᓇᓗᓇᐅᖅᓯᑦᑎᐊᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᐆᒃᑐᕈᑐᒥᓂ ᖃᐅᔨᒃᑲᐃᔾᔪᑎᓂ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᖅᓱᓂ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐃᓚᖖᒐᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᑐᖅᑲᕆᔅ ᑕᓯᐊᓂᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᓛᕐᒪᖕᒑᑕ, ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓚᐅᖅᓱᓂᓗ ᑕᓯᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᑕᐅᓇᔭᕐᒪᖕᒑᑦ ᑖᒃᑯᓇᖖᒐᑦ ᐃᓚᖖᒐᖅᑕᐅᔪᓂᑦ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ **18, 2015**
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖔᑦ ᕿᒥᖅᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR7: Water Management and Treatment

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory analysis of variable hydrological conditions.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ **IR7:** ᐃᒪᖅᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᖅᑎᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᐃᓂᖅ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓴᖅᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᐊᔾᔨᒌᙱᓐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᖓᔪᓐᓇᖅᓂᖏᑦ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ **18, 2015**
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᕐᒪᕐᒑᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᕐᒐ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR8: Water Management and Treatment

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory description of processes that will be in place to help ensure that sufficient early warning signals are built into the applicable management plans such that the need for treatment, if required, can be pro-actively identified and installed before water quality criteria failure.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ IR8: ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᐃᓂᖅ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓯᒪᔪᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᓯᒪᔪᒥᒃ ᐊᑐᖅᑕᐅᓛᖅᑐᒧᑦ ᖃᐅᔨᓵᓕᔾᔪᑎᓂᒃ ᐃᓕᓯᒪᔪᖃᓛᖅᒪᑦ ᐊᑐᖅᑐᒧᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᖅᒧᑦ ᐸᖅᓇᐅᑎᓂ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓛᑦ, ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᕈᑎᒃ, ᐱᐅᔪᒥᒃ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᓛᖅᒪᑕ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᔪᓐᓇᓛᖅᒪᑕᓗ ᐃᒪᖅ ᐱᐅᑎᒋᓂᖃᕆᐊᓕᒃ ᓱᕈᓚᐅᖅᑎᓐᓇᒍ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᓯᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖅᒪᖅᑮᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖅᑯ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR9: Report Presentation

The Proponent has provided a satisfactory response for the inclusion of the Module B.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ IR9: ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓚᐊᕐᒥᒃ ᓴᖅᑭᑦᑎᓂᖅ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᒥᒃ ᑭᐅᓯᒪᔪᖅ ᐃᓚᐅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖅᑯᓄᑦ ᐃᓚᖓ B.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᑐᓂᔨᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ **18, 2015**
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
a) Resolved

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖔᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ
a) ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᑦ

INAC IR15: Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan

The stated percentages for reclaiming the Overburden Dump are acceptable for this level of design (conceptual).

Detailed design for closure should include contour plans and an assessment that considers grain size of the surface soils, slope length and runoff velocity, to refine the overall percentage of slope that requires erosion protection.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ **IR15:** ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓚᐅᑲᖕᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎ

ᑭᖑᓪᓕᕐᒥ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐋᖅᑭᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᒧᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᓪᓗᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᑐᐊᐸᐅᑉ ᐊᖏᑎᒋᓛᕐᓂᖏᓐᓂᒃ ᐅᐊᒃᑕᕐᕕᖕᒥ (ᓲᕐᓗ ᖃᓄᑎᒋ ᓯᓚᒧᑦ ᓄᑐᓴᖅᑕᐅᔪᓐᓇᕐᒪᖔᑦ ᖄᖓ). ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐅᕕᖓᓂᖏᑦᑕ ᑕᑭᓂᖏᑦ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᓪᓗᐊᖅᑐᖅ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᓯᒪᑦᑎᕐᓂᖅᓴᐅᓂᐊᕐᒪᑦ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐅᕕᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐳᓴᖕᑎᖓ ᓴᐳᒻᒥᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᓕᒃ ᓯᓚᐃᖕᓇᕐᒥᑦ ᓄᖑᓴᖅᑕᐅᔪᖕᓇᕐᓂᖓᓂᑦ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᓇ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015
INAC Response: Dec 8, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 21, 2015

4. Completeness Review
b) Outstanding

4. ᐃᓗᐃᕐᑑᖕᒪᖔᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓛᑦ

INAC IR10: Groundwater Management

The Proponent has explained why materials impacted by Ammonium Nitrate Fuel Oil and hydrocarbon substances will not be remediated on surface (e.g., landfarmed) or removed to a hazardous waste management facility.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ IR10: ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐃᓗᐊᓂ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᖅ

ᐆᒃᑐᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᓂᒃᑳᓚᐅᖅᑐᖅ ᖃᓄᐃᒻᒪᑦ ᐱᖁᑎᑦ ᐊᒃᑐᐊᔭᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᒨᓂᐊᒻ ᓇᐃᑦᑐᐃᑦ ᐅᖅᓱᐊᓗᖕᒧᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᖅᓱᐊᓗᒃᓴᑦ ᒪᕐᕈᖕᓃᒃ ᐃᓚᓯᒪᔪᑦᓄᑦ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓛᙱᒻᒪᖔᑕ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᖄᖓᓂ (ᓲᕐᓗ, ᓄᓇᒥᒃ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᐃᓂᖅ) ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐊᑦᑕᕐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᒃᑯᕕᓕᐊᕈᔾᔭᐅᓛᙱᒻᒪᖔᑕ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᓈᑕᐅᓛᙱᒻᒪᖔᑕ ᐊᑦᑕᕐᓇᖅᑐᓂᒃ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᕕᖕᒧᑦ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	**ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015**
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐅᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015

4. Completeness Review
b) Outstanding

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖔᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

INAC IR11: Water Management Plan

Regulatory authorities need to determine how to authorize the discharge of Tailings Impoundment Area effluent, as well as saline groundwater to the marine environment.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ IR11: ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎ

ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᕐᓂᕐᒃᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᖅᓯᔭᕆᐊᖃᖅᑐᑦ ᖃᓄᖅ ᐊᖏᖅᓯᔭᕆᐊᒃᓴᖅ ᐊᒥᐅᓪᓚᐊᔪᓂᒃ ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᑦ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᕝᕕᖕᒐᓂᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᓗᖕᒥᑦ, ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᑕᕆᐅᓕᖕᒥᒃ ᐃᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᒥ ᐃᒪᖅ ᑕᕆᐅᓕᒃ ᐃᒪᐅᑉ ᐊᕙᑎᖓᓄᐊᕐᓂᖓ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐋᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015
INAC Response: Dec 8, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᒡᒋᖅᑐᖅ:

4. Completeness Review
b) Outstanding

INAC IR12: Waste Rock and Ore Management Plan

Adequate volume calculations have been provided on the available space for backfilling of waste rock and tailings.

The outcome of the Nunavut Impact Review Board Project Certificate amendment application review must be considered as it relates to this issue.

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒑᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᒃᑯᑦ **IR12:** ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᔭᕆᐊᖕᓂᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐊᐅᓚᑕᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎ

ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑐᓂᒃ ᓇᐃᓴᐅᓯᕆᔭᐅᓯᒪᔪᓂᒃ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᑐᑦ ᐊᑐᐃᓐᓇᐅᓂᖕᒐᓂᒃ ᐃᓂᒃᓴᖅ ᐃᓕᔭᐅᓂᖕᒋᓐᓄᑦ ᐅᔭᖅᑲᑦ ᐊᒃᑕᑯᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᔪᑦ.

ᓴᖅᑭᑦᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔾᔪᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᓐᓂᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᐃᑉᐹᖅ ᐋᖅᑭᕆᐊᖅᑎᑕᐅᓇᓱᖕᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᐅᕈᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᓗᖅᑐᖅ ᑖᓯᐅᒍᖕᒐ ᐊᒃᑐᐊᖕᒪᑦ.

.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015
INAC Response: Dec 8, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᖏᖅᑐᖅ:

4. Completeness Review
b) Outstanding

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᕆᐊᓖᑦ

INAC IR13: Impact of Backfilled Material to Groundwater

Awaiting further information to be provided at the NWB Technical Meeting:

- Evaluation of water quality in the reflooded mine, and the potential flux of groundwater into Doris Lake.

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ **IR13:** ᓴᕳᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᕙᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎ

ᐱᔾᕙᑎᒋᓪᓗᒍ ᐃᒪᖅ ᓱᕈᖅᓯᒪᕙᖅ ᑌᖅᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᖕᒐ, ᐅᓂᒃᑳᐅᓯᓇᔭᐅᓯᒪᙱᑦᑐᖅ ᓇᓗᓇᐃᔭᐃᕈᓯᖕᒋᑦ ᓈᒻᒪᒃᑲᓗᐊᕐᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᕿᕿᓯᒪᕙᖅ; ᖃᓄᐃᙲᓐᓇᕋᓱᒋᔭᐅᑐᐃᓐᓇᓇᐊᕐᑲᕐᒪᑦ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᕿᕿᓯᒪᓂᖕᒐ.

