

Sean Joseph Nunavut Water Board P.O. Box 199, Gjoa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Mr. Joseph,

Re: QIA and BIMC Joint Submission, Status of the Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan, 2AM-MRY1325

The Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) and Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation's (BIMC) provide this joint submission hereby notifying the NWB Nunavut Water Board (NWB) that on March 14, 2016 QIA issued BIMC continued conditional approval of the Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan (ICRP).

QIA's determination of conditional approval is a prerequisite for BIMC's submitting of an updated ICRP to the NWB, which must occur by March 31st, 2016 as part of their Annual Report. QIA's comment and approval of the ICRP is completed in accordance with Section 12.1, of Commercial Production Lease (CPL) Q13C301 which states the ICRP "require[s] the approval of the Landlord acting reasonably prior to submission of such plans to Governmental Authorities".

As part of QIA's conditional approval, updated review comments have been presented to BIMC which provide additional direction to BIMC on how to achieve complete approval from QIA¹ within the agreed upon timelines.

Also attached is BIMC's planned "Path Forward" document (Attachment A), which outlines the committed next steps by BIMC to address all QIA's review comments to date, and associated timelines to complete specific phases of the work.

The key deliverable milestones BIMC has committed to as terms of QIA's conditional approval, include:

- BIMC submission of "Priority 2 Revisions" by May 31st, 2016 for technical review by QIA;
- BIMC submission of an updated ICRP draft document (all sections and appendices) by July 26th,
 2016 for technical review by QIA. This submission will include:
 - "Priority 3 Revisions";
 - Any corrections required for "Priority 1 and 2 Revisions", as determined by the completed QIA reviews; and,
- BIMC submission of a completed ICRP Revision 4 document by October 1, 2016, which QIA has confirmed addresses all applicable comments to this point in time.

Additional detail regarding the specific scope of revisions is described in Attachment A.

¹ QIA's March 14th, 2016 letter to BIMC entitled, "Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation's Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan – Conditional Approval".

QIA pledges to advise the NWB if and when there is a change to the status of the ICRP under Q13C301.

Sincerely,

S.W. Bathory

Cl Water

Stephen Williamson Bathory Qikiqtani Inuit Association Director, Major Projects

Erik Madsen

Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation

Vice President, Sustainable Development Health & Safety

Attachment A: BIMC's Updated Path Forward for ICRP Development in 2016 (10 pages)

cc. Jim Millard, Jennifer St. Paul Butler (Baffinland), Adam Grzegorczyk (Hatch), Jamie VanGulck (Arktis Solutions)



Attachment A

BIMC's Updated Path Forward for ICRP Development in 2016

Summary of BIMC's Planned Approach¹

Revisions planned by BIMC to address identified gaps in the ICRP are presented in Table 1.

Actions identified to address gaps are categorised based on the following Priority Levels:

- Priority Level 0 N/A, No further work anticipated.
- Priority Level 1 High Priority: Additional content required to be developed. Identified as a high priority topic by QIA. Completion date of February 19, 2016.
- Priority Level 2 Medium Priority: Additional content required to be developed. Not identified as a high priority topic by QIA. Completion date of May 31, 2016.
- Priority Level 3 Low Priority: No or limited additional content to be developed/minor changes. Not
 identified as a critical topic by QIA. Completion date of July 26, 2016.

Submission of a completed draft, confirmed by QIA to sufficiently all comments to that point in time, will occur by October 1, 2016.

¹ This has been updated from Hatch's initial Path Forward memorandum submitted to BIMC on February 9th, 2016.

Table 1: 2016 Revision Schedule for Mary River ICRP

no									
Anticipated Submission Date ²	July 26, 2016	July 26, 2016		U	υ	υ	U	υ	υ
Priority Level 1	3	ю		0	0	0	0	0	0
Summary of Proposed Revision(s)	Formatting and full grammar review of document required.	Review required to ensure use of plain language and consideration of most recent updates.	1	None. Already deemed complete.	None. Already deemed complete.	None, Already deemed complete.	None. Already deemed complete.	None. Already deemed complete.	None. Already deemed complete.
ICRP Rev 4 [DRAFT] Current Reference	All	Section 1.0	•	Section 2.0	Section 2.2	Section 2.1 Section 2.3	Section 2.3	Table 2-1 Section 16 Appendix B	Section 4 Appendix C
Summary of Guideline Requirement	None.	Provide a plain language summary of the CRP with a level of detail dependant on the stage of the project.	See below.	Describe the purpose and scope of the CRP as it relates to the Boards' requirements, previous versions of the interim CRP, and the expectations of stakeholders.	Provide the closure and reclamation goal.	Describe, list, or show the important internal and external organizational relationships and specific responsibilities (e.g., accountability structure, operations vs. post closure, etc.) that will facilitate and manage the closure and reclamation process	Outline approach to engagement and how Proponent will integrate local community values into closure and reclamation planning, including any strategies for engaging communities in CRP development and implementation.	A detailed summary of all existing and potentially required permits, authorizations, and agreements	Detailed descriptions of predisturbance conditions and the current development status of the project. The amount of information presented for each subsection should be sufficient to understand baseline conditions.
Topic	Formatting	Plain Language Summary	Introduction	Purpose and Scope of the Closure and Reclamation Plan	Goal of the Closure and Reclamation Plan	Closure and Reclamation Planning Team	Engagement	Regulatory Instruments for Closure and Reclamation	Project Environment
INAC Guidelines Reference - Part 2	4	1.0	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	3.0

0.414						
Guidelines Reference	Topic	Summary of Guideline Requirement	DRAFT Current	Summary of Proposed Revision(s)	Priority Level 1	Anticipated Submission Date ²
3.1	Atmospheric Environment	Provide an overview of the regional and local climate setting, temperature, and precipitation statistics and trends based on regional and project-specific climate stations.	Section 4.1	None. Already deemed complete.	0	O
3.2	Physical (Terrestrial) Environment	Provide an overview of the regional and local physiography (e.g., topography and relative relief and drainage basin, surface- and groundwater characteristics), surficial and bedrock geology, extent and distribution of permafrost, geologic hazards and hydrogeology.	Section 4.2/4.3	None. Already deemed complete.	0	o
3.3	Chemical Environment	Provide an overview of regional and local soil and sediment chemistry, surface water quality (i.e., lakes, streams, springs), groundwater quality (i.e. from production and/or monitoring wells), and acid rock drainage (ARD) and metal leaching (ML) potential.	Section 4.1 Section 4.2/4.3 Section 4.4	None. Already deemed complete.	0	O
3.4	Biological Environment	Provide an overview of vegetation (flora), aquatic life, terrestrial wildlife (fauna), avifauna and their respective habitats, and the overall ecosystem(s)	Section 4.4	None. Already deemed complete	0	O
4.0	Project Description	See below.	_		ı	
4.1	Location and Access	Describe regional and local contexts of affected areas	Section 3.2	None. Already deemed complete.	0	O
4.2	Site History	Provide a relevant summary of the history of any ore discovery, exploration, and previous development and operations that have led to the current project	J	Short and high-level description of the history of the site based on FEIS content (include any ownership changes and a synopsis of the application, permitting, and licensing process to date).	ю	July 26, 2016
6.3	Site Geology	Describe major rock types and structure, to the level of detail appropriate to depict the mining resource, extraction methods that were/will be used, and the rationale for footprint and specific target areas.	Section 4.2/4.3	None. Already deemed complete.	0	O

INAC Guidelines Reference - Part 2	Topic	Summary of Guideline Requirement	ICRP Rev 4 [DRAFT] Current Reference	Summary of Proposed Revision(s)	Priority Level ¹	Anticipated Submission Date ²
4.4	Project Summary	Provide the "life of" mine plan through closure and reclamation as well as a brief summary of the various options that the proponent proposed during the environmental assessment. List alt of the project components	Section 3.2.1	Would need to be expanded (from current Section 3.2.1) to include short and high-level summary of the various options that were proposed during the environmental assessment based on FEIS content.	3	July 26, 2016
5.0	Permanent Closure and Reclamation	See below.		1	ı	
5.1	Definition of Permanent Closure and Reclamation	This section should include the following definition of permanent closure: "Permanent closure is the final closure of a mine sile with no foreseeable intent by the existing proponent to return to either active exploration or mining."	Section 9.0	Minor edit to definition required to fully align with Guidelines.	9	July 26, 2016
		Describe details for each individual project component related to:		-	ı	ı
5.2	Permanent Closure and Reclamation Requirements	Project Component Description: Provide a description of each project component, including proposed components and historical components no longer in use.	Section 3.2.1 Table 3-1	Provide a high-level description (1-2 paragraphs each) for: open pit, waste nock stockpile, buildings, equipment, mine infrastructure, transportation routes, landfills and waste disposal areas, and waste management systems. Add updated site overview figures of each of the main areas if available. Update Figure 3-1 with higher resolution image. Append Construction Summary Reports? (TBD based on discussions with BIM)	2	May 31, 2016
		Pre-Disturbance, Existing, and Final Site Conditions: Using maps, photos, photo mosaics, etc. as appropriate, describe (compare and contrast) the pre-development (or pre-disturbance), existing, and projected final site conditions.	Section 12	Would need to expand Section 12 to more explicitly contrast the predevelopment (or pre-disturbance) and projected final site conditions. Would be based on residual effect discussion. High level summary table proposed.	2	May 31, 2016

Summary Closure Ob
closure objectives and closure criteria closure objectives and closure criteria for each project component. Any uncertainties related to closure objectives and criteria must be noted along with a reference to the reclamation research plan associated with each.
Engineering Work Associated with Selected Closure Activity. This section should describe all demolition, construction, or other engineering work that will be necessary to close and reclaim each project component.
Predicted Residual Effects: This section contains an assessment of any potential negative residual effects that may remain after the completion of reclamation.

pated ssion e ²		ارد 19. او 19.	1000	31,
Anticipated Submission Date ²		February 19, 2016	0	May 31, 2016
Priority Level ¹		-	0	2
Summary of Proposed Revision(s)	Add reference to NIRB Annual Review Process to ensure reader understanding that effects are evaluated annually and to date no revisions to the impact predictions are deemed necessary". List studies conducted previously only if they had an impact on closure planning.	List identified uncertainties at this time: Waste Rock Stockpile, Open Pit, and Natural Re-vegetation. Have Reclamation Research Plan, in a format prescribed by Guidelines, to address the uncertainties related to these three (3) items. Since the study of these items is anticipated in the future, for now include a schedule of preliminary tasks and timelines when they will be proposed to be addressed (# of years). It is recognized more research may be identified as part of working group, ongoing monitoring plan results. It is recognized research and monitoring studies will overlap, however ICRP needs to describe what is being done regardless of driver if it relates or has implications on closure planning. Maintain consistency with other mines preliminary research plans when they were at Mary River's Stage (high-level).	None. Aiready deemed complete.	Need to add a short discussion or process on what will happen if it becomes apparent that the selected
ICRP Rev 4 [DRAFT] Current Reference		Section 5.2	Section 13.0	Section 11.2
Summary of Guideline Requirement		Uncertainties: Identify important uncertainties that arise during closure planning and indicate how each uncertainty will be addressed.	Post-Closure Monitoring, Maintenance, and Reporting: provide a description of what (e.g., fugitive dust, stream flow, wildlife and aquatic life movement, etc.) will be monitored and why.	Contingencies: Describe what will happen if it becomes apparent that the selected closure activity will not be
Topic				
INAC Guidelines Reference - Part 2				

Page A.8 of A.10

10.0

9.0

Guidelines Reference

- Part 2

8.0

Page A.9 of A.10

Appendices

11.0

INAC Guidelines Reference - Part 2	Topic	Summary of Guideline Requirement	ICRP Rev 4 [DRAFT] Current Reference	Summary of Proposed Revision(s)	Priority Level ¹	Anticipated Submission Date ²
		C) Record of Engagement		To be added based on FEIS, NIRB/QIA Annual Reports. Will be driven by Mine Closure working group once established.	2	May 31, 2016
		D) Lessons Learned from Other Projects	-	Need to add a short discussion and path forward.	2	May 31, 2016
		E) Reclamation Research Plans		Need to be developed relating to Waste Rock Stockpile, Open Pit, and Natural Re-vegetation as per format prescribed by Guidelines. Since the study of these items is anticipated in the future, for now include a schedule of preliminary tasks and timelines when they will be proposed to be addressed (# of years).	-	February 19, 2016
NOTES:						

Note 1: Priority Levels:

0 - N/A, No further work anticipated

1 - High Priority: Additional content required to be developed. Identified as a high priority topic by QIA.

2 - Medium Priority: Additional content required to be developed. Not identified as a high priority topic by QIA.

3 - Low Priority: No or limited additional content to be developed/minor changes. Not identified as a critical topic by QIA.

Note 2: C = Completed