

September 21, 2012

Via Email

David Hohnstein Director Technical Services Nunavut Water Board P.O. Box 119 Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Dear Mr. Hohnstein,

Re: Meadowbank Gold Project - 2AM-MEA0815 - Amendment to Fresh Water Consumption

At this time I feel it is necessary to provide the Board with an update and current status of our freshwater usage situation. The Meadowbank mill commissioning was started in January 2010, with the first gold being produced end of February. We have achieved "commercial production" in March 2010.

Currently, Part E, item 3, of our Type A Water License – 2AM-MEA0815 allows for an annual freshwater water consumption of 700,000 cubic meters. The water consumption in the license is based on a theoretical water balance estimated by Hatch and Associates during the detailed engineering of the project.

After the commercial production, Agnico-Eagle, Meadowbank Division (AEM) realized that freshwater consumption would (and did) exceed the usage limits (700,000 m³ /year) as stated in the license (see Table 1). As a result, we developed an action plan that was immediately put in place to minimize the use of fresh water at the mill.

The first order of business was to determine if the increase was impacting water levels in Third Portage Lake. Records indicate that the water level was not impacted the water level at our intake barge is the same as preproduction levels (See Table 2).

We then considered options in accordance with Part E, Item 4 of the water license which states,



4. The Licensee shall to the greatest practical extent recycle water and the use of reclaim water from the Tailings Storage Facility.

Table 1:Fresh Water usage m3

| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|-----------|-------|--------|---------|--------|
| January | 1725 | 4039 | 71524 | 99644 |
| February | 1933 | 92262 | 73387 | 84720 |
| March | 4744 | 2560 | 87800 | 89271 |
| April | 2738 | 97788 | 86454 | 86271 |
| May | 20547 | 85288 | 99742 | 93567 |
| June | 4600 | 102032 | 90666 | 92576 |
| July | 4978 | 96683 | 94595 | 95820 |
| August | 4612 | 99162 | 101137 | 97575 |
| September | 3686 | 95229 | 140858 | |
| October | 2544 | 88410 | 85124 | |
| November | 2420 | 74627 | 68636 | |
| December | 2477 | 81645 | 88328 | |
| Total | 57004 | 919724 | 1088253 | 739444 |

Table 2: Third Portage Lake - Water level msl

| December | 133.63 | 133.65 | 133.65 | 133.67 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | |

The mill is the main contributor of the fresh water consumption (90% of the freshwater at the site) and the quantity of water is related to the quantity of tonnes passing in the mill. Actually, we recirculate 72 % of our total water consumption at site. During 2011 and up to mid-2012, AEM actively investigated methods to reduce fresh water consumption at the mill. This involved an extensive analysis, including pilot test procedures and process flow measurement within our mill. Cooling water, flocculent mix water, gland water were all considered to be replaced by reclaim water. An Action Plan was put in place and several projects were completed (see Appendix 1 Mill Freshwater Review).

To date we have already reduced fresh water consumption by 18 m3/hr (which represent a reduction of 157,680 m3 per year while increasing mill throughput by 1000 – 1500 tonnes per day). Figure 1 indicates that the ratio of water used per tonne milled decreased significantly 0.46 (Q3 2010) to 0.30 (Q2 2012).



Ratio of water used per tonne milled

0.50

0.45

0.40

0.35

0.25

0.20

0.15

0.10

0.05

0.00

2010 Q3 2010 Q4 2011 Q1 2011 Q2 2011 Q3 2011 Q4 2012 Q1 2012 Q2

Figure 1: Ratio of water used per tonne milled

In summer 2012, engineering has been completed for our action plan to decrease fresh water consumption by the end of 2012. We anticipate that our freshwater usage will be reduced to below our current freshwater use limit.

Also, as a bit of background, we needed to update our Water Management Plan (and water balance) before we can apply for an amendment. The 2011 Water Management Plan was not finalized due to the mine plans undergoing a significant change (Life of Mine decreased from 2020 to 2017). We therefore commissioned for a subsequent Water Management Plan in 2012 which is expected to be finalized by November, 2012 (the earliest date we could apply for an amendment as this document is key information for any such application).

AEM is of the opinion that reducing our water use to within our current limit through this project is a much better environmental solution than increasing our overall footprint by applying for an increase. We are seeking concurrence from the Board that this is an acceptable path forward in the short term. It would seem pointless to submit an amendment application (the earliest we could do this is November when the new Water

Baker Lake Office:

Tel: 819-759-3700 Fax: 819-759-3663



Management Plan is scheduled for finalization) and then withdraw it in December when our reclaim/recycle project is completed. Of course AEM will apply for the amendment if the reclaim project is not as successful as we anticipate but, as stated, we are committed to meet our current License for the end of the year.

I would very much appreciate your assistance in defining the next steps that we should follow to process this amendment to the License A.

Should you have any questions, please contact me via email at stephane.robert@agnico-eagle.com

Regards,

Agnico-Eagle Mines Limited – Meadowbank Division

Stéphane Robert

Manager Regulatory Affairs Nunavut

Tel: 867-793-4610 Fax: 867-793-4611



Appendix A

Mill fresh water reduction- Project review

Tel: 819-759-3700 Fax: 819-759-3663

Tel: 867-793-4610 Fax: 867-793-4611



| Document number | 6165 Fresh water reduction | | |
|-------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Department | Mill–Process plant | Project start date | June 1 st , 2012 |
| Area description | 650 | Project end date | December 31 st , 2012 |
| Issued by | Normand Ménard, eng. | Issued date | September 7, 2012 |
| Short description | Look at converting all fresh water user to reclaim water | | |

1. Project background

Meadowbank mill was first design to operate while using two different sources of water, fresh water from Third Portage Lake and reclaim water from our tailing pond. Fresh water consumption was anticipated to be in the neighborhood of 60 m³/hr and permit was obtained for 80 m³/hr. Unfortunately, not long after the beginning of our operation, some crucial systems which were designed to operate on reclaim water had to be temporarily operated on fresh water because of bad reclaim water quality. Overall, these systems increase our fresh water usage by another 60 m³/hr.

