

ንግራጎበፊፈናሪ ነውወ ወንዳዊ **Qikiqtani Inuit Association**

ってゃっつくへ שפר סי ספת Serving the communities of

July 21st, 2011

Ryan Barry

Executive Director

Nunavut Impact Review Board

29 Mitik, PO Box 1360

Cambridge Bay, NU X0B0C0

ρ··ϤΔ¢ Cape Dorset

 $\nabla_{\rho} V Q_{\epsilon} A_{\rho}$

Arctic Bay

Mr. Barry,

4 JC PY Clyde River

Re: Commencement of Technical Review Period, Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation's

(BIMC) Proposed Mary River Project

&COV 4D Grise Fiord

50556 Hall Beach

The Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) would like to thank the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) for its letter titled Commencement of Public Comment Period for the NIRB's review of Baffinland's Draft EIS (DEIS) Submission (July 5th, 2011). QIA welcomes the opportunity to work with potentially impacted communities in reviewing the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the purpose of providing NIRB with technical comments.

۵۰ مر۰ Igloolik

۵۵۵۵

Iqaluit

1.0 DEIS Addendum

QIA is currently in the process of reviewing the recently submitted addendum1 to DEIS2 concerning the removal of the Tote Road Haulage option. It is important for QIA to understand the entirety of proposed on-site activities in order to judge the proposed impacts. In view of this, based on QIA's initial review of the addendum, there is some uncertainty regarding the freight being shipped to the site during mine operation. In the removal of the Tote Road option, BIMC identified a return to the 2008 Developmental Proposal³, which stated that all non-oversize freight shipping would be routed through Steensby Port and transported on the rail line. This is in contrast to the 2011 DEIS, which had provisions for shipping non-oversize freight along both the Tote Road and the railway during mine operations.

brLSc Kimmirut

<°0°)0 Pangnirtung

L'ULCC. Pond Inlet

らちゃくくくりゅ

Qikiqtarjuaq

In the addendum submitted by BIMC regarding the removal of the Tote Road haulage option, the total freight into the site will be reduced by 43% as compared to the DEIS. This is a result of Milne Inlet Port being used for oversized shipping only, and no additional freight being transported into Steensby Port as compared to the DEIS. If additional freight is in fact being shipped into Steensby Port as compared to the DEIS, the measured impacts could change significantly due to the potential need for more ship and rail transportation.

°C20448 Resolute Bay

Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation, "Mary River Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Removal of Road Haulage Option Addendum", Nunavut Impact Review Board Public Registry, Cambridge Bay, NU, June 2011

² Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation, "Mary River Environmental Impact Statement", Nunavut Impact Review Board Public Registry, Cambridge Bay, NU, January 2011

40P208 Sanikiluag

Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation, "Development Proposal for the Mary River Project", Nunavut Impact Review Board Public Registry, Cambridge Bay, NU, March 2008

∩∩%Ზ⊳∩Վ 1340, △%ጔሷና, ፴ዺንና X0A 0H0 ◦ ኦ%∟ÞĆ (867) 979-5391 ◦ 석ዮዬንፕጋንዥ 1 800-667-2742 ◦ /ሉንጋንዥ (867) 979-3238 ◦ %ኢ∖Þ৮ነዥ qikiqtani.nu.ca P.O. Box 1340, Iqaluit, Nunavut, XOA 0H0 • Phone: (867) 979-5391 • Toll Free 1 800-667-2742 • Fax: (867) 979-3238 • Website: qikiqtani.nu.ca



'የΡ∿ርԺ Δ⊅Δ° Ьጋን⊁ዔ∩Ր℉ Qikiqtani Inuit Association

እትናበናቅንና ቃዱሮችው Þdውኄ Serving the communities of QIA would like BIMC to verify that no additional freight is being shipped into Steensby Port as a result of the removal of the Tote Road haulage option. QIA would prefer that BIMC describes which freight will no longer be transported to site, and how such a reduction in freight being transported to site may affect any proposed impacts described in the DEIS.

