

100601-09MN003-NM Email re Application Supplemental Information-IT6E
From: Nunavummiut Maki tagunarni ngi t [info@maki k. ca]
Sent: June 1, 2010 10:00 PM
To: info@nirb.ca
Subject: NM funding proposal

Attachments: Usher P et al 2003.pdf; NM application for participant funding.pdf; Baker Lake survey household draft E.xls; Baker Lake survey individual draft E.xls

Good evening,

With submission of this e-mail Nunavummiut Maki tagunarni ngi t (NM) wishes to resubmit the attached application for participant funding originally

submitted on April 12.

We put a considerable amount of work into preparing our application for participant in time to meet the original deadline for submissions, and

after reviewing it we do not feel that it requires modification.

We would, however, like to make the following points:

-- In our application we stated that "NM will provide NIRB and other stakeholders with the only local, independent, holistic assessment of

AREVA's Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)." After reviewing the other applications submitted by the original deadline, we still believe this

to be the case. NM proposes to address as wide a range of issues as (a) we feel are required; and, (b) we feel competent to address. Our

strengths include -- but are not limited to -- cumulative impact assessment, regulatory matters, and socioeconomics. We feel that the credibility

of the review process would benefit from the kind of carefully researched, wide-ranging, Nunavut-based contribution that we believe we are

capable of making.

-- We are willing to work collaboratively with other intervenors.

-- We note with pleasure that the Baker Lake Hunters' & Trappers' Association has stated their interest in collaborating with us -- on page 4

of their application for participant funding they wrote "... the HT0 plans to cooperate with other parties wishing to undertake investigations in

areas of interest to the HT0, including IQ. This includes, but is not limited to, research that may be proposed by Nunavummiut Maki tagunarni ngi t

and the BQCMB."

-- As an example of the kind of work we could see undertaking in partnership with the Baker Lake HT0 -- and perhaps the Baker Lake Hamlet Council

as well -- please see the attached preliminary draft household survey (in two parts, the first for households and the second for individuals).

The surveys adopts a 'household economy' perspective as articulated by Usher et al (2003), also attached. A household survey of this type --

which would have to be further refined -- would provide the community of Baker Lake

100601-09MN003-NM Email re Application Supplemental Information-IT6E
with much richer socioeconomic baseline data than currently
exists.

-- We wish to stress once again our request that NIRB consider a participant funding
re-evaluation opportunity at the time of the Pre-Hearing

Conference. This will ensure that funding recipients are able to carry on with the
review process following the submission of a final EIS.

-- We also wish to stress our position that it is unreasonable to expect NM to
identify staff we may wish to hire and consultants we may wish to

contract when the overall level of funding we will have to work with is unknown, and
as the issues we will choose to focus on remains to be

determined (after, among other things, review of the applications for participant
funding from other intervenors and assessment of opportunities

for collaboration and ways to minimize overlap and duplication).

Respectfully submitted,

Nunavummiut Maki tagunarni ngit