



Application for Water Licence Renewal

Document Date: May 2011

Application Submission Date:

03/13/12

Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119
GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT
XOB 1J0
TEL: (867) 360-6338
FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq
NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT
NUNAVUT WATER BOARD
OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT



P.O. Box 119

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0

TEL: (867) 360-6338

FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5

NUNAVUT WATER BOARD

NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT

OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licence for more information about this application form.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: 2BB-BOS0712

1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION

Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. **A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.**

If the licensee is the same, but the name of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.

Name: Hope Bay Mining Ltd., c/o Chris Hanks, VP Environmental Affairs

Address: 300-889 Harbourside Dr.
North Vancouver, BC
V7P 3S1

Phone: (604) 998-5400

Fax: (604) 980-0731

e-mail: chris.hanks@newmont.com

2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.

Name:

Address:

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

e-mail: _____

(Attach authorization letter.)

3. NAME OF PROJECT

Is the name of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the name of the project including the name of the location: **Boston Advanced Exploration Budget**

4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING

Is the location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Project Extents

NW: Latitude: (67° 41' 36.837" N)

Longitude: (106° 25' 6.135" W)

NE: Latitude: (67° 41' 41.274" N)

Longitude: (106° 21' 21.202" W)

SE: Latitude: (67° 36' 13.850" N)

Longitude: (106° 19' 21.9" W)

SW: Latitude: (67° 36' 41.368" N)

Longitude: (106° 26' 27.016" W)

Camp Location(s)

Latitude: (67° 39' 32.381" N)

Longitude: (106° 23' 16.783" W)

5. MAP

Are the locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking.

NTS Map Sheet No.: **076009**

Map Name: **See attachment**

Map Scale: **1:50,000**

6. NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND

Is the nature of the interest in the land the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the 'Surface' header must be checked).

Sub-surface

☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☒ **Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)**

Date (expected date) of issuance: **_See below_** Date of expiry: **_See below_**

Surface

☐ Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☒ **Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA)**

KTL306C003: Date of issuance: **_Feb. 1, 2007_** Date of expiry: **_Jan. 31, 2013_**

☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA)

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Commissioner's Land Use Authorization

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

☐ Other _____

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ Date of expiry: _____

Is the name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.

Name of entity(s) holding authorizations:

Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) – Date of Issuance, Date of Expiry

Boston 1	7/12/2001	7/12/2022
Boston 2	7/12/2001	7/12/2022
Boston 4	7/12/2001	7/12/2022
Boston 5	7/12/2001	7/12/2022

7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) DETERMINATION

Is the undertaking located in the same land use planning area as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the land use planning area in which the project is located.

☐ North Baffin
☐ South Baffin
☐ Akunnig

☐ Keewatin
☐ Sanikiluaq
☒ **West Kitikmeot**

Was a land use plan conformity determination required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. _____

Does the proposed renewal change the original NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy. _____
If No, provide written confirmation from NPC confirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.

8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION

Was a screening determination required from NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. _____

Does the proposed renewal change the original NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy. _____
If No, provide written confirmation from NIRB confirming that a screening determination is not required.

9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING

Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal. **See original application for plans, drawings, and project proposal.**

10. OPTIONS

Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project.

See original application for an explanation of the alternative methods or locations considered to carry out the project.

11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING

Is the primary undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate the primary classification of undertaking by checking one of the following boxes.

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Industrial | ☐ Agricultural |
| ☒ Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) | |
| ☐ Conservation | |
| ☐ Municipal (includes camps/lodges) | ☐ Recreational |
| ☐ Power | ☐ Miscellaneous (describe below): _____ |

See Schedule II of the *Northwest Territories Waters Regulations* for Description of Undertakings.

12. WATER USE

Is the type(s) of water use(s) the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s) of water use(s) being applied for.

- ☒ **To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes**
- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ To obtain water for industrial purposes | ☐ To divert a watercourse |
| ☐ To cross a watercourse | ☐ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse |
| ☐ To alter the flow of, or store water | ☐ Flood control |
| ☐ Other: _____ | |

13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED

Is the source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Name of water source(s): **Aimaokatalok Lake (Spyder Lake), Stickleback Lake**
(show location(s) on map)

Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s): **The main body of water used by this licence for domestic purposes is Aimaolatalok Lake. For drilling, both Aimaokatalok and Stickleback Lakes are used. The quantity of water used does not affect the capacity of the lakes.**

Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: 100 m³/day

Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: The majority of water will come from Aimaokatalok Lake.

Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.): Camp=20 m³/day, drilling=80 m³/day

Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the method(s) of extraction: Water is extracted by hose and pump. Hose intake is screened to meet DFO requirements

Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): 0 m³/day

Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): No water will be returned to source.

14. WASTE

Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) generated and deposited.

- ☒ Sewage
- ☒ Solid Waste
- ☒ Hazardous
- ☒ Bulky Items/Scrap Metal
- ☐ Animal Waste
- ☐ Other (describe): _____

- ☒ Waste oil
- ☒ Greywater
- ☒ Sludges
- ☒ Contaminated soil and/or water

15. QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WASTE INVOLVED

Are the quantity(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the composition(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of treatment for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of disposal for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.

