

Application for Water Licence Amendment

Document Date: April 2013

Application Submission Date: ____12/17/2018___

Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369 kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT NUNAVUT WATER BOARD OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document from NWB Guide 7	June 2010
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page	May 2011
(3)	New NWB logo; request for background information; and change to Block 24	April 2013
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 TEL: (867) 360-6338

FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE AMENDMENT

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licensee</u> for more information about this application form.

Where possible, provide background information regarding the original licence application or attach previously submitted information.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: 2AM-MEA1526
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
■ Yes □ No
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. An amendment will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name: Agnico Eagle Mines Limited
Address: 145 King Street East, Suite 400, Toronto, Ontario M5C 2Y7 Jamie Quesnel Agnico Eagle Mines Ltd. 11600 Rue Louis-Bisson Mirabel (QC) J7N 1G9 Phone: 819-856-0821 Email: jamie.quesnel@agnicoeagle.com Phone: Fax: e-mail:
2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1. Not applicable. Licensee representative contact information is same as Block 1. Phone: Fax: e-mail: (Attach authorization letter.)

3. NAME OF PROJECT
Meadowbank Gold Mine
Has the name of the project changed?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, indicate the name of the project including the name of the location:
Does the proposed amendment change the location of the amended undertaking?
☐ Yes ■ No
Provide the project extents and camp locations. Identify proposed changes. Not applicable as the proposed amendment does not change the location of the amended undertaking.
Project Extents Meadowbank Mine: Latitude: 67° 00' 75" N Longitude: 96° 04' 39" W
NW: Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) NE: Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) SE: Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W) SW: Latitude: (° ' "N) Longitude: (° ' "W)
Camp Location(s)
Latitude: (67° 00' 75" N) Longitude: (96° 04' 39" W)
5. MAP
Does the proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?
☐ Yes ■ No
Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.
Refer to Figure 1 provided as part of the cover letter "Agnico Eagle Mines Meadowbank Mine Type A Water License – 2AM-MEA1525 Modification Request" submitted to the NWB on February 23, 2018.
NTS Map Sheet No.:
Map Name:
Map Scale: Meadowbank Mine - Project straddles four NTS sheets 66A/16, 66H/1, 56 E/4, and 56 D/13 (already on file from original and renewal applications)

3

6.	NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND
Does	the proposed amendment change the nature of the interest in the land?
	☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes	s, indicate changes
	k any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the ace' header must be checked).
	Sub-surface
	☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Surface
	Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	■ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Current Date of expiry: December 31, 2027
	☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Other
	Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
Is the licenc	name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water ee?
	■Yes □ No
If No,	a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.
Name	e of entity(s) holding authorizations: Agnico Eagle Mines Limited

7.	NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION	(NPC) DETERMINATION
Indica	te the land use planning area in which the	e existing project is located.
	☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq	■Keewatin □ Sanikiluaq □ West Kitikmeot
Does	the proposed amendment change the lan	d use planning area?
		☐ Yes ■No
If yes,	indicate the land use planning area in wh	nich the amended undertaking is located.
	☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq	☐ Keewatin ☐ Sanikiluaq ☐ West Kitikmeot
Was a	·	required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water
		■Yes □ No
	, indicate date issued and attach copy. 20 cation.	8 November 2014. Copy enclosed with this amendment
Does	the proposed amendment change the ori	ginal NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?
		☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes	, indicate date issued (or expected) and a	attach a copy.
Writte		onfirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required. above-mentioned conformity determination is still tapplication.
8.	NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD	(NIRB) DETERMINATION
Was a	a screening determination required from I	NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
		■Yes □ No
	, indicate date issued and attach copy. D	ecember 30, 2006; Copy of Project Certificate enclosed
Does one?	the proposed amendment change the ori	ginal NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain
		■ Yes □ No
	, indicate date issued (or expected) and a gust 2018. A copy of the Report is end	attach a copy. Date issued (NIRB Reconsideration Report): closed with this application.
If No,	provide written confirmation from NIRB c	confirming that a screening determination is not required.

