

**FAX****Regulatory Legal Affairs Department**

Date: 6 January 2009		Pages (this page included/cette page incluse): 22	
To / A:	Brian Aglukark, Director Regional Planning	Fax:	867-857-2243
Company / Société:	Nunavut Planning Commission	Reference #	
From / De:	Diane Martens	E-mail:	diane.martens@areva.ca
Tel. / Phone:	306-343-4042	Fax:	306-343-4640
Copy to / Copie à:			
Subject / Objet:	Kiggavik Project Proposal – KRLUP Conformity Requirements		

Dear Mr. Aglukark

The KRLUP conformity requirement questionnaire provided to AREVA from NPC follows. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at the above contact information.

Sincerely,

Diane Martens

AREVA Resources Canada Inc.

P.O. Box 9204 – 517 – 45th Street West – Saskatoon, SK S7K 3X6 – CANADA
Tel: 1 (306) 343-4500 – Fax: 1 (306) 583-8883 – Web Site: www.arevarsources.ca

If you have received this document in error, please let us know by telephone, and then return it by mail to the address below. This document is the property of AREVA. The information contained in it is privileged and confidential and intended only for the use of the intended recipient. It may not be held, reproduced, distributed or used in whole or in part in any manner whatsoever without prior consent of AREVA. Anyone contravening this ban will be liable to legal proceeding without prejudice to any possible damages which AREVA may claim.

Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire désigné de ce document, nous vous remercions de nous en informer par téléphone et de nous le retourner par voie postale. Ce document est la propriété de AREVA. Les informations qui y sont contenues sont confidentielles et destinées uniquement à être utilisées par le destinataire du document. Il ne peut être détenu, reproduit, diffusé ou utilisé en tout ou partie de quelque manière que ce soit sans le consentement préalable d'AREVA. Quiconque contreviendrait à cette disposition serait passible de poursuites judiciaires sans préjudice des dommages-intérêts qu'AREVA pourrait lui réclamer.



December 24, 2008

Frederic Guerin, Ph.D
General Manager, Kiggavik-Sissons
AREVA Resources Canada Inc.
P.O. Box 9204, 817-45th St. West
S7K-3X5
T. (306) 342-4631
F. (306) 343-4640

Dear Mr. Guerin

**Re: Kiggavik Project Proposal – KIA File # KVCL 308024/INAC
066AO5001/NWB 2AM-KIG – Uranium Development**

The above-noted proposal has been forwarded to the Nunavut Planning Commission (NPC) for determination of its conformity with the Keewatin Regional Land Use Plan (KRLUP) under the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement and Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Act, S.C. 1993, c. 29. NPC is currently reviewing the proposal and in order to complete its review NPC requires that you undertake to comply with certain terms of the KRLUP.

All project proposals within the region in question are required to comply with the terms of the KRLUP. I am attaching the conformity requirements relevant to your project and with which it must comply. Also attached, is a copy of the Code of Good Conduct and the Caribou Protection Measures. These documents form part of the Plan.

Reply to each statement in the List of Relevant Conformity Requirements by circling either YES or NO, complete the signature block and fax the form back to us at the following number: (867) 857-2243.

If you would like more information, please contact NPC at the following number: (867) 857-2242.

Yours truly,

Brian Aglukark
Director, Regional Planning

Attachment

Application # Klggavik Project Proposal – KIA File # KVCL 308024/INAC
066AO5001/NWB 2AM-KIG – Uranium Development

**NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION
APPLICATION TO DETERMINE CONFORMITY
WITH THE KEEWATIN REGIONAL LAND USE PLAN**

All applicants for a project proposal shall comply with the requirements listed below. The relevant sections of the plan are noted in each requirement.

GENERAL

2. Environmental Protection: s2.4.9: The applicant undertakes to prevent any new occurrences of pollution, garbage and contamination at the site of the development.

☒ Yes

No

3. Removal of Fuel Drums: s2.4.9: The applicant undertakes to remove all drums safely from the site and dispose of the drums in a safe manner.

☒ Yes

No

4. New Site Restoration and Clean Up: s2.4.15 and Appendix G, s1: The applicant undertakes to clean up the site and restore the site to its natural condition to the greatest extent possible.

☒ Yes

No

5. Old Site Restoration and Clean Up: s2.4.10: The applicant undertakes to clean up the site and restore the site to its original condition to the greatest extent possible, including any work required due to the applicant's action prior to this application.

☒ Yes

No

Application # Kiggavik Project Proposal – KIA File # KVCL 308024/INAC
066AO5001/NWB 2AM-KIG – Uranium Development

6. Low Level Air Flights: s5.4.4 and Appendix G, s3: Will the applicant avoid low-level flights?

☒ Yes

☐ No

i. If not, explain why such flights are or may be absolutely necessary.

All regular flights are expected to occur at altitudes of greater than 600m, with exceptions during take-off and landing, short flights where 600m is not achievable, low-level ceiling conditions, high winds or other risks to flight safety.