Type of Waste	Composition	Quantity Generated	Treatment Method	Disposal Method
Sewage	Blackwater and greywater	100 person capacity	Rotating Biological Contactor Treatment System	Sludge is placed in the digester, shipped to Doris, put through the sludge press and incinerated, at Doris or Boston, or is backhauled
Solid Waste	Food, paper, untreated wood, other non-hazardous waste		Incineration of what can be burned (food, paper, untreated wood), otherwise waste to be backhauled	Incinerator ash and material that cannot be burned will be backhauled to approved facility
Waste Oil	Miscellaneous			Burned in a waste oil furnace for heat, or backhauled to approved facility
Waste Fuels	Jet A or B			Backhauled to approved facility
Hazardous Waste	Miscellaneous			Backhauled to approved facility
Sludge	See line 1			
Bulky Items/Scrap Metal				Backhauled to approved facility
Contaminated snow and/or water			Material moved to Doris camp landfarm	

16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

In addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6, are the same authorizations required as considered in the existing licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

For each provide the following:

Authorization: _____ **N/A** _____

Administering Agency: _____ **N/A** _____

Project Activity: _____ **N/A** _____

Date (expected date) of issuance: _____ **N/A** _____ Date of expiry: _____ **N/A** _____

17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

Are predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking and proposed mitigation measures the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste.

No baseline information has been collected as a direct requirement of this water license, however, considerable baseline data has been collected as a component of the Doris North Environmental Impact Statement. See the Final Environmental Impact Statement, Doris North Project, Nunavut, Canada, submitted by Miramar Hope Bay Ltd. October 2005 to the Nunavut Impact Review Board. Environmental, socio-economic, and traditional knowledge studies are ongoing and this data is being used to create a baseline dataset for the Hope Bay Belt.

18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS

Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature.

Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS

Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO).

A water compensation agreement has been signed with the Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA) for the use of Tail Lake as a tailings impoundment area in relation to the Doris North project. No other agreement has

been signed for water compensation in the Hope Bay area nor has it been requested by the KIA.

- 20. CONSULTATION** - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.

From June 6th to 10th, 2011, Hope Bay Mining Limited conducted community meetings throughout the Kitikmeot region (except Gjoa Haven, due to weather) to provide stakeholders with a project update, including proposed changes to the Doris North Project that would necessitate amendments to the existing water licence. Fifty-two Kitikmeot residents attended these community meetings.

Kugluktuk and Cambridge Bay public comment and discussion was limited to employment, training, and business opportunities. In Kugaaruk, Elders in attendance expressed concern with potential environmental impacts on fish and wildlife resources that are harvested from the area as well as employment opportunities for younger generations. Younger Kugaaruk meeting attendees focused on employment related comments and questions. In Taloyoak, HBML heard a wide range of comments on topics including employment, training, site visits for high school students, environmental testing and inspections, tailings management, mine closure, and potential effects on human health and social issues and possible HBML initiatives/support.

In relation to requested water licence changes, stakeholders generally requested further information on regulatory processes, inspections, and effects monitoring and did not express opposition to any specific proposed project design change.

Further to HBML's decision to place the Hope Bay Project and related exploration into care and maintenance, HBML has discussed the implications of care and maintenance with the Kitikmeot Inuit Association. HBML has also attended the Kitikmeot Trade Show, has discussed care and maintenance with on-site Inuit employees, and maintains an office in Cambridge Bay where inquiries from the Kitikmeot communities can be sent. HBML is also planning a spring 2012 tour to further discuss care and maintenance with the communities.

21. SECURITY INFORMATION

Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. **Please see original application for security information.**

Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the *Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut*, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.

22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide an updated statement of financial security. **See attachment.**

If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:

Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide a list of the officers of the company. **See attachment.**

Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name. **See attachment.**

23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE

List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.

No specific studies have been undertaken to date with regard to this water licence. Baseline studies have been ongoing for the region as part of the Doris North project. See section 17 of this form for details.

Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report. **See attachment.**

If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.

24. PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE

Is the time schedule for all phases of development (construction, operations, closure and post closure) the same as that considered in the existing licence?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate the proposed start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure).

Construction

Proposed Start Date: NA Proposed Completion Date: NA
(month/year) (month/year)

Operation

Proposed Start Date: TBD Proposed Completion Date: TBD
(month/year) (month/year)

Closure

Proposed Start Date: NA Proposed Completion Date: NA
(month/year) (month/year)

Post - Closure

Proposed Start Date: NA Proposed Completion Date: NA
(month/year) (month/year)

For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.

Construction

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall **X All season**

Operation

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall **X All season**

Closure

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall **X All season**

Post - Closure

☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall **X All season**

25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE

On what date does the existing licence expire? July 31, 2012

Indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years): 10 years

Requested date of renewal issuance: July 2012 Requested Expiry Date: June 2022
(month/year) (month/year)

(The requested date of renewal issuance must be at least three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and at least one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's *Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications* for more information)

26. ANNUAL REPORTING

Is the annual report template expected to be the same as that considered in the existing licence?

X Yes ☐ No

If not using the NWB's *Standardized Form for Annual Reporting*, provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report.

27. CHECKLIST

The following must be included with the application for renewal for the water licensing process to begin.

Completed Application for Water Licence Renewal form.

X Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

Updated plans, including designs and reports (see Block 23).

☐ Yes **X No** If no, date expected HBML is currently reviewing all submitted plans and will submit updated plans to reflect the planned care and maintenance activities for NWB approval during Summer 2012.

Updated security assessment (see Block 21).

☐ Yes ☒ No If no, date expected N/A

Updated financial statement (see Block 22).

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

English Summary of Renewal Application.

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Renewal Application.

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.

☒ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected _____

28. SIGNATURE

I, Chris Hanks (print name)

certify that the application requires no changes to water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the information given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and complete.

Signature

March 13, 2012
Date