



P.O. Box 18  
Cambridge Bay, NU X0B 0C0  
Telephone: (867) 983-2458  
Fax: (867) 983-2701

Richard Dwyer

Manager of Licensing  
Nunavut Water Board  
P.O. Box 119  
Gjoa Haven, Nunavut  
X0B 1J0

September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020

**Re: Review of TMAC's Annual Geotechnical Inspection Report for the Tailings  
Impoundment Area, Hope Bay Project.**

Dear Richard Dwyer, the KIA had reviewed TMAC's Annual Geotechnical Inspection Report for the TIA and the Doris and Madrid sites and included comments as part our response to NIRB on TMAC's 2019 Annual Report for the Hope Bay project to the NIRB on July 27, 2020.

The enclosed are excerpts of our comments to NIRB on TMAC's Annual Geotechnical Inspection reports. TMAC is expected to respond to KIA's comments provided to them in our review of their 2019 Annual Report for the Hope Bay Project.

The NWB is free to re-provide these comments again to TMAC Resource Inc.

Thank you

John Roesch, P.Eng.

Senior Hope Bay Project Officer  
Kitikmeot Inuit Association, Department of Lands and Environment

Cc Geoff Clark, Director, KIA, Department of Lands and E



P.O. Box 18  
Cambridge Bay, NU X0B 0C0  
Telephone: (867) 983-2458  
Fax: (867) 983-2701

## 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection TIA

### KIA-NIRB-37

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Review Comment Number</b>   | KIA-NIRB-37   |
| <b>Subject/Topic</b>           | Ice entrainment in tailings   |
| <b>References</b>              | 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection TIA – Section 2.4.6   |
| <b>Summary</b>                 | Further information regarding monitoring for ice entrainment  |
| <b>Detailed Review Comment</b> | The report notes storage capacity assessments for the TIA include an allowance for 25% ice entrainment. The report also notes that there is no evidence to suggest that there is any significant entrained ice within the deposited tailings. No information is provided on methods used to assess ice entrainment. |
| <b>Recommendation/Request</b>  | Provide information on how ice entrainment within the TIA will be monitored going forward.  |
| <b>Importance</b>              | Low   |

### KIA-NIRB-38

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Review Comment Number</b>   | KIA-NIRB-38   |
| <b>Subject/Topic</b>           | North Dam Thermosyphon - North 2  |
| <b>References</b>              | 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection TIA – Section 4.2.2   |
| <b>Summary</b>                 | Further information regarding modelling impacts of non-functioning thermosyphon   |
| <b>Detailed Review Comment</b> | The report notes thermosyphon North 2 has not functioned appropriately since 2012. The report further notes that, following an inspection and potential mitigation actions undertaken by Arctic Foundations of Canada Inc. in 2019, TMAC has exhausted the practical repair options for the thermosyphon. It is also stated that additional thermal modelling of the North Dam was previously undertaken considering the non-functioning North 2 thermosyphon which shows the North Dam performance will not be |



P.O. Box 18  
Cambridge Bay, NU X0B 0C0  
Telephone: (867) 983-2458  
Fax: (867) 983-2701

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
|                               | adversely impacted, but that design redundancy is slightly reduced. This modelling is not included in the report nor is a reference provided.                              |
| <b>Recommendation/Request</b> | Provide the results of the additional thermal modelling or if it was included in previous project documentation, provide the reference in which the results were included. |
| <b>Importance</b>             | Moderate   |

#### KIA-NIRB-39

|                                |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <b>Review Comment Number</b>   | KIA-NIRB-39  |
| <b>Subject/Topic</b>           | South Dam Ground Temperature Monitoring  |
| <b>References</b>              | 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection TIA – Section 4.3, Appendix L – South Dam Thermal Performance Review   |
| <b>Summary</b>                 | Plan for replacement of inactive ground temperature monitoring cables  |
| <b>Detailed Review Comment</b> | The report notes several ground temperature monitoring cables installed within and beneath the South Dam to monitor thermal performance of the structure are inactive and some are considered irreparable. Given the performance of the structure relies on maintaining a frozen foundation, thermal monitoring of the structure is essential. The report recommends replacement of some temperature cables, but not all due to the practical limitations of placement within the dam post-construction. |
| <b>Recommendation/Request</b>  | Provide a plan and schedule for which cables will be replaced and any other measures (monitoring, modelling or otherwise) being undertaken in consideration of the fact that some cables will not be able to be replaced.  |
| <b>Importance</b>              | High   |

#### KIA-NIRB-40

|                              |                                     |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Review Comment Number</b> | KIA-NIRB-40                         |
| <b>Subject/Topic</b>         | South Dam Tailings Beach Monitoring |



P.O. Box 18  
Cambridge Bay, NU X0B 0C0  
Telephone: (867) 983-2458  
Fax: (867) 983-2701

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>References</b>              | 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection TIA, Appendix I – TIA Water Levels, Section 3   |
| <b>Summary</b>                 | Monitoring of length of beach at South Dam in TIA   |
| <b>Detailed Review Comment</b> | The report notes the South Dam is designed to have a tailings beach with a minimum length of 100 m. While information is provided in the tailings deposition plan, monitoring data demonstrating the beach length with time showing compliance with the design criteria is not explicitly provided. |
| <b>Recommendation/Request</b>  | Provide a summary of beach length with time for 2019 demonstrating compliance with the minimum beach length criteria.   |
| <b>Importance</b>              | Moderate  |

#### KIA-NIRB-41

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Review Comment Number</b>   | KIA-NIRB-41   |
| <b>Subject/Topic</b>           | Tailings Deposition Planning – Tailings density assessment  |
| <b>References</b>              | 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection TIA, Appendix N – Tailings Deposition Update, Section 5   |
| <b>Summary</b>                 | Assessment of placed tailings density   |
| <b>Detailed Review Comment</b> | The report notes tailings deposition modelling was completed for an overall density of 1.3 t/m <sup>3</sup> . The report goes on to note that the capacity of the TIA could be significantly impacted by tailings density and recommends that as-placed density be checked throughout to ensure the density assumption remains valid.       |
| <b>Recommendation/Request</b>  | Provide methods that will be used to assess the as-placed density of the tailings and an assessment of what this value currently is, including calibration method for the results. If the in-situ density appears significantly different from the assumed value of 1.3 t/m <sup>3</sup> , then the deposition modelling should be updated. |
| <b>Importance</b>              | Moderate  |



P.O. Box 18  
Cambridge Bay, NU X0B 0C0  
Telephone: (867) 983-2458  
Fax: (867) 983-2701

## 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection for the Doris and Madrid Sites

KIA-NIRB-42

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Review Comment Number</b>   | KIA-NIRB-42   |
| <b>Subject/Topic</b>           | Waste Rock Pile Pad T – Oversteepening of Slopes  |
| <b>References</b>              | 2019 Annual Geotechnical Inspection for the Doris and Madrid Sites, Attachment 2  |
| <b>Summary</b>                 | Over-steepened slopes of waste rock pile on Pad T   |
| <b>Detailed Review Comment</b> | Based on SRK's inspection of the waste rock pile on Pad T, the pile was noted to be both over-steepened and to exceed its maximum design height. TMAC's response was that the waste rock pile has been re-worked to reduce the height and slope angles and will continue to work with SRK to achieve the design parameters and safety factors. No details on the observed height or slope angles were provided. |
| <b>Recommendation/Request</b>  | Provide the current (reggraded) geometry of the waste rock pile and if not within the design criteria, an assessment of current safety factors and a plan to comply with the design criteria.   |
| <b>Importance</b>              | High  |