Δ⁵Λላ⁴ሩ Arctic Bay

ρ∿ኒ∆ና Cape Dorset

ხ∿°ბს∧ა Clyde River

るためない。

Grise Fiord

Gris

∖ራናታኑ Hall Beach

> Δ¹هاده Igloolik

کد&Δ^c Iqaluit

PLT2c Kimmirut

く^。。 Pangnirtung

Pond Inlet

%P%C,≺4%

%⊳ / ∆ናጋ% Resolute Bay

> ح۹دعا Sanikiluaq

Furthermore, QIA has noted that the addendum also contains Appendix 3G: Pre-development Works Proposal. Although acknowledged as initial indication of BIMC's desire for early development activities, QIA does not consider the materials submitted to be a complete application for pre-development works. In the letter of transmittal (June 30th, 2011) BIMC notes that initial meetings on pre-development works will be held in the near future. QIA will undertake a review of Appendix 3G in advance of any proposed meetings. Furthermore, QIA will await direction from the Institutions of Public Government (IPG's) before preparing and submitting formal comments related to pre-development works.

2.0 Summary of QIA's Technical Review Efforts

QIA's primary focus over the past several months has been the establishment of Mary River Environmental Assessment (EA) and Inuit Impact and Benefit Agreement (IIBA) Project Committees ("Review Committee") in each of the following communities; Kimmirut, Cape Dorset, Hall Beach, Igloolik, Arctic Bay, Pond Inlet, and Clyde River. The Review Committees have held face-to-face meetings with members of QIA's three person Mary River Project Coordination Team (Project Manager, Project Coordinator, Project Advisor) in order to review the Terms of Reference, QIA Mary River Project Review Committee (see Appendix A). Each committee has also selected a chairperson, and, have held initial project specific discussions. Additionally, Appendix B: QIA Review Committees, Meeting Record List is provided so that NIRB can better understand QIA's community level interactions to date.

Upon receipt of the DEIS it has become apparent to QIA that the presentation of information in the DEIS would not easily support a community level understanding of the project. The DEIS is extremely voluminous, compartmentalized, technical and narrowly translated. In an attempt to address these issues, during NIRB's *Information Request* stage, QIA prepared a Valued Socio-Economic Components (VSECs) summary package (attached to QIA's March 17th, 2011 submission to NIRB). Following a review of BIMC's responses to QIA's *Information Requests*, QIA directed BIMC to prepare Valued Ecosystem Components (VECs) summary documents. Upon receipt of translated versions of the VEC summary documents QIA organized a comprehensive *DEIS Summary Packages*. In addition to a thematic presentation of VSECs and VECs, the *DEIS Summary Packages* are intended to be read in parallel with BIMC's *Inuit Knowledge Map Book*.

Since not all potentially impacted communities will experience impacts in the same way, community specific versions of the *DEIS Summary Package* have been prepared. For example, packages for Kimmirut and Cape Dorset are focused upon project shipping and socio-economics, but not terrestrial elements. It is important to note, that both the larger *DEIS Summary Package* and the community specific *DEIS Summary Packages* will be made available to all potentially impacted communities. Additionally, QIA has also prepared a summary document related to the IIBA. This document will also be distributed to the communities to facilitate their review and feedback.

በበ%ኤኦበሌ 1340, Δኤጋሪ. ዾዼንና XOA 0H0 • ኦቴሬኦር (867) 979-5391 • ላየቴጥንሪና 1 800-667-2742 • ፖራንሪና (867) 979-3238 • ኤሌኦኦንሪና qikiqtani.nu.ca P.O. Box 1340, Iqaluit, Nunavut, XOA 0H0 • Phone: (867) 979-5391 • Toll Free 1 800-667-2742 • Fax: (867) 979-3238 • Website: qikiqtani.nu.ca



'የΡ∿ርԺ Δ⊅Δ° Ьጋን≻ዔ∩Ր∿Ր Qikiqtani Inuit Association

ለት'በናቅን' ቃዲሮችም Þdምኒ Serving the communities of It is important to note that while QIA has assisted BIMC in the preparation and delivery of this material, the *DEIS Summary Package* was sourced directly from BIMC's complete DEIS. All of the documents listed above be submitted to NIRB once distributed to communities.