9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING
Does the proposed amendment change the description of the undertaking?
□Yes ■No
List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal. Identify proposed changes. Agnico Eagle currently places all tailings at the Meadowbank Mine in the Meadowbank Tailings Storage Facility (within the former Second Portage Lake northwest dewatered arm), where tailings have been deposited sub-aerially as slurry and water from the ponds reclaimed during operation. Agnico Eagle is now proposing to dispose of tailings in three pits, Portage Pit A, Portage Pit E, and Goose Pit, all within the footprint of the assessed and approved Meadowbank Mine. The In-pit tailings disposal in the Portage and Goose pits will not increase the project footprint, and will occur entirely within existing pits that have already been impacted by ore extraction. This method of tailings disposal will require the continued use of various existing project components, including the following: • pits and dikes to segregate operations from Third Portage Lake and Second Portage Lake; • the reclaim water barge; • the tailings deposition barge; • the reclaim water treatment plant; and • tailings thickener (this is under evaluation). The modification will also require an extension of the slurry and reclaim water piping.
Refer to Figure 1 provided as part of the cover letter "Agnico Eagle Mines Meadowbank Mine Type A Water License – 2AM-MEA1525 Modification Request" submitted to the NWB on February 23, 2018 for plan of project proposal.
10. OPTIONS
Does the proposed amendment change any of the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project?
☐ Yes ■ No
Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project. Identify proposed changes.
Please refer to the document "Multiple accounts analysis for the tailings facility extension project" dated October 24, 2016 and provided to the NWB on February 23, 2018 as part of the modification request package.
11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING
Indicate the primary classification of undertaking for the existing licence by checking one of the following boxes:
☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural ■ Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) ☐ Conservation ☐ Municipal (includes camps/lodges) ☐ Power ☐ Recreational ☐ Miscellaneous (describe below):
Does the proposed amendment change the classification of primary undertaking?

☐ Yes ■No		
If Yes, indicate the primary undertaking of the amendment:		
Information in accordance with applicable Supplemental Information Guidelines (SIG) must be updated and submitted with an Application for Amendment. Indicate which SIG(s) are applicable to your application.		
 ☐ Hydrostatic Testing ☐ Tannery ☐ Tourist / Remote Camp ☐ Landfarm & On-Site Storage of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil ☐ Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration Drilling ☐ Mineral Exploration / Remote Camp ☐ Advanced Exploration ☐ Mine Development ☐ Municipal ☐ General Water Works ☐ Power 		
Not needed for this amendment application as per discussions with NWB.		
12. WATER USE		
Indicate, using the boxes below, the types of water use(s) approved in the existing licence.		
 To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes To obtain water for industrial purposes To cross a watercourse To alter the flow of, or store water □ Other: To divert a watercourse □ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse □ Flood control 		
Does the proposed amendment change the type(s) of water use(s)?		
☐ Yes ■ No		
If Yes, indicate using the boxes below, the proposed change(s) to the type(s) of water use(s) noting any water use(s) that are to be added, continued, or removed.		
☐ To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes ☐ To obtain water for industrial purposes ☐ To cross a watercourse ☐ To alter the flow of, or store water ☐ Other:		
Reduction in water use during reflooding.		
13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED		
Does the proposed amendment change the source of water? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Indicate the water source(s). Identify proposed changes.: Approved sources under Water Licence 2AM-MEA1526 are Third Portage Lake, Wally Lake and Unnamed Lake.		

(show location(s) on map)
Does the proposed amendment change the quality of the water source and/or its available capacity?
□Yes ■ No
Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s). Identify any changes.: Not applicable.
Does the proposed amendment change the overall quantity of water to be used?
■ Yes □ No
Provide the overall estimated quantity to be used. Identify proposed changes. : As per the "2016 Water Management Report and Plan update" dated November 2017 (version 2) and submitted to the NWB on February 23, 2018 as part of the modification request package, prior to looking at in-pit deposition, Agnico Eagle was considering a total of 32.0Mm³ of freshwater to be transferred from Third Portage Lake to accomplish the required pit flooding for Portage and Goose Pits. By implementing the tailings deposition practice in Meadowbank, water required for flooding decreases to 24,6Mm³ including the water used during the operation to flood the Portage Pit and operate in-pit deposition with a constant water cover over the tailings beach.
Global reduction of 7.4 Mm³ overall the Project life.
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used from each source?
■Yes □ No
Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source. Identify proposed changes. : Change is only with Third Portage Lake.
As per the "2016 Water Management Report and Plan update" dated November 2017 (version 2) and submitted to the NWB on February 23, 2018 as part of the modification request package, prior to looking at in-pit deposition, Agnico Eagle was considering a total of 32.0Mm³ of freshwater to be transferred from Third Portage Lake to accomplish the required pit flooding for Portage and Goose Pits. By implementing the tailings deposition practice in Meadowbank, water required for flooding decreases to 24,6Mm³ including the water used during the operation to flood the Portage Pit and operate in-pit deposition with a constant water cover over the tailings beach.
Global reduction of 7.4 Mm³ overall the Project life.
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used for each purpose?
■ Yes □ No
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.). Identify proposed changes.:
Change (reduction of volume of water to be used for industrial nurnoses) is only with amount of water

to be used for industrial purposes. Other amounts (i.e. obtain water for camp purposes, alter flow or store water, divert a watercourse, flood control, modify the bed and bank of a watercourse, and discharge of Lake water seepage) of water will not change as a result of this project proposal.

As per the "2016 Water Management Report and Plan update" dated November 2017 (version 2) and submitted to the NWB on February 23, 2018 as part of the modification request package, prior to looking at in-it denosition. Agnico Fagle was considering a total of 32 0Mm₂ of freshwater to be transferred from Third

Portage Lake to accomplish the required pit flooding for Portage and Goose Pits. By implementing the tailings deposition practice in Meadowbank, water required for flooding decreases to 24,6Mm ₃ including the water used during the operation to flood the Portage Pit and operate in-pit deposition with a constant water cover over the tailings beach.
Global reduction of 7.4 Mm ³
Does the proposed amendment change the method(s) of extraction? ☐ Yes ■ No
Describe the method(s) of extraction. Identify proposed changes. : Not applicable. No change proposed.
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s)?
□Yes ■ No
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s). Identify proposed changes. : Not applicable. No change proposed m³/day
Does the proposed amendment change the quality(s) of water returned to source(s)?
☐ Yes ■ No
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s). Identify any changes. : Not applicable. No change proposed.
14. WASTE Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) approved in the existing licence.
Sewage Solid Waste Hazardous Bulky Items/Scrap Metal Animal Waste Other (describe):Deposition of Tailings
Does the proposed amendment change the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated or deposited?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, indicate using the boxes below, the proposed change(s) to the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/or deposited noting the addition, removal or continued generation and/or disposal of waste(s).

☐ Sewage ☐ Solid Wa	asta	☐ Waste oil ☐ Greywater		
☐ Hazardo ☐ Bulky Ite ☐ Animal V	us ms/Scrap Metal	Sludges	d soil and/or water	
<u> </u>	,			
15. QUANTITY	AND QUALITY OF WA	STE INVOLVED		
Does the proposed	d amendment change the	e quantity(s) of the ty	rpes of wastes involve	ed?
		□Yes ■ No)	
Does the proposed	d amendment change the	e composition(s) of the	ne types of wastes in	volved?
		☐ Yes ■ No	•	
Does the proposed	d amendment change the	e method(s) of treatn	nent for the types of v	vaste involved?
		☐ Yes ■ No)	
Does the proposed	d amendment change the	e method(s) of dispos	sal for the types of wa	aste involved?
		Yes No	•	
Portage Pits. Plea	above, describe the pro use refer to the "2016 M vember 2016) provided	ine Waste Rock an	d Tailings Managem	nent Plan Report and
method of treatmer	aste indicated in Block 1 nt and method of disposa		osition, quantity in cu	bic meters/day, Disposal
Type of Waste	Composition	Generated	Method	Method
Tailings	Slurry	8.3 Mt	None	Disposed in Portage and Goose Pits

