

[Here is my response to NEM-RFI-000031...](#)

Hi Calvin

Rip rap will be required for both the Pollution Control Pond liners and the Culvert Spillways. If ROQ is used to cover the Pollution Control Pond liner the fines will wash out and compromise the slope and expose the liner. The same applies to the culvert spillways, the fines will washout compromising the discharge structure.

You can use with the same rip rap sizing for the Doris Creek Bridge (D50 = 400 mm)

Sincerely,
Lowell

From: Calvin Goldschmidt
Sent: 17/05/2011 1:51:17 PM PDT (GMT -07:00)
To: Maritz Rykaart, Lowell Wade : Greg Blaylock, Ishan Fechter, Doug Fielding, Jerry Graham, Jeff DePape, Kevin Oakes, Chris Petrovic, Dave Sherlock, Nick Stoneberger
Mail Number: NEM-RFI-000031
Subject: Doris Pollution Control Pond - Substitute ROQ for Riprap

Hello Lowell,

Can ROQ be used as opposed to Riprap for the Pollution control pond liner covers and culvert spililways. Stock Riprap is not available on site and would need to be manufactured/sorted.

Thanks.

Calvin Goldschmidt

From: Rykaart, Maritz
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2011 6:38 AM
To: Wade, Lowell; Miller, Megan
Cc: Bay, Hope; Borowski, Lawrence
Subject: RE: Pollution Control Pond Rip Rap

Folks

the answer is NO. It has to be riprap. If they use OQ the fines will wash out and compromise the slope and expose the liner.

And Megan yes - go with the smaller riprap used on the bridge.

Maritz

Maritz Rykaart, P.Eng., PhD.
 Principal
 Geotechnical Engineering

Office: +604-681-4196
 Direct: +604-601-8426
 Cell: +604-928-1552

From: Wade, Lowell
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2011 5:49 AM
To: Miller, Megan; Rykaart, Maritz

Cc: Bay, Hope; Borowski, Lawrence
Subject: Re: Pollution Control Pond Rip Rap

Hi Megan

I got an email from Calvin yesterday asking the same question.

He also asked about using roq for the dispersion structures for the discharge points from the sed pond and pump 1.

I think rip rap would be required for the discharge points as it jhas to be clean ie no sediment issues

To line the pol pond I would think roq would be ok

Maritz...what are your opinions?

Lowell
 Lowell Wade, M.Sc., P.Eng.
 Senior Consultant (Civil Engineering)
 SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc.
 22nd Floor, 1066 West Hastings Street
 Vancouver, B.C., V6E 3X2, Canada

Phone: +1.604.681.4196
 Direct: +1.604.601.8443
 Cell: +1.604.828.4778
 Fax: +1.604.687.5532
 E-mail: lwade@srk.com
 Skype: lowell_wade

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the addressee, and may contain information that by its privileged and confidential nature is exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended recipient or its designated agent is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this transmission, or by collect call to the above number.

From: Miller, Megan
To: Rykaart, Maritz; Wade, Lowell
Cc: Bay, Hope; Borowski, Lawrence
Sent: Wed May 18 03:24:59 2011
Subject: Pollution Control Pond Rip Rap
 Good Morning Maritz,

For the pollution control pond our drawings specify a 0.6 m to 1.0 m layer of Rip Rap over the liner system of the pollution control pond. As this is a fairly thin layer I am assuming that our regular rip-rap sizing of 1 -1.5 m would be too large. Should we go with the same rip-rap sizing that was used for the Doris Creek Bridge (D50 of 400 mm)?

Also, how important is it that they place rip rap not ROQ? I ask because I have a feeling that this is going to come up tomorrow/later today. Nuna has been stockpiling ROQ from the tank farm on the edge of Pad I in preparation for covering the liner. I told the foreman it was supposed to be Rip-Rap but he said he was told not to bother sorting, and now there is some very nice ROQ being stockpiled.

Regards,
 Megan

Megan Miller *E.I.T.*
 Staff Consultant



SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc.

22nd Floor, 1066 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, V6E 3X2, Canada

Tel: +1-604-681-4196; **Fax:** +1-604-687-5532

Mobile: +1-778-836-6986; **Direct:** +1-604-601-8451

Email: mmiller@srk.com;

www.srk.com

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the addressee, and may contain information that by its privileged and confidential nature is exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended recipient or its designated agent is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this transmission, or by collect call to the above phone number.