Δ⁶Λ σ⁶ζ⁶ Arctic Bay In addition to community related activities, QIA has actively engaged a team of technical experts for the purpose of initiating a technical review. In addition to developing a familiarity with the DEIS, the technical team has attended face-to-face meetings with the proponent and other review parties in Winnipeg (July 5th-7th, 2011), and, Iqaluit (July 12th-14th, 2011). Minutes from these meetings will be forwarded to NIRB upon completion of final edits.

PግኒΔ^c Cape Dorset

3.0 Key Technical Review Component: Project Port-Site Alternatives

ხ℃°⊃ს∧ა Clyde River When conducting a review of Appendix B: Review Committee, Meeting Record List readers will note that the Review Committees of Igloolik and Hall Beach have conducted a number of meetings, several related focused on the port-site selection. To date QIA has engaged BIMC in a process focused on allowing the community to further develop and explain their perspectives related to port-site selection. QIA feels it is prudent to raise this topic to NIRB as an area where further community level discussions are anticipated during the technical review process.

るかさない。
Grise Fiord

4.0 Planned Technical Review Events

∖৵[৻]৮৸ Hall Beach

It is QIA's objective that the review process led by the IPG's serve to promote Inuit involvement in in a way that supports informed decision making, reflective of the project as proposed in the DEIS. It is QIA's belief that although this objective is taken into account when viewing the principles of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA), in practice achieving this objective requires a significant amount of dedication and resources outside of what is provided in the formal review process. The challenges of achieving informed decision making are further complicated when a project, such as the proposed Mary River project, involves as many as eight potentially impacted communities.

∆^رےر۔ Igloolik

PLT><

کدگ^α Igaluit

<ారా⁶)° Pangnirtung

Pond Inlet

Öikiqtarjuaq

%⊳ሥ∆ናጋቄ Resolute Bay

ح4ء کو Sanikiluaq

For QIA, the process of developing technical comments to the IPGs requires several key steps. In anticipation that the technical review period would begin this summer, QIA scheduled a week long internal project review workshop. The workshop will bring together representative members of QIA's *Review Committees*, several representatives from the City of Iqaluit, community liaison officers, IIBA negotiators, QIA Executive Committee members, and, technical experts. During the workshop participants will discuss the main components of the DEIS and IIBA.

Beyond the workshop, it is QIA's intention to continue to liaise with review parties and the proponent on key project review areas. This work is not only critical to the development of QIA's formal technical comments, but is also important from the perspective of narrowing the spectrum of technical review efforts towards technical meetings and pre-hearing conferences lead by NIRB. QIA believes further context into the current status of the technical review will be provided once the above mentioned meeting notes are submitted to NIRB.

The final stage in preparing technical review comments requires QIA to meet face-to-face with each of the *Review Committees*. During these meetings QIA will work to ensure that each *Review Committee* has the opportunity to understand QIA's submission to NIRB, the relevancy to the project and the overall stage in the review process. Meetings with the *Review Committees* will also provide opportunity for committee members to provide final input into QIA's submission.

በበዔዬኑበሌ 1340. Δ৯.Δሴ. ኤፌኔና X0A 0H0 • Þኤሬኑር (867) 979-5391 • ላየቴካፕኖንዥ 1 800-667-2742 • ሥነት (867) 979-3238 • ኤሌኑኦት (gikiqtani.nu.ca P.O. Box 1340, Igaluit, Nunavut, X0A 0H0 • Phone: (867) 979-5391 • Toll Free 1 800-667-2742 • Fax: (867) 979-3238 • Website: gikiqtani.nu.ca



'የየ∜ርԺ Δ⊅Δ° Ьጋን≻ዔ∩Ր℉ Qikiqtani Inuit Association

ለት'በና"ን' ቃልሮችም Þdምኒ Serving the communities of

> Δ⁶Λ d^cc⁶ Arctic Bay

ρ^ωኒΔ^c Cape Dorset

ხ⁴ՐԳϽቪ∧Կ Clyde River

ΦΛΔ^{(*)®} Grise Fiord

∖ራናታኑ Hall Beach

> Δ¹عد¹ Igloolik

کد&Δ Iqaluit

PrL3c

<ారా⁶్రీ Pangnirtung

Fond Inlet

Ölkiqtarjuaq γρος√√4ο It is important to note as a lead-up to both the QIA workshop, and, the presentation of QIA's technical review submission, QIA anticipates holding regular *Review Committee* meetings, including site tours. *Review Committee* meetings will be held on specific themes with the goal of working through the most relevant sections of the DEIS for each community. It is also important to note that although QIA's technical review of the proposed project has been given an extremely high priority by each *Review Committee*, all planned activities are occurring during a time of year when active land users are generally not in the community. Therefore, QIA would like to point out the high level of commitment that *Review Committee* members are giving to technical review process.

Given the commitments QIA and the *Review Committees* are making to the formal review of the project, the number of potentially impacted communities and, the value to the overall review process when technical review topics are integrated and clearly articulated; QIA is hereby requesting that NIRB extend the technical review period submission deadline until Wednesday October 5th, 2011. In presenting this request it is important to note that the length of time associated with QIA's deliverance of formal comments to NIRB is also dependent on the material substance of the review. In the event additional information presented to reviewers requires additional effort on behalf of QIA, or alteration of QIA technical review process additional review time may be required. QIA will commit to keeping the IPGs informed of how additional information submitted relates to QIA's internal review process.

Understanding the time and logistical pressures associated with a formal review process, QIA hereby suggests that other review parties take it upon themselves to determine if the QIA requested deadline will also support their interests and abilities in reviewing the proposed project.

5.0 Coordinated Review Process

QIA has previously expressed concern to the IPGs regarding the coordinated process framework framework. QIA's initial concern still remains. Provided below is a summary of how QIA understands the coordinated framework process moving forward. Also provided in this summary are select highlights of QIA's concerns:

1. The NWB was to assess conformity of the DEIS⁵ at the same time interested parties were to submit their information requests (March 17, 2011). To date, the NWB conformity of the DEIS has not been issued for public review. It is unclear if this process was completed. In the July 5th, 2011 correspondence from NIRB⁶ it was stated that the NWB is currently conducting a conformance assessment on the Type A draft water license enclosed in the DEIS. In the process framework attached to the July 5th, 2011 correspondence, conformance was scheduled to be issued before the DEIS was released for public technical review. QIA requests the following:

%⊳∠∆ናጋ% Resolute Bay

⁴ Bob St. Eloi, Director, Department of Lands and Resources, Qikiqtani Inuit Association, to Thomas Kabloona, Chairperson, Nunavut Water Board and to Lucassie Arragutainaq, Chairperson, Nunavut Impact Review Board, May 26th, 2011.
⁵ Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation, "Mary River Environmental Impact Statement", Nunavut Impact Review Board Public

トートコイペ Sanikiluag

Registry, Cambridge Bay, NU, January 2011.

Ryan Berry, Director, Technical Services, Nunavut Impact Review Board, to Mary River Distribution List, July 5th, 2011,
Nunavut Impact Review Board Public Registry, Cambridge Bay, NU

∩∩%%>∩\\ 1340. △७ఎ△\ XOA 0H0 ◦ Þ₺∟ÞĆ (867) 979-5391 ◦ <₽%\Y`>♂ 1 800-667-2742 ◦ Љ\`> が (867) 979-3238 ◦ %\\>>> ♂ qikiqtani.nu.ca



'የΡ∿ርԺ Δ⊅Δ° Ьጋን≻ዔ∩Ր℉ Qikiqtani Inuit Association

ለት'በና"ጋ' ቃልሮች Þdታች Serving the communities of

- Describe how the conformance of the water license application materials in the DEIS will be assessed (this was previously requested in the May 26th letter from QIA).
- II. Clarification on when the conformity assessment will be completed by NWB.

Δ⁵Λ
Arctic Bay

It is QIA's understanding that the following coordinated framework process will take place:
 In the July 5th letter from NIRB, it is stated that the pre-hearing conference report will

contain information from the NWB regarding outstanding information needed for the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

II. BIMC is now to submit outstanding water license application materials with the FEIS (as per above, there has been no conformity assessment of the water license application submitted in the DEIS to date).

III. Technical review of the FEIS is to be completed by Interested Parties. The schedule of the coordinated framework has no allocation for a technical meeting after the FEIS and prior to the Final Hearing.