16.	OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
	the proposed amendment change the need for other authorizations in addition to the sub-surface urface land use authorizations provided in Block 6?
	☐ Yes ■ No
	indicate any additional authorizations required, which authorizations are no longer required, and authorizations continue to be required.
For ea	ch provide the following:
Autho	rization:
Admin	istering Agency:
Projec	t Activity:
Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
17.	PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES
	he proposed amendment change the predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking or the ion measures?
	☐ Yes ■ No
Descri	be direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste. Identify any changes.
18.	WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS
	ompensation paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any existing or other of water during consideration of the existing licence?
	☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes,	provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use by those persons or properties.
licence depos	the proposed amendment adversely affect any known persons or property including those that hold es for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste itors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered e holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature?
	☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes,	provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use of those persons or properties.
	e the Board if compensation has been paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation has been ed with any existing or other water users with respect to the proposed amendment.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS
Was compensation paid/ or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any Designated Inuit Organization (DIO) during consideration of the existing licence?
■ Yes □ No
If Yes, which DIO(s) Kivalliq Inuit Association
Does the proposed amendment substantially affect the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL)?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more DIO(s) with respect to the proposed amendment.
20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns. Baker Lake Community Meeting#1: February 8, 2018. Only one individual attended the meeting. Meeting rescheduled.
Baker Lake Community Meeting#2: March 6, 2018. 105 individuals attended the meeting. Concerns included: potential impacts of the tailings facility, and impacts of the project on global warming. Responses were that no impacts are expected and that no impacts of the project on global warming are expected. Other questions were information requests to understand better the project.
21. SECURITY INFORMATION
Does the proposed amendment change the financial security assessment?
■ Yes □ No
Does the proposed amendment change the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation?
■ Yes □ No
Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.
Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut</i> , Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.
The update of the estimated closure and reclamation costs for the Meadowbank Project was completed by using RECLAIM 7.0. The revised total of security is now \$83,551,136, versus \$83,569,898 that was completed for the Interim Closure Reclamation Plan dated June 2018 for a total reduction of \$18,762. Please refer to the document "Meadowbank ICRP 2018 Update considering Tailings In-Pit Deposition" dated December 12, 2018 and submitted to the NWB on December 13, 2018 with this application for Water Licence Amendment Form.

22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
■ Yes □ No
Provide an updated statement of financial security.
The Meadowbank Gold Project is 100% owned by Agnico Eagle. All rights, title, interests, liabilities, and obligations for the Project rest with Agnico Eagle. Taking into account Agnico Eagle's past performance, Agnico Eagle confirms: they have the adequate financial responsibility to satisfy section 57 of the NWNSRTA to complete the undertaking from construction to closure; measures are in place, or will be put in place, to mitigate any adverse impacts; and its commitment to the ongoing maintenance and restoration of the Meadowbank Mine and its satellite operation in the event of future closing or abandonment of the undertaking. Agnico Eagle is confident in assuming its position, taking into account their current, ongoing, and past performance in the Kivalliq Region, Nunavut, and Canada.
Agnico Eagle strongly believes that taking into account its past performance and the current feasibility assessment for the Project, the company is financially able to complete the undertaking as presented in this Application, to mitigate any adverse impact, and to satisfactorily maintain and restore the proposed site in the event of closure or abandonment of the Project.
A copy of Agnico Eagle's most recent audited financial statements is enclosed with this application.
If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:
Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
■ Yes □ No
Provide a list of the officers of the company.
Current list of officers for Agnico Eagle (as of 11 December 2018): CEO – Sean Boyd President – Ammar Al-Joundi Senior Vice-Presidents: David Smith, Donald G. Allan, Alain Blackburn, Louise Grondin, R. Gregory Laing, Marc Legault, Jean Robitaille, and Yvon Sylvestre.
Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?
■ Yes □ No
Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name. Certificate enclosed with this application.
23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE
List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.

Please refer to Table 2 of cover letter "Guidance of the Nunavut Water Board (NWB) Following the Decision of the Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs, Northern Affairs and Internal Trade in Relation to the Assessment of Agnico Eagle Mines Limited's Proposed Modification to the Meadowbank Gold Mine Project to Allow In-pit Tailings Deposition" dated December 14, 2018 and submitted to the NWB with this application for Water Licence Amendment Form.

Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report.

There are currently no outstanding non-compliance to Water Licence 2AM-MEA1526 conditions.

If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist

plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.								
24. PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE								
When are proposed amendments scheduled to be undertaken: March 2019								
Does the proposed amendment change the time schedule considered in the existing licence for any phase of development?								
☐ Yes ■ No								
Indicate the start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure). Identify proposed changes.								
Construction Proposed Start Date: March 2019 Proposed Completion Date: March 2019 (month/year) (month/year)								
Operation Proposed Start Date: March 2019 Proposed Completion Date: January 2022 (month/year) (month/year)								
Closure Proposed Start Date: January 2022 Proposed Completion Date: August 2031 (month/year)								
Post - Closure Proposed Start Date:Proposed Completion Date:(month/year) (month/year)								
For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.								
Construction ■ Winter □ Spring □ Summer □ Fall □ All season								
Operation ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ■ All season								
Closure ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ■ All season								
Post - Closure Winter Spring Summer Fall All season								

25.	PROPOSED TE	RM OF LICENCE				
On w	hat date does the	existing licence expire	? 22 July 2026			
Is the	e Licensee applyin	g for a combined renev	val and amendme	nt of the existing licence?		
			☐ Yes ■ No			
If Ye	s, indicate the prop	oosed term of the renev	wal (maximum of 2	25 years):		
Requested date of renewal issuance: Requested Expiry Date: (month/year) (month						
(The relicence licence use place according to the control of the c	equested date of rerest and at least one (1) application. These anning or development ance with any proje	newal issuance must be a) year from the date of ap timeframes are approximent impact requirements, to ct specific guidelines issu	at least three (3) mo oplication for a type nate and do not acc ime for the applican led by the NWB, or	onths from the date of application for a type B water A water licence, to allow for processing of the water bount for the time to complete any pre-licensing land to prepare and submit a water licence application if the time for the applicant to respond to requests force Applications for more information)	er id n	
26.	ANNUAL REPO	PRTING				
Will th	e proposed amen	dment change the cont	ent of annual repo	rts or the annual report template?		
			☐ Yes ■ No			
report	•	garding the content of	annual reports and	d a proposed outline or template of the annual		
27. The fo	CHECKLIST	cluded with the applica	ation for Amendme	ent for the water licensing process to begin.		
	-	olication for Water Licer				
	■ Yes	∏No		rpected		
	_	_		ne (SIG), where applicable (see Block 11)		
	☐Yes	■ No	If no, date ex	spected		
	Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).					
	Yes	□No		spected		
	Indication of Renewal Requirement (see Block 26)					
	☐Yes	■ No	If no, date ex	rpected		
	English Summary of Amendment Application.					
	Yes	□No		spected		
	Inuktitut and/or	Inuinnaqtun Summary				

	Yes	□No	If no, date expected				
	Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).						
	Yes shortly. Will be dealt	☐ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected Credit card payment to be made shortly. Will be dealt with NWB directly (before December 21, 2018)					
	Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.						
	Yes No If no, date expected As per <i>Nunavut Water</i> Regulations water use fees payable to the Crown or Receiver General for Canada are not required given the Project is on Inuit Owned Land. Moreover, Agnico Eagle has a Water Compensation Agreement with the Kivalliq Inuit Association.						
28.	SIGNATURE						
20.	Quesnel	Jamie		30.	17 Dec 2018		
				amoture			
	Name (Print)	Title (Pr	int) Si	gnature	Date		