

BHM Project No. 15-97

BAFFINLAND IRON MINES CORPORATION

ANNUAL GEOTECHNICAL INSPECTIONS

MARY RIVER PROJECT

INITIAL INSPECTION OF TWO

JULY/AUGUST 2015



Prepared for:

Mr. Jeff Bush
Site Services Superintendent
Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation
2275 Upper Middle Road East, Suite 300
Oakville, Ontario
L6H 0C3



Barry H. Martin, P. Eng., MRAIC, Consulting Engineer and Architect

149 Kraft Creek Road
Timmins, Ontario P4N 7C5
Tel: 705-268-5621
Barrymartin1499@gmail.com

INDEX

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.01 Mary River Site
- 1.02 Milne Inlet Site

2.0 METHODOLOGY FOR INSPECTION

3.0 MARY RIVER SITE

- 3.01 General
- 3.02 Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
- 3.03 Generator Fuel Storage Containment
- 3.04 Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond #1
- 3.05 Polishing/Waste Stabilization Ponds #2 and #3
- 3.06 Helicopter Fuel Tank Containment
- 3.07 Barrel Fuel Containment (MS-HWB-3 and MS-HWB-4)
- 3.08 Hazardous Waste Storage (MS-HWB-2)
- 3.09 Enviro Tank Storage (MS-HWB-5)
- 3.10 Stove Oil Storage
- 3.11 Jet Fuel Tank and Pump Containment
- 3.12 Solid Waste Disposal Site
- 3.13 Minesite Steel Fuel Tank Farm Containment
- 3.14 Quarry
- 3.15 Crusher Pad Drainage Containment
- 3.16 Waste Pile Drainage Containment
- 3.17 Overview

Mary River Photos

Mary River Drawings

4.0 MILNE INLET

- 4.01 General
- 4.02 Existing Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond
- 4.03 Barrel Fuel Storage
- 4.04 Hazardous Waste Storage (WP-Hwb-3, MP-HWB-4, and MP-HWB-5)
- 4.05 Fuel Tank Farm
- 4.06 New Sewage Effluent Pond
- 4.07 Landfarm Containment
- 4.08 Contaminated Snow Containment
- 4.09 Sediment Pond East
- 4.10 Sediment Pond West
- 4.11 Quarry
- 4.12 Loading Area Contaminated Storage (MP-HWB-1)
- 4.13 Overview

Milne Inlet Photos

Milne Inlet Drawings

August 5, 2015

Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation
2275 Upper Middle Road East, Suite 300
Oakville, Ontario
L6H 0C3

Attention: Jeff Bush
jeff.bush@baffinland.com

**RE: ANNUAL GEOTECHNICAL INSPECTIONS
BAFFINLAND IRON MINES CORPORATION
OUR REFERENCE NO. 15-097**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Barry H. Martin, P. Eng., Consulting Engineer, completed the eighth annual water licence geotechnical inspection of the following on-site engineered facilities as required by Licence No. 2AM-MRY 1325 of the Nunavut Water Board:

Pit Walls
Quarries
Landfills
Land Farms
Bulk Fuel Storage Facilities
Sediment Ponds
Collection Ponds
Polishing and Waste Stabilization Ponds

The inspection that took place July 30th to August 4th is the first phase of a biannual inspection to be carried out within the open water shipping season at the two Baffinland sites, in Mary River at the mine site, and at Milne Inlet at the port facility. A second inspection is planned for September 24th to September 29th of this year.

The inspections were carried out in accordance with the guidelines set out in "Dam Safety Guidelines 2007" as published by the Canadian Dam Association.

The inspections were completed by Mr. Barry H. Martin, P. Eng., the design Engineer for the initial containment facilities both at Mary River and Milne Inlet, the runaway extension, initial bridges on the connecting road plus the solid waste disposal site as well as continuing construction since the mine infrastructure construction.

The seven previous annual water licence geotechnical inspections were completed by Mr. Martin. You shall note that Hazardous Waste Containment Structure have been assigned new names.