Some low-level flights may be occasionally required for:

- Wildlife monitoring/survey purposes and
- Airborne Gravity Gradiometer (AGG) surveys may be required infrequently through the mine development and operation phases. AGG surveys provide detailed sub-surface density information and help to focus future ground-based activities while limiting or reducing impacts to the environment.

AREVA is working with the Government of Nunavut to collect meaningful caribou population data while minimizing disturbance and will continue to seek minimally invasive techniques for caribou monitoring throughout the life of the Project. The Project proposal includes an airstrip. AREVA already implements aircraft take-off / landing mitigation procedures and will continue to do so throughout the Project life.

ii. If such flights are or may be absolutely necessary, will they avoid disturbance to people and wildlife?

☒ Yes

☐ No

AREVA has implemented caribou/wildlife general protection measures and those specific to AGG surveys and will continue to integrate best practices and guidance from Nunavut regulators into the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan.

iii. If not, explain why it is not possible to avoid such disturbance.

Application # Kiggavik Project Proposal – KIA File # KVCL 308024/INAC
066AO5001/NWB 2AM-KIG – Uranium Development

7. Caribou Protection Measures. *s2.4.6 and Appendix G:* Will the applicant comply with the Caribou Protection Measures outlined in section 2.4.6 and in Appendix 3?

☒ Yes

☐ No

8. Caribou Water Crossings: *s2.4.6 and map:* Will the applicant avoid, between May 15 and September 1, to construct any camp, cache any fuel or conduct any blasting within 10 km of any Designated Caribou Water Crossing Identified on the certified Caribou Protection Map?

☒ Yes

☐ No

ARCHEOLOGY

9. Reporting of Archaeological Sites: *s4.4.3 and Appendix G, s2 and s8:* Will the applicant immediately report the discovery of all suspected archaeological sites to the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth (GN)?

☒ Yes

☐ No

MINING

10. Mining Development: *s3.4.4:* Is the proposal for mining development?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, include with the application a mine closure and restoration plan.

Please refer to Section 2.10 of the Kiggavik Project Proposal for decommissioning and reclamation upon completion of mining and milling and Section 10.2 for key programs and management plans. The Kiggavik project has an Abandonment and Restoration Plan for Exploration activities (attached) that is currently being updated for the 2009 season. The Abandonment and Restoration Plan will continue to evolve with the Project with increasing detail throughout the EA and licensing stages.

Application # Kiggavik Project Proposal - KIA File # KVCL 308024/INAC
066AO5001/NWB 2AM-KIG - Uranium Development

PARTICULAR AREAS

15. Game Sanctuary: s2.4.1: Is the project proposal located, in whole or in part, within the Thelon Game sanctuary?

Yes

☒ No

18. Municipal Lands: s1.4.3: Is the project located, in whole or in part, within municipal boundaries?

☒ Yes

No

The Kiggavik Project Proposal includes three road options. The access route chosen will influence whether project infrastructure and facilities will be located on Municipal Lands.

PARTICULAR ACTIVITIES

20. Uranium Development and Mining: s3.4.5, s3.4.6: Does the project proposal involve either uranium development or uranium mining?

☒ Yes

No

Refer to Section 1.4 and Appendix III of the Kiggavik Project Proposal for documentation and community resolutions supporting satisfaction of Uranium Terms 3.5 and 3.6.

21. Corridor: s5.4.6, s5.4.7: Does the project proposal involve the development of a transportation or communications corridor?

Yes

☒ No

AREVA is proposing to develop an access road that is not a future-focused, large-scale transportation initiative but rather an access route required to improve movement of people and goods to the Project site (Refer to Project Proposal Section 2.9.5 for Access Road Description and Section 2.10.3 for Access Road Decommissioning). Therefore, it is AREVA's opinion that the proposed access road is not a transportation corridor within the definitions provided in the KRLUP and Interpretation of KRLUP Terms 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7.

Application # Kiggavik Project Proposal – KIA File # KVCL 308024/INAC
066AO5001/NWB 2AM-KIG – Uranium Development

22. New Land Use: Does the project proposal involve a new type of land use never engaged in before in the region?

☒ Yes

☐ No

AREVA believes the proposed land use has been previously contemplated by Keewatin communities and land use authorities as evidenced in community resolutions of support, previous uranium exploration permits and the consideration of uranium development in the KRLUP, the NTI Uranium Policy and the Six Guiding Principles for Uranium Development released by the Government of Nunavut. Further, AREVA believes this proposal supports the principles of sustainable development, Inuit and IOL well-being, environmental protection, compliance with the NLCA and regional economic development. Mine development in general is not a new land use in the Keewatin Region.

23. Code of Good Conduct for Land Users: *Appendix 2:* The applicant undertakes to adhere to the Code of Good Conduct at all times.

☒ Yes

☐ No

I, Diane Martens (name of applicant), certify that the information I have given in this application is true and correct and hereby make the above undertakings which form part of my application for a project proposal within the meaning of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement.

Date: 6 January 2009

Signature of Applicant:

