

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| RFI NUMBER | NL-RFI-025 | | | |
| ISSUE DATE (YY/MM/DD) | January 27, 2011 | | | |
| PRIORITY | H | M | L | |
| REQ'D RESPONSE DATE | February 3, 2011 | | | |

Hope Bay Mining Project

| | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Subject: | North Dam Thermosyphons | Project Zone/Area: | Doris North/Hope Bay |
| Company: | Nuna Logistics Ltd. (Nuna) | Station/Location: | North Dam |
| Attention: | Doug Fielding/Jerry Graham | Discipline: | Mechanical |

| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| A/E: | | Specification Number: | SRK Technical Specifications Rev. D |
| Related Drawings: | HB+T-CIV-CIV-OND-0047 Rev 0 | Related Documents: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | | | |
|------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Related WBS Code | C1DB2025 | WBS Code Description: | Doris North-North Dam Construction |
| | | | |

Information Request/Description of Issue/Approval Required:

According to SRK Technical specification Revision "D" page 60 Section 8.1.3 no. 3 the Thermosyphons are to be constructed with A53B pipe. Nuna and our sub-contractor would like to use A106-B instead. This is a superior grade of seamless steel where as A53B is seamed. Also, A106-B is more readily available to our sub-contractor.

Proposed Corrective Action:

Utilize A-106-B piping in the construction of the Thermosyphons maintaining the correct schedule thickness.

Originator: Bradford Watkin QA/QC Manager

Print:

Sign:

Date:

| | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Cost Impact | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| Detailed Estimate attached | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| Schedule Impact | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| Source for Communication | <input type="checkbox"/> Owner Change | <input type="checkbox"/> Clarification/Info |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Vendor Change | <input type="checkbox"/> Designer Change |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Constructor Change |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Note: RFI's are not authorized change documents and cannot be used to direct a change in contract requirements. If Newmont's response on the RFI has cost and/or schedule effect, it is the contractor's responsibility to immediately advise Newmont. Work undertaken without Newmont written authorization is at the contractor's risk and expense

☐ Corrective Action Approved

☐ Correct as Follows:

| |
|---|
| Response: |
| Since A106-B is a superior grade of stainless steel, is seamless, and is more readily available; this would be the preferable grade of pipe to use in the construction of the thermosyphons |
| Responsible Newmont Representative: Lowell Wade |
| Print: <i>L. Wade</i> Sign: <i>L. Wade</i> Date: January 27, 2011 |