As result of this coordinated process, it is QIA's understanding that there will be no public forum to

address information gaps and gather additional information regarding the water license application

materials. This has the potential to result in gaps in knowledge prior to entering into the Final Hearing. Dependant on the information pertaining to the water license enclosed in the FEIS, it may

be necessary to have an additional technical meeting(s). QIA requests that the NWB and NIRB

comment on whether the coordinated framework process will accommodate more than one technical

P~~L∆c Cape Dorset

ხ∿ზეს∧ა Clyde River

るかさるい

Grise Fiord

Grise Fiord

Output

Description

Output

Description

De

∖ታናታ⁵ Hall Beach

> Δ¹عد¹ Igloolik

ĸ

۵۵د۵^۵ Iqaluit

ριΓχ Kimmirut

<°00)0

Pangnirtung

LUTC-

Pond Inlet

らちゃC,イタe

Qikiqtarjuaq

Robert J. St. Eloi

Sincerely,

Director, Lands and Resources

J. Sc. Elas

cc

QIA Environmental Assessment and Inuit Impact and Benefit Agreement Review Committee Chairs

o Maliktoo Lyta, Kimmirut

meeting if requested by Interested Parties.

Ejetsiak Peter, Cape DorsetAbraham Qamaniq, Hall Beach

Solomon Mikey, Igloolik

David Kalluk, Arctic Bay
 Jesse Nutarak, Pond Inlet

Josie Enuaraq, Clyde River
 Madeline Redfern, Mayor, City of Igaluit

Sharon Ehaloak, Executive Director, Nunavut Planning Commission
 Dionne Filliatrault, Executive Director, Nunavut Water Board

%⊳ ለሷናጋ% Resolute Bay

ጎ ምጋላ[®]

Sanikiluag

Attachments:

Appendix A: Terms of Reference, QIA Mary River Project Review Committee

Appendix B: QIA Review Committees, Meeting Record List

በበጌቴትበሚ 1340. ልቴጋልና አወል ዕዘዕ • Իቴሬኮር (867) 979-5391 • ላየቴፕፕዮንሪና 1 800-667-2742 • ራቴንሪና (867) 979-3238 • ኤሌኦትሪና qikiqtani.nu.ca P.O. Box 1340, Iqaluit, Nunavut, XOA ዕዘዕ • Phone: (867) 979-5391 • Toll Free 1 800-667-2742 • Fax: (867) 979-3238 • Website: qikiqtani.nu.ca

Appendix A: Terms of Reference, QIA Mary River Project Review Committees

1. Introduction

The Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) is a Designated Inuit Association (DIO) under the NLCA. As a DIO, QIA is responsible for representing Inuit rights and values within the Qikiqtani region. For Major Development Projects as defined in the NLCA, QIA is the DIO responsible for supporting Inuit representation in the project reviews led by the Institutions of Public Government (IPGS) and for negotiating an Inuit Impact Benefit Agreement (IIBA). The Mary River Project now being developed by Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation has been identified as a Major Development Project

QIA is creating Mary River Project Committees in Hall Beach, Igloolik, Arctic Bay, Pond Inlet, Clyde River, Kimmirut and Cape Dorset. The committees will help to ensure that the communities affected by the Mary River Project are informed and engaged in the decisions that will need to be made about the Project.

2. Purpose

The Mary River Project will transform the Baffin Region. It will be multi-generational and very likely continue well beyond the mine life stated by Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation in its Environmental Impact Statement. To create benefits for Inuit, their families, communities, and the region as a whole QIA and the communities must understand the scope and scale of the Project. They will also need to actively engage with the Company, regulatory bodies, and all levels of Government. It will also be important for Baffin Inuit to understand the technical aspects of the Project and all potential environmental impacts.

QIA needs to be confident that positions and directions taken respond to and are supported by the communities. At the same time, the communities need up-to-date information and to feel that their views, knowledge and visions for the future are supported and promoted by QIA.

3. Responsibilities

The primary responsibility of the Mary River Project Committees will be to act as a communication link within communities and between QIA and communities near the Mary River Project, during the environmental review and regulatory process led by the IPGs and to provide input and review of the IIBA as it is being negotiated.

The environmental assessment and regulatory review will focus on the details related to how the project is planned and the conditions under which it will operate, including how the project will avoid impacts and maximize benefits to the greatest degree possible. The IIBA will focus on the specific benefits Inuit will receive from the project. It is very important that these two processes operate to complement each other.

The Committees and their members will be required to meet regularly, openly discuss matters in their community within the timelines established by the IPGs and IIBA process. They will receive, review and provide information to the communities and develop advice and recommendations on behalf of the communities and provide this to QIA on a timely basis.

4. Responsibilities

4.1 QIA Support

The Mary River Project Committees can only operate effectively with substantive and consistent support from QIA. QIA will be required to provide the Committees with information concerning the Project as it becomes available in a form that can be readily used. QIA will also be responsible for providing timely information on IPG schedules and matters about which information is needed and decisions required. It is important to note here that these Committees do not replace the CLARCs who will continue to be responsible for lands administration and related matters.

QIA will provide the full support of QIA and its technical teams. This includes the QIA Executive and Board, QIA Management and staff, project coordinators, wildlife biologists, socio-economic specialists, engineers, financial expertise, lawyers, negotiators and translators.

4.2 QIA Mary River Project Coordinator

In order to support the activities of the Committees, QIA will hire a Mary River Project Committee Coordinator ('the Coordinator'). The Coordinator will:

- Assist in the establishment of the Mary River Project Committee in each community;
- Act as the main point of contact between QIA and communities for all matters related to the regulatory review and IIBA negotiations;
- Attend all community Committee meetings either in person or by phone;
- Record all Committee discussions and recommendations of Committee meetings; and
- Work with CLOs in each community to ensure that all records of Committee discussions and background materials are available to any community member who requests a copy.

4.3 Community Liaison Officers

QIA's Community Liaison Officers (CLOs) will also support the Committees. The CLOs will work with the Coordinator to organize meetings including making sure each Committee member is aware of a meeting and has access to meeting materials. The CLO will also make certain that a meeting place is booked and all meeting arrangements have been made. The CLO will also be responsible for organizing payments of honoraria, and if the Coordinator is not available, will be responsible for taking minutes of Committee meetings.

The CLO in each community will also ensure that the Committee's work, discussions and background materials will be shared freely with any community member who requests a copy of committee records and background materials.

In the event both the Coordinator and the CLO cannot take minutes, the Committee should select a community member capable of taking notes and providing them to QIA in a timely fashion.

5. Committee Membership

In each community the Committee will be comprised of a maximum of six individuals. Membership on the Committee will be restricted so that the Committee can function efficiently.

Membership will include:

• One representative appointed by the local HTO

- One representative appointed by the local Hamlet Council
- QIA Community Director, or designate CLARC member as appointed by the CLARC
- Three Members at Large from the community.
- QIA-appointed community representative for IIBA negotiations where applicable

The Coordinator (or a QIA designate) will attend Committee meetings, usually via telephone, in a secretariat capacity.

6. Committee Selection

QIA will request HTOs, Hamlet Councils, and communities to expeditiously appoint members to the community Mary River Project Committee. The Coordinator will work with QIA and community bodies to establish community Committees

The Coordinator will work with the CLO and the Community Director to confirm the appointment of committee members from each HTO and Hamlet.

Members at Large will be nominated from within each community. It is recommended that each community consider the following groups when nominating Members at Large: women's representative, youth representative, elder's representative and active hunters. The Coordinator, CLO and Community Director will have the responsibility to choose among candidates.

Committee members appointed by the HTO, Hamlet Council, or CLARC do not necessarily need to be HTO Board members, Hamlet Councillors, or CLARC members. Committee participants should be chosen by those bodies based on a) their interest in doing the work of the Committee, as described in Section 3, and b) experience and capability to competently serve on the Committee.

7. Compensation

Committee members will receive compensation on the following basis for all work requested through the QIA Coordinator. For meetings, members will be paid \$125.00 per meeting or \$250.00 per day for full meeting days. A full day payment will be made for meetings that last for more than 3 hours, or, if there is more than one meeting in a day.

The QIA Coordinator will work with the CLOs to ensure recording of time spent and requests for payment. Payment will be made only once meeting minutes are received by QIA. Payments will be issued by mail or upon request sent to the CLOs for distribution.

Compensation for Committee members will be within a budget to be established annually by QIA for each Committee.

8. Multi-Community Meetings

At key points in the review and IIBA processes, QIA will require that representatives from the Committees meet together, for example in preparation for final presentations at the NIRB public hearings or for a review of the IIBA prior to finalization.

In these instances, each of the Committees will select two members to attend. QIA will be responsible for organizing any regional meetings.

9. Public Communication

Working on behalf of QIA, the Coordinator, the CLO, and the Community Director for a given community will ensure that the content of any significant discussions and all recommendations of either the local or regional Committees are communicated to each community.

QIA will provide communities with Project updates in the form of the President's Report, QIA Newsletter as these are available, QIA website and community radio. Further, the Coordinator, or the Community Director will provide communities with Project-related updates from QIA at least quarterly, and more frequently during periods of concentrated activity or when there is an urgent need to communicate with communities.

10. Committee Term

The Committees will operate until a) the environmental review process is complete and b) an IIBA has been successfully negotiated. `

Should the project become delayed for an extended period for whatever reason, it may be necessary to suspend the work of the Committees.

11. Committee Funding

QIA, in consultation with the Coordinator, CLO, and local Committee, will establish an annual budget for the Committee.

Committee expenditures, including meeting costs, honoraria, and travel to inter-community meetings, will be guided by the budget. Any expenditures not explicitly in the budget will require approval from QIA in advance.

Appendix B: QIA Review Committees, Meeting Record List

Igloolik: Review of Terms of Reference, Selection of Committee Chair May 5, 2011 May 6th, 2011 Port Site Alternatives Meeting, HTO and BIMC May 7th, 2011 Committee Initiation and Port Site Alternatives Meeting May 27th, 2011 Port Site Alternatives Meeting, BIMC June 17th, 2011 Conference Call to Arrange Community Visit, BIMC July 4th, 2011, Site Tour Preparation, Initial Review Questions, Port Site Alternatives July 5th, 2011, Port Site Alternatives Meeting, attended by members from Hall Beach Mary River **Project Committee and BIMC** July 11th, 2011 Mary River Project Site Tour July 14th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, members from Hall Beach Mary River Project Committee (facilitated by QIA's technical team) July 15th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, members from Hall Beach Mary River Project Committee (facilitated by QIA's technical team) July 16th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, members from Hall Beach Mary River Project Committee (facilitated by QIA's technical team)

Pond Inlet:

May 2nd, 2011 Selection of Members at Large

May 30th, 2011 Review of Terms of Reference, Selection of Committee Chair

June 23rd, 2011 Selection of Members for QIA Workshop, Technical Review Preparations

July 8th, 2011 Mary River Project Site Tour

July 13th, 2011 Technical Review: Caribou (facilitated by QIA's technical team)

July 14th, 2011 Technical Review: Caribou (facilitated by QIA's technical team)

Clyde River:

June 10th, 2011 Review of Terms of Reference

June 13th, 2011 Selection of Chair

July 11^{th,} 2011 Mary River Project Site Tour (postponed due to weather)

Hall Beach:

May 31st, 2011 Review of Terms of Reference, Selection of Committee Chair

June 1 4th, 2011 Review of QIA Workshop Invitation, and, Steensby Inlet Port Site

July 4th, 2011, Site Tour Preparation, Igloolik Committee Concerns, Igloolik Trip Preparations

July 5th, 2011, Port Site Alternatives Meeting, BIMC. Note: meeting held in Igloolik with Hall Beach committee members travelling.

July 14th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, (facilitated by QIA's technical team). Note: meeting held in Igloolik with select Hall Beach committee members travelling.

July 15th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, (facilitated by QIA's technical team). Note: meeting held in Igloolik with select Hall Beach committee members travelling.

July 16th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, (facilitated by QIA's technical team). Note: meeting held in Igloolik with select Hall Beach committee members travelling.

Kimmirut:

June 16th, 2011 Review of Terms of Reference, Selection of Committee Chair

Arctic Bay:

June 29th, 2011 Review of Terms of Reference, Selection of Committee Chair

July 9th, 2011 Mary River Project Site Tour

Cape Dorset:

July 14th, 2011 Review of Terms of Reference, Selection of Committee Chair

July 15th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, (facilitated by QIA's technical team)

July 16th, 2011 Technical Review: Marine Mammals, (facilitated by QIA's technical team)