

Responsible Newmont Representative:

Lowell

Wade

Sign:

Print:

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

/ VOIT/ I / IIVIL	11071				
			RFI NUM	<b>MBER</b>	NL-RFI-053
			ISSUE DA	ATE (YY/MM/DD)	July 19, 2011
			PRIORIT		H X M L
			REQ'D R	ESPONSE DATE	July 21, 2011
Hope Bay Mining Pro	ject				
Subject:	Land Farm Sump Size		Project Zone/Area:		Doris North Land Farm
Company:	Nuna Logistics Ltd. (Nuna)		Station/Location:		Middle Pad Extension
Attention:	Calvin Goldschmidt		Discipline:		Civil
AFE:	LIDAD CIVICIN OND 2025 (SDIVLE OF)		Specification Number: Related Documents:		
Related Drawings:	HB+D-CIV-CIV-OND-0036 (SRK LF -0	)5)	Related Docu	ments:	
Related WBS Code	20544046	T WDS Code D	accrintion:	Davis Nauth Lau de	
Related WB3 Code	3DFA1946	WBS Code D	escription.	Doris North Land F	arm
Information Request/Description of Issue/Approval Required:					
On the abovementioned Engineered drawing, detail/section C specifies that the three (3) HDPE sumps required at the low spots in each cell be					
900 mm in diameter. The vendor engaged cannot supply this diameter in the require time frame and other vendors have not responded that					
they can provide to date.					
Proposed Corrective					
Vendor can supply either a 1200 mm or 600 mm diameter sump 'off-the-shelf' quickly. Please confirm which size, if either, would be					
acceptable.					
	WAWAKA BASHALISA KANDA KA				
		7	-Diff		
Originator: Kevin Oakes					July 19, 2011
Print:		Sig	Sign:		Date:
and the same of th					
Cost Impact	⊠No □Yes				
Detailed Estimate att	ached No Yes				
Schedule Impact	☐ No ☐ Yes				
Source for Communi	cation Owner Change	☐ CI	arification/Info	o 🛛 Coi	nstructor Change
	☐ Vendor Change	☐ D	esigner Change	e 🔲 Otl	ner
Note: RFI's are not authorized change documents and cannot be used to direct a change in contract requirements. If Newmont's response on					
the RFI has cost and/or schedule effect, it is the contractor's responsibility to immediately advise Newmont. Work undertaken without					
Newmont written au	thorization is at the contractor's risk	and expense			
Corrective Action Approved Correct as Follows:					
Response:					
For the s	sump detail shown	on Sec	tion K	-K! and De	etail C of
For the sump detail shown on Section K-K' and Detail C of					
LF-05.Rev 06, either the 1200 mm or 600mm diameter sump would					
be an acceptable substitution for the 900 mm diameter sump					
shown.					

July 20, 2011

Date: