

Mike,

The drainage of the "Laydown 5" pad is towards the bay. The pad should tie into the existing pad and slope towards the bay while maintaining a minimum 1 m fill thickness.

When I was in the field Marg she noted that a portion of the pad along the south edge did not meet the minimum 1 m fill thickness so she added a stake out point to the middle which raised the grade.

Regards,  
Megan

---

**From:** Mike Price [mailto:mikepr@nunalogistics.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 19, 2011 9:03 AM  
**To:** Miller, Megan  
**Subject:** RE: RBTF Laydown 5 Field Revision & OG surface

Megan there were just some questions we had as per the laydown 5 design,

- The detail shows the pad sloping towards the bay however the elevations on the stakeout tables have it sloping back towards the existing pad. We just wanted to confirm what intent of the drainage was, I was looking back though my emails and noticed that you had been in the field when Marg sent out her original email with the concerns.

Mike

---

**From:** Miller, Megan [mailto:mmiller@srk.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 19, 2011 9:47 AM  
**To:** Mike Price  
**Cc:** Bay, Hope; hopebay survey; Wade, Lowell; Rykaart, Maritz  
**Subject:** RE: RBTF Laydown 5 Field Revision & OG surface

Hello Mike,

I am not entirely sure what you are asking me to review. If you are asking me to review the survey files, please provide surfaces with 3D faces and breaklines.

Also, the file LAYDOWN 5 REV 1.dxf will not open.

Regards,  
Megan

---

**From:** Mike Price [mailto:mikepr@nunalogistics.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2011 2:00 PM  
**To:** Miller, Megan; Bay, Hope  
**Cc:** hopebay survey  
**Subject:** FW: RBTF Laydown 5 Field Revision & OG surface

Megan,

We were hoping to finish Laydown #5 and I wanted to confirm the revisions that our survey department had sent over before we proceed. Have you had a chance to review the data?

Thanks

Mike Price

---

**From:** hopebay survey

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2011 11:25 AM

**To:** mmiller@srk.com; Bay, Hope; Mike Price; Gary Sodhi; Bradford Watkin

**Cc:** Kevin Oakes; Jeff DePape; Dave Sherlock; Simon Chipper; Scott Gouldsborough; hopebay survey

**Subject:** RBTF Laydown 5 Field Revision & OG surface

Megan

As discussed in the field in addition to the changes noted on the attached pdf I changed the elevation of LEN-19 to meet the 1m cover requirement and added a point between 18 and 19 to match field conditions. The dxf file has the 3d polyline showing the elevations of the existing pad that I tied into to and used to model our dtm for layout.

Marg

**Nuna Survey**

[hopebaysurvey@nunalogistics.com](mailto:hopebaysurvey@nunalogistics.com)

(604).759.2286