



January 23, 2015

Phyllis Beaulieu
Manager of Licensing
Nunavut Water Board
P.O. Box 119
Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0
Via Email: phyllis.beaulieu@nwb-oen.ca

Re: Request for 180 day short term renewal of Agnico Eagle Mines Meadowbank Mine Type A Water Licence 2AM-MEA0815

Dear Ms. Beaulieu,

Agnico Eagle Mines Limited (Agnico Eagle) current Type A Water Licence 2AM-MEA0815 for the Meadowbank Mine was issued by the Nunavut Water Board (NWB) to Agnico Eagle on July 9, 2008 and is set to expire on May 31, 2015. Agnico Eagle submitted a full renewal application on July 23, 2014, which was deemed complete by the NWB on November 28, 2014. The NWB held a Technical Meeting and Pre-Hearing Conference (PHC) in Baker Lake on January 14-15, 2015 to formulate the issues and review matters to assist in facilitating the public hearing process. At the PHC, it became apparent that the timelines required to process the full renewal application would be insufficient to ensure a water license is approved prior to the May 31, 2015 expiry date. Therefore, Agnico Eagle is requesting a 180 day short term renewal as provided for in the *Nunavut Water Regulations Section 9(1)(b)*¹, to minimize the risk of Agnico Eagle being without a valid operating licence on June 1, 2015.

Agnico Eagle has enclosed a completed renewal application and attached the appropriate application fee for the proposed 180 day short-term renewal (STR) request. The STR application is submitted to the NWB with the following understanding:

- *absolutely no changes to the existing licence (administrative or otherwise)* are being requested by Agnico Eagle;
- the term being requested be the maximum 180 days allowable by *Regulations* to allow for the greatest flexibility to the Board, Minister, interested parties and Agnico Eagle for the full renewal review process to be completed;

¹ The Nunavut Water Regulations states 9.(1) For the purposes of section 13.7.3 of the Agreement and subsection 52(1) of the Act, no public hearing is required in respect of an application for

(a)*

(b) one or several renewals of a type a licence if the total duration of the renewal or renewals does not exceed 180 days;

(c)* or

(d)*

*not applicable

- the expiry of the STR would be no later than November 27, 2015 (i.e., 180 days) or on approval of the full renewal water license following a public hearing, whichever occurs first;
- no plans, studies, reports, or designs will be submitted in support of the STR as they are major components of the full renewal application dated July 23, 2014; and
- the full renewal application would continue through the current regulatory review process as efficiently as possible.

Agnico Eagle asks the Board and Minister to consider the following in support of the STR application:

- Agnico Eagle had a reasonable expectation that a renewal “administrative in nature” could be processed in 10 months. Noting that the NWB guidelines for applications recommend 12 months for New Type A.
- All parties including Agnico Eagle, have in good faith have been processing the full renewal application as efficiently as possible.
- Agnico Eagle acknowledges the unusually heavy year for large development projects in Nunavut being reviewed by Interested Parties and Regulators.
- The original application as well as any amendment and the full renewal application (July 23, 2014) have received conformity determinations from Nunavut Planning Commission (NPC)².
- The original project received a Project Certificate (NIRB No. 004) from the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) and an exemption³ for the full type A renewal application.
- Agnico Eagle believes the NWB’s PHC decision (pending) for the full renewal will confirm Agnico Eagle’s efforts to resolve issues raised during the technical review process should provide for a streamlined, straightforward public hearing process. The majority of the 97 issues raised by interested parties to date have been addressed.
- Agnico Eagle is committed to resolving any outstanding issues in advance of the NWB public hearings.


By copy of this letter to the NPC and NIRB, Agnico Eagle is requesting confirmation that the short term renewal application does not require further conformity determination from NPC and is exempt from additional screening requirements by the NIRB. Agnico Eagle would confirm that the short term renewal is being requested to facilitate the full renewal application currently being reviewed by the NWB.

² Email July 18, 2003 Original Application for Mine Development, August 6, 2009 Facility Expansion; March 2, 2010 Airstrip extension; November 28, 2014 Full renewal Application.

³ Letter NIRB to NWB RE: Application exempt from requirements of screening, dated September 30, 2014

I trust the above and attached is sufficient to meet your requirements. Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at 819-763-0229 or stephane.robert@agnicoeagle.com.

Regards,



Stéphane Robert
stephane.robert@agnicoeagle.com
819-763-0229
Manager Regulatory Affairs Nunavut



Ryan Vanengen MSc.
ryan.vanengen@agnicoeagle.com
819-651-2974
Environment Superintendent

CC: NPC – Brian Aglukark, Director Plan Implementation
NIRB - Jaida Ohokannoak, Manager, Technical Administration

Attachment A – Timeline Overview for full Renewal Application

Attachment B – Short Term Renewal Application Form

Attachment C – Application Fee (\$30.00 cheque c/o Receiver General for Canada)

Attachment A – Timeline Overview for full Renewal Application

Task Name	Duration	Current Standard Process	
		Start	Finish
Type A Application Submitted	222 days	July 24, 2014	May 29, 2015
NWB Received Application (mail log)		August 8, 2014	
NWB acknowledges receipt of the Application and request completeness review	66 days	August 29, 2014	November 28, 2014
NPC Determination	66 days	August 29, 2014	November 28, 2014
NIRB confirmed scope of the Application to existing Project Certificate (exempt from screening)	23 days	August 29, 2014	September 30, 2014
Comments received by AEM and Responses provided to the Parties	12 days	September 29, 2014	October 14, 2014
Notice of the Application (55(1)) - NWB distributed for Technical Review	23 days	November 24, 2014	December 24, 2014
Technical comments received by AEM and response provided to parties	11 days	December 24, 2014	January 7, 2015
NWB PreHearing/Technical Meeting	2 days	January 14, 2015	January 15, 2015
NWB PHC Decision	15 days	January 16, 2015	January 30, 2015
Notice of hearing (NWNSRTA S. 55(2))	6 days	January 30, 2015	February 6, 2015
60 day manitory notice	60 days	February 6, 2015	April 7, 2015
Public Hearing	2 days	April 8, 2015	April 9, 2015
NWB issues licence and reasons for decision to the Minister	30 days	April 10, 2015	May 11, 2015
Minister Review and consider Approval	45 days	May 12, 2015	June 26, 2015
Minister request additional 45 days	45 days	June 26, 2015	August 10, 2015
Licence approved by the Minister		August 10, 2015	

Note: Timeline assumes legislated timelines and minimum standard practice timelines and does not consider existing resources, workloads, or contingency time.



SHORT TERM RENEWAL

Application for Water Licence Renewal

Document Date: April 2013

Application Submission Date: 01/23/2015
Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119
GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT
XOB 1J0
TEL: (867) 360-6338
FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq
NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT
NUNAVUT WATER BOARD
OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document from NWB Guide 7	June 2010
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page	May 2011
(3)	New NWB logo and request for background information	April 2013
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0

TEL: (867) 360-6338

FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5

NUNAVUT WATER BOARD

NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT

OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licence for more information about this application form.

Where possible, provide background information regarding the original licence application or attach previously submitted information.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: 2AM-MEA0815

1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION

Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. **A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.**

If the licensee is the same, but the name of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.

Name: Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd.

Address: 10200, Route De Preissac, Rouyn-Noranda, Quebec, J0Y 1C0

Phone: __ 819-759-3700 _____

Fax: _____

e-mail: Stéphane Robert, Manager Regulatory Affairs Nunavut stephane.robert@agnicoeagle.com

2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.

Name:

Address:

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

e-mail: _____

(Attach authorization letter.)

3. NAME OF PROJECT

Is the name of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the name of the project including the name of the location: _____

4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING

Is the location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Project Extents

NW: Latitude: (° ' " N)

Longitude: (° ' " W)

NE: Latitude: (° ' " N)

Longitude: (° ' " W)

SE: Latitude: (° ' " N)

Longitude: (° ' " W)

SW: Latitude: (° ' " N)

Longitude: (° ' " W)

Camp Location(s)

Latitude: (° ' " N)

Longitude: (° ' " W)

5. MAP

Are the locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking.

NTS Map Sheet No.: _____

Map Name: _____

Map Scale: _____

6. NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND

Is the nature of the interest in the land the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the 'Surface' header must be checked).

Sub-surface

☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

Surface

☐ Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☒ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: **July 24, 2008** Date of expiry: **December 31, 2027**

☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Commissioner's Land Use Authorization

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Other _____

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

Is the name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.

Name of entity(s) holding authorizations:

7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) DETERMINATION

Is the undertaking located in the same land use planning area as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the land use planning area in which the project is located.

☐ North Baffin
☐ South Baffin
☐ Akunnig

☒ Keewatin
☐ Sanikiluaq
☐ West Kitikmeot

Was a land use plan conformity determination required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. **July 18, 2003**

(Reference from NWB original license decision of June 9, 2008 - assume copy on NWB public registry)

Does the proposed renewal change the original NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy. _____

If No, provide written confirmation from NPC confirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.