The main problem was calcium carbonate deposition throughout our reclaim water piping network. Some of our system like the SAG and Ball mill gearbox heat exchanger system was plugging up on a regular basis putting the life of major process equipment at risk. Other system as slurry pump gland water seal which was designed to operate on reclaim water has to be kept on fresh water until a solution could be implemented to eliminate the scaling problem. We also had problem with the material selection of some equipment's which could be attacked by some of the chemical contain in our reclaim water.

2. Project Mandate

The scope of the project is to review all fresh water users and look at converting them to reclaim water. The scope extends beyond only those users planned to be running on reclaim water during the design phase of the site. We will review all actual user of fresh water and look at option to convert all of them. The goal is to reduce to a minimum our fresh water consumption by the end of 2012.

3. Actual water consumption assessment

The following table summarizes all our fresh water users, their actual estimated consumption and an evaluation of their potential to be converted to reclaim water.

| Users | Consumption (m³/hr) | Could be switched to reclaim |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Water used for reagent preparation | | |
| Metabisulphite | | |
| Cyanide | 19.0 | YES |
| Caustic | (all together) | |



| Copper sulphate | | |
|--|----------------|-----|
| • Lime | | |
| Water used for : | | |
| Floculant preparation | 18.0 | Yes |
| Floculant dilution and dilution | (all together) | Yes |
| Water used for : | | |
| Stripping circuit | 4.0 | Yes |
| SAG gear box and lube unit oil cooling | 18.0 | Yes |
| Ball mill gearbox and lube unit oil cooling | 18.0 | |
| Water used for: | | |
| Slurry pump gland water seal | 42.0 | Yes |
| Total: | 119 | |

4. Action plan

The first and most important thing to allow the use of reclaim water in our processes is to gain control over the scaling problem. Therefore, we need to determine the extent of the problem and define was needed to be done. In order to do so, water sample will be taken and analyze by an exterior laboratory. Based on their recommendation, we will proceed with the appropriate treatment of our water system.

Once this is completed, we could start looking at every individual fresh water users and develop a particular plan for each of them:

- **4.1. Reagent preparation:** We need to confirm that no chemical interaction between reclaim water and the prepared chemical will either cause a safety concern or a degradation of the final product. Once that confirmed, we will have to change the actual piping network to allowed mixing with reclaim water.
- **4.2. Flocculent preparation and dilution:** We need to confirm that no chemical interaction between reclaim water and flocculants will either cause a safety concern or a degradation of the final product. Once that confirmed, we could switch to reclaim. Piping to do so already in place.
- **4.3. SAG and Ball mill gearbox and lube unit cooling:** We need to check that the reclaim water quality meets the equipment requirement. Proceed with any require change and re-route reclaim water piping to feed the system.
- **4.4. Slurry pump gland water seal:** We need to check that the reclaim water quality meets the equipment requirement. Proceed with any require change. Reclaim water piping the feed gland seal system is already in place.



5. Project status

The following table explains where we stand with this project and what will be the next step.

| Objective | Completion | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| - Objective | Status | date |
| Eliminate scaling problem in reclaim water network | Reclaim water was analyzed in 2011. A chemical treatment approach was developed and installed earlier in 2012. Last water analysis campaign is | Completed in |
| | showing major improvement in reduction of scale formation. We could proceed with the fresh to reclaim water conversion for all the identify users. | May 2012 |
| Use of reclaim water for reagent preparation | Some chemical interactions are foreseen with some of the reagent. However, we will go ahead with the conversion of the lime water addition. Piping work has been evaluated and work will proceed shortly. | Mid October 2012 |
| Use of reclaim water for flocculent preparation and dilution | Floculant dilution water was already switch to reclaim which represent a reduction of 18 m³/hr. Water use for flocculent preparation will not be switched to reclaim. | Completed in August 2012 |
| SAG and Ball mill gear box and lube unit cooling | Based on water analysis, we need to replace our brass heat exchanger to a 316SS heat exchanger. 6 heat exchanger in total. All 6 are in order and should arrive on site by the end of September. The heat exchanger installation and the required piping modification will take place in October. | End of October 2012 |
| Slurry pump gland water seal | We are still looking at option to treat the reclaim water to satisfy this application. Could not confirm yet if this will be viable. We should know if we could go ahead by the end of September. | End of 2012 |



6. Expected results timetable

The following table is showing our total water consumption reduction target month by month until the end of 2012.

| 2012 | Total fresh water consumption reduction (m3/hr) |
|-----------|---|
| August | 18 |
| September | 18 |
| October | 58 |
| November | 58 |
| December | 119 |
| | (if gland seal could be switch) |

7. Conclusion

Based on the available information, we expect to convert quite a few systems from fresh water to reclaim water by the end of 2012. The worst case project results will be reduction of $58 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$ of our fresh water consumption which will bring our total consumption down to $60 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$. However, if all fresh water reduction initiative could be implemented, the total mill consumption will be reduced as low as $5 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$ by year end.