

File: 2AM-MRY1325

December 6, 2022

Lou Kamermans Senior Director, Sustainable Development Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation Suite 300 – 2275 Upper Middle Road East Oakville, Ontario, Canada L6H 0C3

Email: lou.kamermans@baffinland.com

RE: Licence No: 2AM-MRY1325 Type "A"; Mary River Project, Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation; 2021 Annual Report Review

Dear Lou Kamermans:

The Nunavut Water Board (NWB or Board) has completed the technical review of the 2021 Annual Report (Report) for Type "A" Water Licence 2AM-MRY1325 (Licence). The Report was received on March 31, 2022 from Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation (Baffinland or Licensee), submitted as a requirement under Part B of the Licence.

Copies of all documents received during Report review can be accessed through the NWB's Public Registry and FTP site using the following links:

ftp://ftp.nwb-oen.ca/registry/2 MINING MILLING/2A/2AM - Mining/2AM-MRY1325 BIMC/3 TECH/1 GENERAL (B)/2 ANNUAL RPT/2021/

On April 4, 2022, the NWB distributed the Report for public review with the deadline set for June 4, 2022. On May 10, 2022, the NWB extended the deadline for submission of the comments to June 20, 2022 at the request from the Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA). On June 17, 2022, Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs (CIRNA) requested to extend the deadline further to July 22, 2022, which the Board satisfied.

On June 20, 2022, the Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) and Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) provided their feedback regarding the Report. On July 18, 2022, CIRNA submitted its comments.

The table below provides a brief summary of issues found outstanding during the Report review period. Only the issues pertaining to the NWB's mandate and the scope of the Licence were

<u>included in the table</u>. The table also provides deadlines for the Licensee to respond to respective recommendations and concerns. For the intervenors' discussion of these items, please refer to the comment submissions referenced above.

No.	Intervener Recommendation / Concern	Deadline to Respond by and Notes
Oikigtani Ir	nuit Association (QIA)	11000
GC#6	Update the reference to "Photo 13" in Appendix E.8.2	March 31, 2023
GC#7	Provide the memo on the evaluation of contaminate	March 31, 2023 (see ECCC's
	mobility in the substrate adjacent to the landfill as	comment 5)
	committed to ECCC.	
GC#8	Include the method detection limit for total ammonia	March 31, 2023
	and un-ionized ammonia concentrations for	
	submissions for: • MS-06 collected on 2021/06/01, 2021/06/15,	
	2021/07/08, 2021/08/08, 2021/09/10,	
	2021/08/04, and 2021/09/01	
	• MS-07 on 2021/07/08 and 2021/08/17	
	• MS-08 on 2021/06/23, 2021/07/08,	
	2021/08/03 and 2021/08/08	
SPL#2	Provide the list of measures limiting procedural errors	March 31, 2023
	that lead to spills.	
SPL#3	Clarify the status of the Long-Term Water	March 31, 2023
	Management Plan (LTWMP) in an addendum to the 2021 Annual Report that also provides a summary of	
	what the LTWMP includes and identifies where it can	
	be accessed.	
SPL#4	Confirm what steps Baffinland plans to include in	March 31, 2023
	design and mitigation of water retention structures	,
	given the number that have had seepage events.	
WU#1	What actions aside from using water as a dust	March 31, 2023
	suppressant can be taken to reduce the potential for	
	water usage exceedances, given the ongoing concerns	
	with dust? Clarify how the proposed signage will limit exceedances in comparison to the signage and logs	
	established in 2021.	
WU#3	Clarify what triggers will be used to determine when	March 31, 2023
	dredging of the pond is required to ensure the design	,
	capacity is maintained.	
WU#4	It is recommended in Appendix E.9.3 that Baffinland	March 31, 2023
	commit to the recommendation to improve	
WO#1	hydrometric monitoring.	March 21, 2022
WQ#1	QIA requests Baffinland provide, as an addendum to the 2021 Annual Report, a summary of bacterial	March 31, 2023
	counts measured in the Milne Port PWSP to inform	
	decisions on whether to discharge effluent.	
WQ#2	It is recommended that Baffinland note the exceedance	March 31, 2023
	for total lead in the stormwater discharge on July 1,	
	2021 and compare it to other results from 2021 and	
	2022.	
	Baffinland is also requested to identify whether it	
	followed up with the laboratory requesting a reanalysis	
	of the sample.	

WQ#3	Provide an explanation for how the data and sampling	March 31, 2023
	regime as described in Tables 5.3 and 7.2.6	
	respectively were used to determine that the water	
	from station MP-04A was compliant with applicable	
	discharge criteria.	
WQ#4	Baffinland to clarify what mitigation measures were	March 31, 2023
	implemented to manage the Total Suspended Solids	
	(TSS) exceedance noted at MS-MRY-09 on June 10,	
	2021.	
	Further, it is recommended that Baffinland identify	
	what mitigations are being pursued or implemented to	
	avoid future TSS exceedances from Deposit No. 1	
777.0 11.5	during freshet in the coming years.	
WQ#5	QIA recommends that Baffinland sample	March 31, 2023
	representative road sediment to assess the potential	
	risk to fish in Tote Road streams from chemicals	
	released by rubber particulates worn from the tires of	
WQ#6	vehicles traveling the Tote Road. QIA requests an update on the success of the new	March 31, 2023
W Q#6	snow stockpile sampling locations to enable collection	Watch 31, 2023
	of water quality samples that are representative of	
	snow stockpile runoff to downstream receiving water	
	systems.	
WQ#7	When discussing TSS exceedances, the QIA	March 31, 2023
	recommends that Baffinland describe the length of	
	time TSS concentrations were elevated and the size of	
	the TSS plume to provide reviewers with additional	
	context to evaluate potential impacts to the aquatic	
	environment. This may be achieved by referencing the	
	spill reports and associated follow up reports as	
	appropriate. In addition, it is recommended the	
	Baffinland describe preventative efforts being	
	implemented at locations where repeat exceedances	
MIO IIO	have been observed.	N. 1.21.2022
WQ#8	It is recommended that Baffinland confirm that snow	March 31, 2023
	runoff exceeding TSS criteria is not reaching fish bearing habitat.	
	Please add a characterization of the extent of runoff	
	(i.e., how close it gets to fish bearing habitat) to freshet	
	monitoring and reporting requirements and discuss as	
	part of annual reports moving forward.	
WQ#10	It is recommended that Baffinland review their Freshet	March 31, 2023
	Action Plan in their Surface Water and Aquatic	ĺ
	Ecosystems Management Plan and take more	
	preventative measures to reduce the number of	
	exceedances of TSS during freshet.	
WQ#11	It is recommended that if Baffinland is not able to	March 31, 2023
	complete monitoring or testing requirements as laid	
	out in their Type A water licence that they provide an	
	explanation as to why these monitoring requirements	
	were not met and outline specific corrective actions	
	that have been taken to prevent future noncompliance	
W/O#14	with licence requirements.	Manual 21, 2022
WQ#14	The Freshet Monitoring Report should also include a	March 31, 2023

	discussion of the spot monitoring that is detailed in the	
	Environmental Protection Plan that is conducted.	
	Results should be provided in the Freshet Monitoring	
	Report.	
WQ#15	To provide a figure showing the Milne Port Freshet monitoring locations.	March 31, 2023
WQ#16	It would be beneficial to the reader if a summary of the	March 31, 2023
	monitoring program findings was provided as part of	
	this report. If freshet monitoring is conducted, then it	
	should be included in the freshet monitoring report.	
WQ#17	Column 2 heading in Table 1 of Appendix E.11 should	Generally
	read Maximum Monthly Average Concentration	•
	(mg/L).	
WQ#18	Confirm if the long-term surface water management	March 31, 2023
	plan was completed prior to the 2022 freshet and what	ŕ
	the long-term surface water management plan	
	involved.	
GI#1	The QIA requests an update on Baffinland's plan to	March 31, 2023
	design and reconstruct the P-SWD-3 ditch and the	
	timeline for this to be completed.	
	The QIA requests specifics on how Baffinland will	
	develop a more frequent maintenance program to limit	
	eroded sides of ditches.	
GI#2	The QIA requests confirmation on what work on	March 31, 2023
	culverts was completed in between the two	
	geotechnical inspections that led to a change in	
	recommendation considering the need of a Tote Road	
	Adjustment Notice for certain adjustments.	
FH#1	The QIA requests Baffinland clarify:	March 31, 2023
	1) Whether any action was taken to mitigate	
	perched culverts in 2021 and, if not, whether it	
	will be taken early enough in 2022 to enable	
	upstream fish passage;	
	2) How many of the streams did not allow	
	upstream fish passage in 2021; and	
	3) When the plans to complete permanent	
	corrective actions at the culvert crossings will	
EII//2	be released and implemented.	Marris 21, 2022
FH#2	The QIA requests Baffinland provide the report on the	March 31, 2023
AEMP#1	electrofishing and hoopnetting program. It is recommended that Baffinland re-evaluate their	March 21, 2022
ACMP#1	current reference locations for both lentic and lotic	March 31, 2023
	programs and determine if they are influenced from the	
	impacts of mine related fugitive dust.	
AEMP#2	Describe what new and additional measures will be	March 31, 2023
1 11.1111 π2	taken to reduce dust production from crushing and	17161011 31, 2023
	screening operations that are planned for the Milne	
	Port.	
AEMP#3	Provide an update on the status of the Initial Stream	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Diversion Monitoring Program including whether any	
	results have been generated to date and through what	
	methodology. If results are available, QIA requests an	
	interim data report.	
L	•	i

A EN (D//A	TT 1 4 41 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	M 1 21 2022
AEMP#4	Update the key issues associated with dustfall to	March 31, 2023
	include metal parameters of concern such as iron,	
. =======	aluminum, copper, lead and zinc.	
AEMP#5	Update note 5 under table 3.2 in Appendix E.5.3 to	March 31, 2023
. = 2 = 2 = 2	reflect the most up to date information available.	
AEMP#6	Evaluate how the benchmark reflects both baseline and	March 31, 2023
	reference lake data, the QIA requests that Baffinland	
	include 97.5 th percentile concentrations for the	
	reference lake in Table 3.3 in Appendix E.5.3.	
AEMP#7	Provide clarification regarding the fish ageing study, is	March 31, 2023
	this completed for the Core Receiving Environment	
	Monitoring Program (CREMP) or Environmental	
	Effects Monitoring (EEM) study? What determines if	
	Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) for fish	
	aging is required for CREMP?	
AEMP#8	Include alterations to water quantity as a key pathway	March 31, 2023
	that could affect phytoplankton and include	
	consideration thereof in the evaluation of results in	
	future reporting cycles.	
AEMP#9	Complete trend analysis for all water and sediment	March 31, 2023
	quality parameters on an annual basis for all mine	
	impacted stations, as well as reference stations.	
	Comparisons between trends identified at mine	
	impacted and reference stations would provide further	
	evidence if the trends observed were from the mine or	
	a regional change.	
CREMP#1	Provide a reference for the employed methodology for	March 31, 2023
	sampling sediment with a stainless-steel spoon and	
	include a discussion on the appropriateness of this	
	method of sediment collection where the primary	
	contaminants of concern are metals. Further,	
	Baffinland should provide a detailed sediment	
	collection methodology including the final quantity of	
	sediment collected for analysis.	
CREMP#2	Clarify if Stations CLT-REF4 and MRY-REF2 are part	March 31, 2023
	of the CREMP. If so, please provide rationale for why	
	the stations were not sampled as part of the 2021	
	annual monitoring program.	
	A table outlining sampling stations and identifying	
	what study or studies they are part of (i.e., EEM,	
	Targeted studies, Annual monitoring) would be helpful	
	to the reader	
CREMP#3	1) Where benthic invertebrate sampling stations	March 31, 2023
	were not the same in 2021 compared to	
	previous years, were these changes noted in	
	the report and reasons why locations were	
	moved or adjusted provided?	
	2) Were the new stations similar in habitat and	
	therefore comparisons are appropriate?	
	3) Will these be the new stations moving	
	forward?	
CREMP#4	On PDF p. 3315, Baffinland states that a non-lethal	March 31, 2023
	survey was completed for the 2021 annual monitoring,	·
	however there is mention of 10% of the targeted	
1	8	

		T
	number of Arctic Char captured that were sacrificed for collection of age structures. Please confirm whether this part of the EEM study or the 2021 annual monitoring program. If part of the 2021 annual monitoring, this would be considered a lethal survey. Requirements for a non-lethal survey are provided in Table 3-3 of the Environment Canada Metal Mining Technical	
	Guidance for Environmental Effects Monitoring.	
	Please provide clarification or further details on why	
	10% of the targeted number of Arctic Char captured	
	that were sacrificed for collection of age structures.	
CREMP#5	The QIA recommends that Baffinland evaluate chemical, physical, and biological monitoring data collectively as part of a Low Action Response to help determine the potential cause of the change in phytoplankton and if it is mine related.	March 31, 2023
CREMP#6	Clarify what 'Level 2' refers to in Figure 2.6 of	March 31, 2023
	Appendix E.9.1	1.1.1.011.011, 2020
CREMP#7	The note under Table 3.2 of Appendix E.9.1 is either not finished or was cut off, please provide full details of note in relation to Table 3.2	March 31, 2023
CREMP#8	What studies or analysis were completed to determine that the aqueous iron concentrations were not biologically available?	March 31, 2023
CREMP#9	As outlined in Figure 2.6 of the Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plan (AEMP) and Table 5.1 Trigger Action Response Plans for Aquatic Effects Monitoring, an exceedance of an AEMP benchmark that is related to the mine requires a moderate action response. It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with their management response commitments and discuss potential mitigations, complete a trend analysis to determine if implementation of the mitigations plans is necessary and develop a High Action response threshold.	March 31, 2023
CREMP#10	Clarify the total number of fish species captured in Camp Lake. Based on Appendix E.9.1, only one species, Arctic char, was captured in Camp Lake and not two. If additional species were found in Camp Lake, please provide the associated reporting for those species.	March 31, 2023
CREMP#11	Baffinland provides no further discussion as to why YOY were not captured in Camp Lake. Is this the first time YOY have not been captured in Camp Lake? Ninespine Stickleback were not captured in Camp Lake during the 2021 program. Were there issues with the methodology for capturing small-bodied fish?	March 31, 2023
CREMP#12	Larger, healthier fish were also noted at Sheardown Lake and Mary Lake in comparison to the Reference Lake 3. Please provide a discussion for the high productivity observed in the three mine exposure lakes compared to the reference lake. Are Arctic char from all study lakes including the Reference Lake	March 31, 2023

CREMP#13 Exceedances of iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus all took place at JLO-14. While exceedances of iron, manganese and phosphorus took place at JLO-17. Since these exceedances are not being further investigated, as sediment data in lakes is combined and averaged, a greater understanding on these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 CREMP#15 CREMP#16 CREMP#16 CREMP#16 CONFIRM in investigations were conducted to determine it a spot and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 COnfirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Gremp#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establis		anadromous or are they landlocked?	
phosphorus all took place at JLO-14. While exceedances of iron, manganese and phosphorus took place at JLO-17. Since these exceedances are not being further investigated, as sediment data in lakes is combined and averaged, a greater understanding on these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation ther	CREMP#13		March 31, 2023
exceedances of iron, manganese and phosphorus took place at JLO-17. Since these exceedances are not being further investigated, as sediment data in lakes is combined and averaged, a greater understanding on these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP assenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 CREMP#17 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface	CICEWIT#13		14141011 31, 2023
place at JLO-17. Since these exceedances are not being further investigated, as sediment data in lakes is combined and averaged, a greater understanding on these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissoslved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation outlined above a			
further investigated, as sediment data in lakes is combined and averaged, a greater understanding on these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Given the increasing			
combined and averaged, a greater understanding on these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation hereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both sur			
these two sites in Camp Lake would help the reviewer determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Green the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved duranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baf		-	
determine if exceedances were natural or mine related. QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP areanic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation hereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Gremp#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
QIA requests a description of the attributes at these two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. Green the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
two stations that may cause the increase in metals concentrations that are not observed elsewhere. CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland föllow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP as phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrates sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
CREMP#14 It is recommended that Baffinland follow through with the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
the recommendations outlined in the 2021 CREMP to harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CDEMD#14		March 21, 2022
harmonize lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 CREMP#15 CREMP#15 CREMP#16 CREMP#17 CREMP#16 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#10 CREMP#19 CREMP#10 CREMP#19 CREMP#10 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#10 CREMP#19 CREMP#10 CREMP#10 CREMP#10 CREMP#110 CR	CKEWIF#14		March 31, 2023
invertebrate monitoring stations. As noted in the 2021 CREMP arsenic, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 CREMP#16 CREMP#17 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
CREMP#15 CREMP#16 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#17 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#18 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#19 CREMP#10 CREMP#			
phosphorus concentrations in sediment were above respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 CREMP#16 CREMP#17 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
respective benchmarks at individual stations. Biota sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
sampling must be implemented at sediment monitoring stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
stations to evaluate if metal concentrations in sediment have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP		-	
have had a deleterious effect on local biota. CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
CREMP#15 Provide further details on how the conclusion that metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
metals are not biologically available was made. Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CDEMP#15		Manual 21, 2022
Was the benthic invertebrate data reviewed in association with the water quality data – dissolved vs total metals – to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CREMP#15		March 31, 2023
association with the water quality data — dissolved vs total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
total metals — to determine what was biologically available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
available and look at the metal burden on the biota including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
including phytoplankton and benthic invertebrates? Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP		- ·	
Please provide other lines of evidence to substantiate the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
the conclusion that the metals were not biologically available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
available. CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
CREMP#16 Confirm if investigations were conducted to determine if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
if a physical habitat alteration related to sedimentation had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CDEL (DUI)		
had occurred, what the reasoning was and if it was related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CREMP#16		March 31, 2023
related to mining activities. CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP		^ *	
CREMP#17 Confirm if stream velocities were collected at each of the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP		,	
the lotic benthic invertebrate sampling stations. CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CD EL COULT		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
CREMP#18 Confirm if any follow up investigations were completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CREMP#17		March 31, 2023
completed to identify the source of sedimentation thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
thereby substantiating the conclusion that the observed sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CREMP#18		March 31, 2023
sedimentation was not mine related. CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
CREMP#19 The QIA recommends that Baffinland commit to the recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
recommendation outlined above and continue collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
collecting water quality data for SDLT12. CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CREMP#19		March 31, 2023
CREMP#20 Given the increasing trend in sulphate and dissolved uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
uranium in both surface and groundwater, it is recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP			
recommended that Baffinland establish AEMP	CREMP#20		March 31, 2023
hamalmonta for these mars waters			
		benchmarks for these parameters.	
It is also recommended that Baffinland follow through			
with a moderate action response since parameter			
concentrations are increasing and the connection to			
mining activity cannot be ruled out at this point:			
Complete risk assessment/weight of evidence		• Complete risk assessment/weight of evidence	

	 evaluation; Evaluate need for increased monitoring and, if needed, clarify what the increased monitoring would entail; Define potential mitigation options if trend analysis suggests concentrations are continuing to increase (so complete trend analysis), and Develop High Action response threshold for the next annual report. 	
CREMP#21	It is recommended that Baffinland discuss the potential source of elevated manganese, molybdenum, nitrate, sulphate, and uranium such as, effluent, landfill influenced shallow groundwater, fugitive dust or mine infrastructure. This discussion will support a determination of whether the mine is a potential source and what potential mitigation measures may be appropriate.	March 31, 2023
CREMP#22	The QIA recommends that monitoring for nitrates and their impacts to aquatic biota continue at CLT1 in 2022 (and future CREMP studies) and measures be considered to reduce nitrate concentrations in the water management site and treatment facility discharges.	March 31, 2023
CREMP#23	It is recommended that Baffinland maintain the current lake-specific sediment AEMP benchmarks for chromium, iron, manganese, and nickel for Sheardown Lake SE as they already take naturally high concentrations of these metals into consideration as they are calculated with baseline data. If benchmarks were to be adapted to include Reference Lake 3 data a BACI analysis would need to be completed to determine if there has been a shift in refence site conditions and Baffinland would need to prove that the shift was not due to fugitive dust from the mine.	March 31, 2023
CREMP#24	Confirm that harmonizing lake sediment quality and benthic invertebrate community monitoring stations will be implemented in the 2022 monitoring program.	March 31, 2023
LSMP#1	Considering that the Project area experiences a short ice-free season and that low availability of organic material results in slow annual sediment accumulation rates, how does the anthropogenic factor affect the rate of sedimentation in comparison to natural sedimentation? How are natural rates of lake sedimentation accounted for in the study area? Please provide rationale for why a control/reference lake was not included in the study.	March 31, 2023
LSMP#2	Confirm if any studies were completed to compare the old methodology to the new methodology – that in 2018 samples were collected using the old methodology and the new methodology. This would demonstrate whether the data collected pre-2018 is comparable with data collected using the new method.	March 31, 2023

LSMP#3	Provide details for why open water data was not collected at SL SHAL1 in 2020.	March 31, 2023
LSMP#4	The closest sampling station is located 150 m from the	March 31, 2023
	SDLT1 inlet – please explain why a site was not	
	located closer to the inlet to quantify the sediment contribution from SDLT1.	
LSMP#5	It is recommended that Baffinland complete a trend	March 31, 2023
	analysis for the lake sedimentation data for Sheardown	
T (2) (D)//(Lake.	
LSMP#6	How would this statistical analysis differ if under-ice data was available?	March 31, 2023
	Was each year at each station compared using	
	ANOVA for temporal comparison?	
LSMP#7	The QIA recommends that exceedance of the moderate	March 31, 2023
	threshold trigger a study by Baffinland to properly	,
	validate Actions Thresholds for the effects of Project-	
T G) (D)(0	generated sediment on Arctic Char egg survival.	26 1 24 222
LSMP#8	The QIA requests that Baffinland describe the	March 31, 2023
	measures it has implemented to prevent sample losses in the future by the Lake Sedimentation Monitoring	
	Program and other research programs.	
LSMP#9	What data is the historical ratio of sediment based on –	March 31, 2023
	is that baseline data or operational data? What is the	, , ,
	annual variability of that data?	
	It is not clear how the ratio for ice cover vs open water	
	sedimentation is being calculated?	
	How reliable is the estimation that has been calculated for the 2020-2021 under ice sedimentation?	
	Please provide rationale for why an estimation was	
	needed and how much confidence is there in that	
	value?	
LSMP#10	Why was sedimentation similar between littoral and	March 31, 2023
	profundal areas in 2021 compared to previous years.	
	What are the potential reasons for this observation e.g.,	
Crown India	equipment issues, location, methodology?	
R-01	genous Relations and Northern Affairs (CIRNA) Implement the approved Mine Site Water Management	Subsequent annual reports
10-01	Plan to limit future sediment laden water discharges to	Subsequent annual reports
	the project area streams.	
R-02	a) Investigate the root cause of water quality	a) March 31, 2023
	exceedances for Total Suspended Solids and	
	total lead.	1) Code on the
	b) Ensure that proper sampling procedures in the	b) Subsequent annual
	field and analytical procedures in the laboratory are being followed to help reduce	reports
	the number of observed exceedances resulting	
	from error.	
R-03	Results of settlement monitoring of the swale at the	March 31, 2023
	KM106 Run of Mine Stockpile and Sedimentation	
	Pond to be provided for review.	
R-04	Include both the Tote Road to Milne Inlet and the	Subsequent geotechnical
	Mine Haul Road from Mary River Mine to the pit in all	inspections
	future bi-annual inspections so as to address all	

	potential erosion, permafrost degradation, slope and	
	structural concerns along these routes.	
R-05	Provide the report that summarizes and interprets the	March 31, 2023
	thermal data collected at the Project in 2021 for	·
	review.	
R-06	a) Integrate the results of individually monitored	March 31, 2023
	but related aquatic monitoring programs under	
	the Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plan (AEMP).	
	b) Include comparisons to impact predictions	
	made in the Final Environmental Impact	
	Statement within the AEMP and other	
	monitoring documents in order to confirm	
	their accuracy, and to aid with the ongoing	
	assessment of environmental conditions and	
R-07	trends at the Project.	March 21 2022
K-0/	a) Provide a copy of the Action Plan that was implemented to address historic borrow	March 31, 2023
	sources along the Tote Road (i.e., not just a list	
	of priorities as provided in Appendix C.4 but a	
	more comprehensive list referencing back to	
	the original Table 1 of the 2019 Tetra Tech	
	report).	
	b) Provide an update of the actions, including the	
	items completed and dates for when this work	
	was completed with references provided to	
	how the rehabilitation work was inspected to	
	confirm completeness.	
	c) Provide an overall action plan for all disturbed	
	areas (including any new areas identified as	
	part of Baffinland inspections of the respective	
	roadways since the time of the 2019 Tetra	
	Tech inspection) identified at the existing and	
	historic borrow areas along Tote Road and for	
D 00	the Mine Haul Road.	M 1 21 2022
R-08	a) Provide operational details and figures on	March 31, 2023
	waste rock placement (e.g. how and where, etc.) to allow for comparison of actual	
	activities verses requirements of the Waste	
	Rock Management Plan.	
	b) Provide cumulative information on waste rock	
	stored to date.	
	c) Provide additional supporting information for	
	Baffinland's conclusion that 17% of seepage	
	samples from the WRF being acidic is not an	
	issue for concern.	
R-09	Develop a register for tracking inspection findings,	Subsequent annual reports
	recommendations and final disposition / actions on a	_
	category and site feature basis to allow for easier	
	tracking of issues, concerns and resolution actions.	
	t and Climate Change Canada (ECCC)	
1	Identify the conditions which will trigger geotechnical	Subsequent annual reports
	and/or hydrogeological investigations. Subsequent	
	annual reports to include a section explicitly reporting	
	thermal investigation results and any updates to the	

	waste rock management approach for closure.	
2	Confirm whether installation of the monitoring wells is	March 31, 2023
2	proceeding.	Widien 31, 2023
3	Clarify whether MS-LF-GW-REF1 (2021) is a reference sample or should be designated MS-LF-GW1, noting that the location corresponds exactly to MS-LF-GW1-18, which is a down-gradient sample from 2018.	March 31, 2023
	Provide the updated figures which show where the 2021 MSLF-GW-REF1 and MS-LF-GW1 sites are located.	
4	Assess the potential for impacts on Sheardown Lake if trends continue to show increasing concentrations of parameters in groundwater. This may include tracking concentrations in Sheardown Lake in 2022 and conducting trend analyses for sulphate and dissolved uranium, as well as flagging any increases in water quality parameters that may be correlated to groundwater inputs. ECCC recommends using lower detection limits to analyse silver in groundwater (i.e. below guidelines levels of 0.00025 mg/L).	March 31, 2023
5	In Appendix E.14, Baffinland states: "Baffinland has retained a consultant to review existing groundwater data and prepare a memo to evaluate contaminate mobility in the substrate adjacent to the landfill. The analysis is ongoing and Baffinland will submit this memo to the NWB and ECCC by April 30, 2022." ECCC has not seen this memo yet, and looks forward to reviewing the analysis.	March 31, 2023
6	Update Tables 3.1 and 3.2 of the <i>Aquatic Effects Monitoring Plan</i> dated March 2022 to include baseline data for the 3 sites. Notes under both tables should be updated and corrected for clarity. A rationale or discussion should be provided on the change to Cr3 from Cr6 and use of the associated less conservative benchmark. Abbreviations should be defined for Table 3.3.	March 31, 2023
7	Provide the initial stack test results of new incinerator at the 380-person camp at Milne Port and details on why the new incinerator unit did not meet emission standards.	March 31, 2023
8	Since recent incinerator stack tests appear to exceed the CWS, ECCC recommends Baffinland: a) Provide results from all incinerator stack tests that have been conducted on the Mine Site and Milne Port incinerators. b) Provide rationale for any stack test results that exceed the CWS, as well as actions that can be taken to minimize the likelihood of future exceedances. c) Update the Waste Management Plan to require annual stack testing for dioxins and furans, and	March 31, 2023

Licence No: 2AM-MRY1325 2021 Annual Report Review

mercury for all incinerator units incinerating more than 26 tonnes of waste per year,	
consistent with the CWS for dioxins and furans, and mercury.	

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at <u>assol.kubeisinova@nwb-oen.ca</u> or (867) 360 6338 (ext. 31).

Best regards,

Assol Kubeisinova Nunavut Water Board Technical Advisor

Enclosure: Comments – QIA, CIRNA, ECCC

Cc: Distribution List – Mary River