

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

RFI NUMBER	NL-RFI-073		
ISSUE DATE (YY/MM/DD)	September 30, 2011		
PRIORITY	H	X	M
REQ'D RESPONSE DATE	October 01, 2011		

Hope Bay Mining Project

Subject:	Doris Sediment/Pollution Pond Layout Configuration	Project Zone/Area:	Doris North
Company:	Nuna Logistics Ltd	Station/Location:	Sediment/Pollution Pond
Attention:	Doug Fielding / Jerry Graham	Discipline:	Civil

A/E:		Specification Number:	
Related Drawings:	Pollution Pond Excavation Sections – DN-DMC-035	Related Documents:	

Related WBS Code:	3DAA6030	WBS Code Description:	Doris Sediment & Pollution Control Ponds

Information Request/Description of Issue/Approval Required:

- 1) The current design of the Pollution Pond illustrates an extension on the northwest side. The referenced cross section A – DN-DMC-035 calls out for the slope to run 2:1, then run horizontal for 2m, then transition back to a 1.5:1 slope.
- 2) In previous RFI's, it was requested that a Key Trench be constructed at the top of berm for the Pollution and Sediment Pond.

Proposed Corrective Action:

- 1) The layout of the existing slopes as indicated in cross section B – DN-DMC-035 illustrate a 2:1 slope continuous until the top of the berm. Nuna proposes that the new extended berm take on these same properties. This would allow for a consistent transition, allowing the liner to be installed properly.
- 2) That one Key Trench be constructed in the Divider Berm to accommodate both liners systems.

Originator: Kyle Kuntz

Print:

Sign:

Date:

Cost Impact:	☒ No	☐ Yes	\$
Detailed Estimate attached:	☒ No	☐ Yes	
Schedule Impact:	☒ No	☐ Yes	#
Source for Communication:	☐ Owner Change	☐ Clarification/Info	☒ Constructor Change
	☐ Vendor Change	☐ Designer Change	☐ Other

Note: RFI's are not authorized change documents and cannot be used to direct a change in contract requirements. If Newmont's response on the RFI has cost and/or schedule effect, it is the contractor's responsibility to immediately advise Newmont. Work undertaken without Newmont written authorization is at the contractor's risk and expense

Response

☐ Corrective Action Approved

☐ Correct as Follows:

SRK agrees with both proposed corrective actions

Responsible Engineer:

Lowell Wade



October 14, 2011

Print:

Sign:

Date:

