



REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

RFI NUMBER	NL-RFI-072
ISSUE DATE (YY/MM/DD)	September 28, 2011
PRIORITY	H <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> L <input type="checkbox"/>
REQ'D RESPONSE DATE	September 30, 2011

Hope Bay Mining Project

Subject:	Doris Pollution Pond Liner	Project Zone/Area:	Doris North
Company:	Nuna Logistics Ltd	Station/Location:	Pollution Pond
Attention:	Doug Fielding / Jerry Graham	Discipline:	Civil

AFE:		Specification Number:	
Related Drawings:	Pollution Pond Sketch	Related Documents:	

Related WBS Code:	3DAA6030	WBS Code Description:	Doris Pollution Control Pond

Information Request/Description of Issue/Approval Required:

Current design calls for the toe of the Pollution Pond slope to be altered by constructing a deeper key trench. In the process of creating this trench, the drawings indicate that the new liner should be tied in at the toe of the berm.

Proposed Corrective Action:

After further discussion with Layfield, the proper method to tie in the new liner would be to construct a key trench at the top of the berm. This will allow for a new liner system, as appose to attempting the weld at the toe to the existing liner. By constructing this method, it will allow for the key trench to continue on the slope of the berm as indicated in the attached sketch.

Originator: Kyle Kuntz

Print: *Kyle Kuntz*

Sign: *KK*

Date: *Sept 28/11*

Cost Impact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	\$	
Detailed Estimate attached:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes		
Schedule Impact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	#	Number of Days
Source for Communication:	<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Change	<input type="checkbox"/> Clarification/Info	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Constructor Change
	<input type="checkbox"/> Vendor Change	<input type="checkbox"/> Designer Change	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<p>Note: RFI's are not authorized change documents and cannot be used to direct a change in contract requirements. If Newmont's response on the RFI has cost and/or schedule effect, it is the contractor's responsibility to immediately advise Newmont. Work undertaken without Newmont written authorization is at the contractor's risk and expense</p>			

Response

☐ Corrective Action Approved

☐ Correct as Follows:*

SRK is satisfied with the proposed modification as suggested provided the following is adhered to:

1. The tuck trench must be set back at least 1.0 m from the crest as opposed to 0.5 m as suggested
2. The 300 mm crush liner bedding layer must be maintained under the liner sandwich up to the edge of the tuck trench
3. The liner in the tuck trench must be adequately bedded and protected so as to not cause major tears in the liner. Small puncture holes are acceptable
4. The geotextile filter layer between the 300 mm crush liner bedding material and the new excavation below original ground can be eliminated
5. The 300mm crush liner bedding material between the upstream excavated slope of the excavation may be eliminated only if the Engineer is satisfied that the slope is adequately trimmed so as to not place the liner at any risk of damage

Lowell Wade

Lowell Wade

September 29, 2011