ᓇᓗᓇᖅᑐᖅ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎᑕᕐᑲᕐᒪᖕᒫᑦ ᓴᕳᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᕙᑦ ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᕙᑦ ᓄᓇᐅᑉ ᐊᑖᓂ ᐊᐅᓚᐃᓐᓇᖅᑐᒧᐊᖅᑕᐅᓛᕐᒪᖕᒫᑕ ᐅᖠᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐅᑎᖅᑎᑕᐅᓛᕐᒪᖕᒫᑕ ᓄᓇᒧᑦ ᕿᕿᓯᒪᐃᓐᓇᖅᑐᒧᑦ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᓐ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015
INAC Response: Dec 8, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᓐ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᓐ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᖏᖅᑐᖅ:

4. Completeness Review
b) Outstanding

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᓘᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔪᓇᐊᓖᑦ

INAC IR14: Tailings Management Plan

A Tailings Impoundment Area final cover system design should be provided to the Nunavut Water Board for approval prior to its construction.

Any progressive reclamation should be documented through the submission of engineering design drawings.

\ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ **IR14:** ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᔪᓂᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᕐᓇᐅᑎ

ᓴᕕᕋᔭᒃᓴᐃᔭᖅᑕᐅᔪᑦ ᐃᓕᐅᖅᑳᖅᑕᐅᕝᕕᖕᒐ ᑭᖑᓪᓕᕐᒥ ᖄᕆᓚᖅᑕᖕᒐᓄᑦ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᓯᒪᓂᐊ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓪᓗᐊᖅᑐᖅ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᒃᑯᖕᖏᓐᓄᑦ ᐊᖕᖏᖅᑕᖄᕐᒪᑦ ᓴᓇᔭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑳᖅᑎᖕᓇᒍ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᕆ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015
INAC Response: Dec 8, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᕐᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᕆ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᒡᒋᖅᑐᖅ:

4. Completeness Review
b) Outstanding

4. ᐃᓗᐃᑦᑑᖕᒪᖕᒑᑦ ᕿᒥᕐᕈᐊᖅᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ
b) ᓱᓕ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᔭᓇᐊᓖᑦ

INAC IR16: Madrid Advanced Exploration Project

Decision to include the scope of the Madrid Advanced Exploration Project in the Doris North Gold Mine's type A Water Licence requires a decision by the Nunavut Impact Review Board and the Nunavut Water Board.

ᐃᓄᓕᓇᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ IR16: ᒪᑦᑐᓇᑦ ᐅᔭᕋᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᒍᑦ ᐱᓕᐊᒃᓴᖅ

ᐃᓱᒪᒃᓴᖅᓯᕈᑕᐅᓂᖕᒐ ᐃᓚᐅᑎᑕᐅᕝᓗᐊᕐᒪᖕᒑᑦ ᒪᑐᓇᑦ ᐅᔭᕋᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᒍᑦ ᐱᓕᓇᐊᒃᓴᖅ ᑐᓇᔅ ᐅᐊᖕᓇᖕᒐᓂ ᒎᓗᒥᒃ ᐅᔭᕋᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᐅᑉ ᖃᓄᐃᑦᑑᓂᖕᒐᓄᑦ A ᐃᒪᕐᒧᑦ ᐱᔪᓐᓇᐅᑎᒥ ᐃᓱᒪᓕᐅᓇᐊᖃᖅᑎᑦᑎᕗᖅ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓂᔭᕿᓕᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᓇᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖕᒋᓐᓂᒃ.

INAC Submission: Sept 18, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᓇᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ: ᓯᑎᐱᓇ 18, 2015
TMAC Response: Oct 8, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᐊᒃᑑᐸ 8, 2015
INAC Response: Dec 8, 2015	ᐃᓄᓕᓇᔨᑐᖃᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 8, 2015
TMAC Response: Dec 21, 2015	ᑎᒪᒃᑯᑦ ᑭᐅᓚᐅᖅᑐᑦ: ᑎᓯᐱᓇ 21, 2015
Next:	ᐊᒡᒋᖅᑐᖅ:

5. Reclamation Cost Estimate

Major Assumptions

- 18 month period of interim care and maintenance (ICM).
- Site closure will take 3 years.
- TMAC Quantities assumed to be correct.
- All equipment, personnel and camp facilities will need to be provided for ICM and closure activities.
- 5 years water management activities after completion of closure activities.
- 10 years post closure monitoring.
- 20% contingency.

5. ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᑭᖓ ᒥᒃᓵᐅᓴᒃᑕᐅᓂᖓ

ᐊᖏᓛᓗᑎᒃ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᖅᑐᖃᕈᕐᓱᕆᔭᐅᕙᑦ

- 18 ᑕᖅᑮᑦ ᐸᖅᑭᔭᐅᓚᐅᑲᖕᓂᖓ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᑭᒪᑎᑕᐅᓂᖓ (ICM)
- ᐅᔭᕆᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᒃ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓂᖓ ᐊᑯᓂᐅᓂᕐᑲᕈᖅᑐᖅ 3ᓂᒃ ᐊᕐᕌᒍᓂᒃ
- ᑕᒻᒪᖅᓯᒪᓇᔾᑭᔭᐅᙱᑦᑐᑦ TMAᑦ ᖃᓵᓯᐅᑎᒋᓂᖏᑦ
- ᓴᓇᕐᕈᑎᓗᒃᑖᑦ, ᐃᖅᑲᓇᐃᔭᖅᑏᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᐅᔭᕆᖕᓂᐊᕐᕕᖕᒥ ᐃᒡᓗᕐᕙᐊᑦ ᑐᓂᔭᐅᔭᕆᐊᖃᕐᓂᐊᖅᑐᑦ ᐸᖅᑭᔭᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᑭᒪᑎᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᑎᔭᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕙᓄᑦ
- 5 ᐊᕐᕌᒍᑦ ᐃᒪᕐᒥᒃ ᐊᐅᓚᑦᑎᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕐᓂᖅ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᖃᓄᐃᓕᐅᕈᑕᐅᕚᓂᒃᐸᑦ
- 10 ᐊᕐᕌᒍᑦ ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᑦ ᓇᐅᑦᑎᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ.
- 20% ᖃᓄᐃᑐᐃᓐᓇᕆᐊᓕᒃ

5. Reclamation Cost Estimate (continued)

- Direct Costs

  $20.8M ($19,7M - Proponent Estimate)

- Indirect Costs

  $27.0M ($9.2M – Proponent Estimate)

- Total Costs

  $47.8M ($28.9M – Proponent Estimate)

Major Differences:

– ICM costs

– Mobilization / demobilization costs

– Post closure monitoring and maintenance costs

5. ᓴᓗᒻᒪᖅᓴᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ ᐊᑭᖓ ᒥᒃᓴᐅᓴᒃᑕᐅᓂᖓ (ᑲᔪᓯᔪᖅ)

ᑕᒡᕗᖓᓪᓗᐊᖅ ᐊᑭᖏᑦ:

$20.8M ($19,7M - Proponent Estimate)

ᑕᒡᕗᖓᓪᓗᐊᖑᙱᑦᑐᓗᑦ ᐊᑭᖏᑦ:

$27.0M ($9.2M – Proponent Estimate)

ᐅᖃᐅᓯᑎᔾᐅᔫᒃᓴᑦ:

- ᐸᖅᑭᓚᐅᖓᖏᖅ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᑭᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑭᖏᑦ
- ᐃᖏᕐᕋᓂᕐᒧᑦ / ᐃᖏᕐᕋᔪᓐᓃᕐᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑭᖏᑦ
- ᒪᑐᔭᐅᓯᒪᓕᖅᐸᑦ ᓇᐅᑦᑎᖅᓱᖅᑕᐅᓂᖓ ᐊᒻᒪᓗ ᒪᑭᒪᓂᕐᒧᑦ ᐊᑭᖏᑦ

6. Conclusion

INAC notes that the following must be resolved or occur before holding a Nunavut Water Board Pre-Hearing Conference:

- The issuance of a Nunavut Impact Review Board Project Certificate Amendment

INAC will work with TMAC Resources to resolve outstanding concerns before the NWB Public Hearing.

6. ᐃᓱᓕᔾᔪᑎ

ᐃᓄᓕᕆᔨᑐᖅᑲᒃᑯᑦ ᐅᔾᔨᕈᓱᒃᐳᑦ ᐅᓇ ᐋᖅᑭᒃᑕᐅᖅᑳᓇᐊᖅᑲᖅᑐᖅ ᐅᕝᕙᓘᓐᓃᑦ ᐱᔭᐅᔭᓇᐊᖅᑲᖅᑐᖅ ᑭᖑᓪᓕᖅᒥ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐃᒪᓕᕆᔨᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᑦ ᓈᓚᒋᐊᖅᑐᓚᐅᖅᑎᓐᓇᒋᑦ:

- ᑐᓂᔭᐅᓂᖓ ᓄᓇᕗᒻᒥ ᐊᕙᑎᓕᕆᔨᒃᑯᑦ ᑲᑎᒪᔨᖏᑦ ᐱᓕᕆᐊᕐᒧᑦ ᐸᐃᑉᐹᖅ ᐋᖅᑭᒋᖅᑎᑕᐅᓂᖓᓄᑦ

Koana
Thank you
ᓇᑯᕐᒦᒃ
Merci