The facilities inspected are as per the following:

Mary River Site

Bulk Fuel Storage Containment
Generator Fuel Storage Facility Containment
Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond No. 1
Polishing/Waste Stabilization Ponds Nos. 2 and 3 (constructed as a two-cell structure)
Helicopter Fuel Cell Containment
Barrel Fuel Containment (constructed as a two-cell structure)(MS-HWB-3 and MS-HWB-4)
Hazardous Waste Storage (MS-HWB-2)
Enviro-Tank Storage (constructed contiguous with hazardous waste storage and stove oil storage)
Stove Oil Storage (MS-HWB-5)
Jet Fuel Tank and Pump Containment
Solid Waste Disposal Site
Minesite Steel Fuel Tank Farm Containment
Quarry
Crusher Pad Drainage Containment
Waste Pile Drainage Containment
Jet "A" Aircraft Containment
Hazardous Waste Containment
A site plan for the Mary River site showing most structures reviewed is attached.

Milne Inlet Site

Existing Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond
Barrel Fuel Storage (constructed as a two-cell structure)
Hazardous Waste Storage (constructed as a two-cell structure) (MP-HWB-3, MP-HWB-4, and MP-HWB-5)
Fuel Tank Farm
New Sewage Effluent Pond
Land Farm
Contaminated Snow Containment
Sediment Ponds East and West
Quarry
Loading Area Contaminated Storage (MP-HWB-1)

2.0 METHODOLOGY FOR INSPECTION

The geotechnical inspector was Barry H. Martin, P. Eng., who reviewed the two sites for the first of the biannual inspections on July 30th, 2015 to August 3rd, 2015 just as the annual shipping season commenced with the arrival of the first ship into port.

The inspections primarily focused on the following aspects:

1. The structures were inspected for conformance with the design basis as presented in "as constructed" and "as-built" drawings (provided in the first and subsequent reports).
2. The structures were specifically inspected for settlement, cracking, and seepage through the berms.

3. The areas around the structures were examined for evidence of seepage.
4. Quarry walls were reviewed for relative stability. I note that the quarries were active removal areas and long term stability was not yet established.
5. New structures under construction were reviewed for conformity with design drawings.
6. Photographs were taken to document observations made during the inspection and are attached.

3.0 MARY RIVER CAMP

3.01 General

As with other years, there had been some rainfall at Mary River preceding the first inspection and it was expected that there would be some water in the containment dykes.

A monitoring program is in place to test storm water that does accumulate within the containment structures. As reviewed, the water that does not meet the water licence effluent requirements is treated on site prior to release.

At the Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Containment, the water that collects within the dyke is treated at the end of the containment structure.

We report on the Crusher Pad Drainage Containment Structure and the Waste Pile Drainage Containment for the first time.

The Bulk Fuel Storage Containment is coming due for decommissioning and was only in use to accommodate jet "A" fuel until the end of the last summer/autumn season. There is now a bladder of contaminated water in this containment area.

3.02 Bulk Fuel Storage Facility

General Conditions

The Bulk Fuel Storage Facility still exists but it is no longer utilized as a bulk fuel storage facility. There is only a single bladder in the facility being utilized although there are a number of empty bladders still in place.

The one bladder within the containment that is full at this time contains contaminated water (water/oil mixture).

The granular cover over the geotextile and liner is still in place within the containment structure awaiting land farming.

Stability

At the time of this initial review, water had not been removed for a period from within the containment and water was ponding above the level of the gravel within the bottom of the containment. There is still considerable factor of safety against failure of the one bladder within the dykes with the water level as it exists.

The structure was visually inspected for any signs of cracking or subsidence. There was no indication of any settlement, seepage, or cracking in the soil structures that formed the dykes. As well, there was no indication of seepage at the base of the structure around the exterior.

At the load-out end of the facility there was water ponding at the low point.

The soil structure is considered stable in the present condition and is in conformance with the design basis for the facility.

The presence of water within the structure and at the load-out area is an indication of the integrity of the liner.

Recommendations

We have no recommendations with respect to this containment structure as it awaits decommissioning.

3.03 Generator Fuel Storage Containment

This particular containment structure is currently being decommissioned. The fuel bladder that was contained within the dyke has been emptied and the fuel bladder is rolled up awaiting removal on the east dyke.

The granular fill over the geotextile and liner shall require landfarming with the material from the bulk fuel storage facility.

There is no indication that the liner is compromised and decommissioning should proceed when the granular cover is either moved to a land farm or other containment.

3.04 Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond #1

General Conditions

PWSP No. 1 continues to be utilized as a holding facility for sewage plant effluent that does not meet water effluent quality criteria.

Currently the pond is being used primarily as a repository for sewage sludge that had been periodically removed from the RBC.

The supernatant from PWSP No. 1 is periodically decanted to PWSPs Nos. 2 and 3 where it is tested and treated as required to meet Water Licence effluent requirements.

At the time of our visit there was approximately fifty percent of capacity to accommodate further sewage and the structure readily conforms to its design intent.

Stability

Our review of this area around the pond at the base of the slopes showed no sign of seepage and hence we conclude that the liner has been effective in containing sewage and there are no tears or ruptures in the membrane, excepting some minor tears from past activity at the top of the dyke well above the allowable effluent level in the structure in the horizontal portion of the membrane.

A review of the top of the dyke showed no indication of cracking or settlement which would indicate stresses within the structure.

Many of the tears that had occurred in the liner on the top of the dyke have been patched during the period between reviews in 2008 and 2009 and are holding well. As well, there are no signs of weather related deterioration of the liner where it is exposed.

Monitoring points have been set up on the top of the dyke and have been monitored since 2009. Settlements have occurred since that time. These settlements have not led to any stress cracks in the structure. These small settlements are an indication of consolidation in the berm structures and the active layer beneath the dyke and are not considered to be of any concern.

It can be seen where the structure has settled slightly relative to the soils away from the structure. There appears to be no sign of erosion of the dykes, even with the precipitation that has occurred over the lifetime of the facility.

The settlements have had little effect on the integrity of the structure.

Recommendations

We have no recommendations with respect to this containment facility.

3.05 Polishing Ponds/Waste Stabilization Ponds #2 and #3

General Conditions

The structure was designed and constructed as a two-cell structure.

The supernatant from PWSP #1 is currently discharged to PWSPs Nos. 2 and 3. The treated effluent is tested for Water Licence effluent requirements, treated if necessary, and discharged to the environment.

At the time of our visit there was considerable freeboard to accommodate further sewage and the structure readily conforms to its design intent. There was fifty-percent remaining capacity in one cell and the second cell was almost empty at the time of our inspection.

Stability

Our review of the area around the pond at the base of the slopes showed no sign of seepage and hence we conclude that the liner has been effective in containing the sewage and there are no tears or ruptures in the membrane.

Longitudinal cracking which appeared in the dykes of PWSP #3 due to the melt of permafrost wedges in 2009 has not reoccurred and we consider this structure to be stable in its present condition.

Monitoring points have been set upon the top of the dyke and have been monitored since 2009. Settlements have occurred since that time. These settlements have not led to any stress cracks in the structure. Monitoring was discontinued over the last year.

There appears to be no sign of erosion of the dykes and plants are continuing to seed themselves on the dykes. This growth is minimal, however.

Recommendations

We have no recommendations with respect to this containment facility.

3.06 Helicopter Fuel Tank Containment

General Conditions

The structure was designed and constructed as a single cell structure that contains a 1000 gal fuel storage tank.

The structure currently conforms to its design intent.

In the past, a liner clad wood curb had been added to the top of the berm to prevent the erosion of gravel off the berm, caused by pulling the fuel hose from within the dyke out to the helicopters to provide them with fuel.

Stability

Our review of the area around the pond at the base of the slopes showed no sign of seepage. There is wet sand in the bottom of the containment indicating the integrity of the liner with the weather recently experienced.

A review of the exterior and the top of the berms showed no sign of cracking or settlement which would indicate stress within the structure.

The structure is considered to be stable in its present condition.

Recommendations

We have no recommendations with respect to this structure.

3.07 Barrel Fuel Containment (Now MS-HWB-3 and MS-HWB-4)

General Conditions

This particular structure which we called “Barrel Fuel Containment” in our previous inspection reports is a two-cell structure which is currently used to accommodate cubes of lubricant and barrels in the east cell and cubes of lubricant and antifreeze in the west cell.

There are currently contained closed barrels and cubes which are sitting at the entries that are not over the lined area. We assume this is a temporary situation.

Stability

Our review of the area around this containment structure showed no sign of seepage. This shows that there is reasonably little chance of tearing or rupture of the membrane having taken place.

A review of the exterior and top of the dyke showed no sign of cracking or settlement which would indicate stresses within the structure.

The structure is considered to be stable in its present condition.

Recommendations

The north dyke in the north cell has had a track fork lift travel over the berm at the east end. We recommend signage to prevent this before damage occurs to the liner.

3.08 Hazardous Waste Storage (Now MS-HWB-2)

General Conditions

This particular cell was constructed contiguous with an existing cell, which is referred to on site as the “Enviro Tank Storage”, from drawings by our office in 2010 and conforms to our drawings. It is also contiguous with the Stove Oil Storage cell.

This structure contains barrels and bags of hazardous waste as well as stoves and refrigerators.

Stability

Our review of the area around this cell at the base of the slopes, showed no sign of seepage. There is water ponding in this structure.

The structure appears to be stable in its present condition. The water confirms the integrity of the liner.

Recommendations

There are no recommendations at this time.

3.09 Enviro Tank Storage (Now MS-HWB-1)

General Conditions

This particular structure is constructed contiguous with the Hazardous Waste Storage constructed in 2010 and the Stove Oil Storage cell. It was utilized as a wash down cell during the last season. It is not currently in use.

Stability

Last year there was concern for the integrity of this cell as the cell was dry and the geotextile was exposed from heavy traffic during our initial inspection. During our second inspection, the cell was holding a small amount of water confirming the integrity of the liner.

The cell is dry this year raising concerns anew on the integrity of the liner.

Recommendations

We recommend that the geotextile over the liner be checked and the granular cover be made good prior to continuing use of this cell as a wash down cell this season.

3.10 Stove Oil Storage (Now MS-HWB-5)

General Conditions

This particular structure had been used to store barrels of stove fuel in 2011.

The structure again contains barrels of stove oil and some Jet "A" fuel.

This structure was constructed in accordance with a standardized drawing provided by this office utilizing a one piece liner.

Stability

Our review of the exterior at the base of the dyke showed no sign of seepage. This shows that there is reasonably little chance of tearing or rupture of the membrane having taken place.

A review of the exterior and the top of the dyke showed no sign of cracking or settlement which would indicate stresses with the structure.

There is water contained within the cell confirming the integrity of the liner.

The structure is considered to be stable in its present condition.

3.11 Jet Fuel Tank and Pump Containment

General Conditions

This particular structure was reconstructed based on our recommendation of the 2012 Geotechnical Inspection.

The construction was completed in accordance with our recommendations for such structures and the liner was constructed as a one piece liner with geotextile protection on both sides and gravel over the geotextile as protection.

The construction appears proper and the structure is in good condition.

Minor water ponding confirms the integrity of the liner.

At this time the jet fuel tank and pump have been removed and the cell is empty.

Stability

Our review of the area around the cell at the base of the slopes showed no sign of seepage.

The structure is stable in its present condition.

Recommendations

There are no recommendations at this time.

3.12 Solid Waste Disposal Site

Berms appear stable and no erosion appears to have taken place on the back and both sides of the site. Solid waste was being placed at the front edge of the site and was awaiting salvage of wood and lumber prior to the placing of cover at the time of our site review. There is also separation of metals from other waste taking place.

The disposal was being done in conformity with plans prepared and guidelines set out for the disposal of solid waste.

The current footprint as established by the existing covered material and the “blow control” fence at the front of the immediate site is full and the site footprint shall have to be expanded within the plans and guidelines set out for this solid waste disposal site.

3.13 Minesite Steel Fuel Tank Farm Containment

General Conditions

All work appears to be complete excepting the installation of the sump pits that are on site awaiting installation and which shall be utilized to facilitate the removal of water that collects from precipitation. There is water ponding in the bottom of the containment confirming the integrity of the liner.

Stability

All work appears to have been completed in accordance with drawings and we have no concerns with the stability of this containment structure.

Recommendations

We recommend that at least one sump be installed as per the drawings prepared for this facility.

3.14 Quarry

General Conditions

The quarry has well defined benches. The quarry faces at the benches shall be cleaned and berms placed at the edges of the bench to control the movement of weather induced loose in the long term.

Currently overburden from the top surface is being cleaned and pushed as thawing permits, to serve as long term protection against moving aggregate and the establishment of long term stability.

There are a number of cobbles and larger boulders at the upper edge however that appear to act as a hazard at this time.

Stability

The quarry shall be closed in a manner as set out to maintain long term stability.

3.15 Crusher Pad Drainage Containment

General Conditions

There is a new containment being constructed to catch surface water flow from the crushing area and stockpile area at the minesite.

The ditch at the entry to the recessed inlet on the east side of the containment is being shaped. The rip rap is currently being placed on the overflow on the west side.

The crusher pad appears only 50% complete and the containment although it is already collecting some runoff, is not yet operating exactly as proposed due to the fact that the crusher pad is not yet fully constructed.

3.16 Waste Stockpile Drainage Containment

General Conditions

This structure is just in the process of being completed and put into operation. It shall be fully reported on during the next review at the end of September.

3.17 Overview

This report is the seventh annual Geotechnical Inspection at Mary River and Milne Inlet completed by this author on behalf of Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation and the second year of reporting covering the first of two inspections in one shipping season.

As set out in our past reports, there has been little or no erosion taken place from wind or rain and the dykes constructed of the sand/gravel soil have remained stable at slopes of 3:1 and 4:1.

As noted last year, there are only just now signs of settlement appearing at PSWP's 1, 2 and 3. The settlements are not differential settlements of the dykes but are minor overall settlements of the total structures with respect to the surrounding area.

These settlements appear to be settlements within the one metre \pm active layer above the permafrost and are of little concern as the PWSP's are temporary structures and the settlements have no effect on the dyke stability.

It is expected that many of the structures that form the basis for the inspections set out in the biannual Geotechnical inspections shall be decommissioned as the mine facilities are finalized.

Mary River Photos



Bulk Fuel Storage Facility showing one former fuel bladder in use.



Generator Fuel Containment in process of decommissioning.



Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond #1.



Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond #2.



Polishing/Waste Stabilization Pond #3.



Helicopter Fuel Cell Containment.



Barrel Fuel Containment. (Now MS-HWB-4)



Barrel Fuel Containment – Containers outside of cell. (Now MS-HWB-4)



Barrel Fuel Containment – Shows travel over side dyke of cell. (Now MP-HWB-3)



Hazardous Waste Containment. (Now MS-HWB-2)



Enviro Tank Storage – No longer in use. (Now MS-HWB-1)



Stove Oil Storage. (Now MS-HWB-5)



Jet Fuel and Pumping Containment – Now empty.



Waste Stockpile Drainage Containment.



Solid Waste Disposal – Top of cover.



Crusher Pad Settling Pond.



Mary River Quarry.



Mary River Steel Tank Containment.

MARY RIVER DRAWINGS