

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

			RFINUM	IBER	INI	RF	1-0	22		1
			ISSUE DATE (YY/MM/DD)		March 9, 2011					
			PRIORITY		H M X L			$\top$		
			REQ'D R	ESPONSE DATE	Mar	ch 16, 2	2011			_
Hope Bay Mining Project			<u> </u>							
Subject: Doris Central Rd WM Clarification		Project Zone/Area:		Doris North						
Company:	Nuna Logistics Ltd. (Nuna)		Station/Location:		Sedimentation and Pollution Ponds					
Attention:	Jerry Graham/Doug Fielding cc. SRK		Discipline:		Civil					
AFE:			Specification Number:							
Related Drawings:	SRK Sedimentation and Pollution Control		Related Documents:							
	Pond IFC Package									
		_		<b>,</b>						
Related WBS Code	n/a WBS Code		Description:							
	cription of Issue/Approval Requi									
During the construction of the Sedimentation and Pollution Control pond, the existing surface level of the Doris Central Road will be raised to										
act as the Southern berm of the Sediment and Pollution Control Ponds. However, the main water supply pipeline from Doris Lake feeding the Doris Camp has been installed on a pipe bench located on the South side of the road. The waterline is a HDPE heat traced and insulated pipe.										
Don's Camp has been histalied on a pipe bench located on the South side of the road. The waterline is a fibre heat traced and histiated pipe.										
Two solutions to this have	been discussed: 1.) Splicing the	water pipelin	e and heat trac	ing, relocating it out	tside of	the roa	d foo	tprir	t duri	ng
construction, and ultimately placing it on a pipe bench on the raised road or 2.) Bedding and burying the pipeline within the raised roadway.										
The latter is not recommended maintenance on the water pipeline will become difficult. Also, from reviewing the SRK Sedimentation and										
Pollution Control Pond IFC Package it seems that, if buried, the water pipe line will interfere with proposed alignment of the outlet culverts.										
December Address										
Proposed Corrective Action:  Nuna requests clarification on the abovementioned issue.										
Trans requests connection on the apprenditioned issues										
Odininator NIKO HEID			1 11			11 /2/11				
Originator: NIk	O HEIR	M	1 111			11		/	10	/11
Originator: NIK	O HEIR	Me	Thi			M	arc	-	191	///
Originator: NIK	O HEIR  Print:	M	Sign:			M	Date	-	/9/	//
	Print:	Mu	Sign:			M		-	/9/	///
Cost Impact	Print:	Mu	Sign:			M		-	/9/	///
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache	Print:  No Yes  No Yes	Mu	Sign:			M		-	191	///
Cost Impact	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes		ngii.			M		-	/9/	///
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes		Sign:	o 🔲 co	onstruct		Date	-	/9/	///
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes		ngii.		onstruct :her		Date	-	/9/	///
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio Note: RFI's are not author	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change Vendor Change ized change documents and can	⊠ ( □ I	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang	e Ot	ther ements	tor Chai	Date nge wmon	t's re		e on
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or sc	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change  Vendor Change ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto	\times (	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang	e Ot	ther ements	tor Chai	Date nge wmon	t's re		e on
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or sc	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change Vendor Change ized change documents and can	\times (	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang	e Ot	ther ements	tor Chai	Date nge wmon	t's re		e on
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto zation is at the contractor's risk	not be used tr's responsibi	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang	e Ot	ther ements	tor Chai	Date nge wmon	t's re		e on
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or sc Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto zation is at the contractor's risk	not be used tr's responsibi	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang	e Ot	ther ements	tor Chai	Date nge wmon	t's re		e on
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio  Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response:	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto zation is at the contractor's risk  roved Correct as	not be used t r's responsibi and expense	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang lity to immediat	e Ot ge in contract requir gely advise Newmon	ther ements	tor Chai 5. If Nev c under	Date nge vmon taken	e: t's re with	iout	
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response: The design geometry on the IFC	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Vendor Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contractor zation is at the contractor's risk  proved Correct as	not be used t r's responsibi and expense	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chango lity to immediat	e Otte in contract requirely advise Newmon	ements	tor Chai	Date nge wmon taken	t's re with	vehicle	travel
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio  Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response: The design geometry on the IFC along the road, while maintaining these ponds, which effectively eli	Print:  No Yes  No Change  Vendor Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto zation is at the contractor's risk  roved Correct as  drawings are as a direct result of the ins minimum required freeboard elevations miniated any possibility to lower the roar	not be used t r's responsibi and expense Follows:	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chang lity to immediat exceived from HBML bubsequent to comp ut compromising th	e Otte in contract requirely advise Newmon  The height of the road leting these designs their e site water managemer	ements  t. Work  is to ensire has be nt plans.	tor Chai	Date  nge  wmon taken	safe ests to a road	vehicle o store v	travel vater in pulated
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio  Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response: The design geometry on the IFC along the road, while maintaining these ponds, which effectively eli by the need for safety barrier and	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  Owner Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto zation is at the contractor's risk  croved Correct as	not be used t r's responsibi and expense structions SRK re in the ponds. Si	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chango lity to immediate Described from HBML ubsequent to compute compromising the object of the computer of the	e Otte in contract requirely advise Newmon  The height of the road leting these designs there is eite water management tength during the design	is to ensire has be nt plans. In stage a	tor Chai	Date  nge  wmon  ttaken  both and  or required to of the was in	e::    safe ests to a road struct	vehicle o store vehicle was stied that	travel vater in pulated HBML will
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio  Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response: The design geometry on the IFC along the road, while maintaining these ponds, which effectively eli by the need for safety barrier and relocate the pipeline to the south the time. SRK does not know the	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Change  Vendor Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contracto zation is at the contractor's risk  roved Correct as  drawings are as a direct result of the ins minimum required freeboard elevations minated any possibility to lower the road ippeline corridors. Relocation of the w by placing it on timber blocks directly or outcome of that discussion. With respe	not be used t r's responsibi and expense structions SRK re in the ponds. Si d elevation witho ater pipeline was to the tundra. P	Clarification/Info Designer Change o direct a change lity to immediate ubsequent to comput compromising the discussed at greate the discussed at greatents of that we	e Otte in contract requirely advise Newmon  The height of the road leting these designs there is eite water management length during the design yould have to be obtaine the RFI: 1) Splicing the	is to ensire has bent plans. In stage a d from E: pipeline	tor Chai	nge  wmon taken  oth and er requ h of the was in was in cating i	safe ests to a road structure was to twas to t	vehicle o store vehicle was stied that bing to the original to	travel vater in pulated HBML will do that at inal plan
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio  Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response: The design geometry on the IFC along the road, while maintaining these ponds, which effectively eliby the need for safety barrier and relocate the pippeline to the south the time. SRK does not know the when SRK was instructed to desi	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Owner Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contractor zation is at the contractor's risk  croved Correct as  drawings are as a direct result of the ins minimum required freeboard elevations minated any possibility to lower the roat pipelline corridors. Relocation of the we by placing it on timber blocks directly or	not be used t r's responsibiliand expense Follows: structions SRK re in the ponds. Si d elevation witho ater pipeline was nto the tundra. P act to the recomm ry and move the	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chango lity to immediat  ceceived from HBML ubsequent to comp ut compromising the discussed at great ermission for that w nended solutions in pipeline without cut	e Otte in contract requirely advise Newmon  The height of the road leting these designs there is site water management length during the design yould have to be obtaine the RFI: 1) Splicing the titing it, but realized that	is to ensire has be nt plans. In stage a d from Espipeline that may	ure smoo oen furthe The width and SRK SR and H and reloo	nge  wmon taken  oth and er requ h of the was in was in cating i	safe ests to a road structure was to twas to t	vehicle o store vehicle was stied that bing to the original to	travel vater in pulated HBML will do that at inal plan
Cost Impact Detailed Estimate attache Schedule Impact Source for Communicatio  Note: RFI's are not author the RFI has cost and/or so Newmont written authori  Corrective Action App Response: The design geometry on the IFC along the road, while maintaining these ponds, which effectively eliby the need for safety barrier and relocate the pippeline to the south the time. SRK does not know the when SRK was instructed to desi	Print:  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Yes  No Owner Change  Vendor Change  Vendor Change  ized change documents and can hedule effect, it is the contractor zation is at the contractor's risk  croved Correct as  Correct as	not be used t r's responsibiliand expense Follows: structions SRK re in the ponds. Si d elevation witho ater pipeline was nto the tundra. P act to the recomm ry and move the	Clarification/Info Designer Chango o direct a chango lity to immediat  ceceived from HBML ubsequent to comp ut compromising the discussed at great ermission for that w nended solutions in pipeline without cut	e Otte in contract requirely advise Newmon  The height of the road leting these designs there is eite water management length during the design yould have to be obtaine the RFI: 1) Splicing the	is to ensire has be nt plans. In stage a d from Espipeline that may	ure smoo oen furthe The width and SRK SR and H and reloo	nge  wmon ttaken  bth ander requ h of the HBML v cating is	safe with safe ests to a road struct was g t was g 2 b 3 c 3 c 4 c 3 c 4 c 4 c 5 c 6 c 6 c 6 c 7 c 7 c 7 c 8 c 7 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8 c 8	vehicle o store was sti ed that bing to the orig urying t	travel vater in pulated HBML will do that at inal plan