As requested in cover letter to this STR application

8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION

Was a screening determination required from NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. **December 30, 2006 (Project Certificate issued by NIRB)**

Does the proposed renewal change the original NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy. _____

If No, provide written confirmation from NIRB confirming that a screening determination is not required.

As requested in cover letter to this STR application

9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal.

10. OPTIONS

Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project.

11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING

Is the primary undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the primary classification of undertaking by checking one of the following boxes.

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal (includes camps/lodges) | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Power | <input type="checkbox"/> Miscellaneous (describe below): |

See Schedule II of the *Northwest Territories Waters Regulations* for Description of Undertakings.

12. WATER USE

Is the type(s) of water use(s) the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s) of water use(s) being applied for.

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To divert a watercourse |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To obtain water for industrial purposes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse |
| <input type="checkbox"/> To cross a watercourse | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flood control |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To alter the flow of, or store water | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED

Is the source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Name of water source(s): **As authorized under Part E, Item 1 and Item 2 of the existing license; Sources – Third Portage Lake at Monitoring Station ST-1; and Unnamed Lake at Monitoring Station ST-3.**

(show location(s) on map)

Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s):

Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: **maintain current license limits (see below)**
m³/day

Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: **As authorized in Amendment 2 issued by NWB (June 30, 2014) – Total not exceeding 1, 870,000 cubic metres per annum in 2013, followed by a maximum of 1, 150,000 cubic metres per annum in subsequent years.**

Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.): _____

Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Describe the method(s) of extraction: _____

Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): _____ m³/day

Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): _____

14. WASTE

Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) generated and deposited.

- ☐ Sewage
☐ Solid Waste
☐ Hazardous
☐ Bulky Items/Scrap Metal
☐ Animal Waste
☐ Other (describe):
- ☐ Waste oil
☐ Greywater
☐ Sludges
☐ Contaminated soil and/or water

15. QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WASTE INVOLVED

Are the quantity(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the composition(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of treatment for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of disposal for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.

[illegible]

16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

In addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6, are the same authorizations required as considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

For each provide the following:

Authorization: _____

Administering Agency: _____

Project Activity: _____

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Are predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking and proposed mitigation measures the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste.

18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS

Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature.

Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS

Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO).

20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.

21. SECURITY INFORMATION

Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken.

Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the *Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut*, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.

22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide an updated statement of financial security.

If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:

Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide a list of the officers of the company.

Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name.

23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE

List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.

Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report.

If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.

Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

24. PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE

Is the time schedule for all phases of development (construction, operations, closure and post closure) the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the proposed start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure).

Construction

Proposed Start Date: _____ Proposed Completion Date: _____
(month/year) (month/year)

Operation

Proposed Start Date: _____ Proposed Completion Date: _____
(month/year) (month/year)

Closure

Proposed Start Date: _____ Proposed Completion Date: _____
(month/year) (month/year)

Post - Closure

Proposed Start Date: _____ Proposed Completion Date: _____
(month/year) (month/year)

For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.

Construction

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☒ All season

Operation

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☒ All season

Closure

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☒ All season

Post - Closure

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☒ All season

25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE

On what date does the existing licence expire? **May 31, 2015**

Indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years): **short term renewal 180 days**

Requested date of renewal issuance: **no later than June 1, 2015** Requested Expiry Date: **November 27, 2015****
(month/year) (month/year)

**** or on approval of full renewal application whichever occurs sooner (Note: full renewal application under consideration by NWB just completed the Prehearing and Technical Meeting and a PHC decision is pending from the NWB. On issuance of the PHC, Agnico Eagle expects notice of a public hearing to be given.)**

(The requested date of renewal issuance must be at least three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and at least one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's *Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications* for more information)

26. ANNUAL REPORTING

Is the annual report template expected to be the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If not using the NWB's *Standardized Form for Annual Reporting*, provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report.

27. CHECKLIST

The following must be included with the application for renewal for the water licensing process to begin.

Completed Application for Water Licence Renewal form.

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

Updated plans, including designs and reports (see Block 23).

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected –
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

Updated security assessment (see Block 21).

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

Updated financial statement (see Block 22).

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

English Summary of Renewal Application.

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Renewal Application.

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____


Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected
Component of full Renewal application dated July 23, 2014

28. SIGNATURE

I, **Stéphane Robert** (print name)

certify that the application requires no changes to water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the information given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and complete.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Stéphane Robert', written over a horizontal line.

January 23, 2015

Signature

Date