CUMBERLAND
RESOURCES LTD

Suite 906 - 595 Howe Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6C 2T5

Tel: 604/608-2557
Fax: 604/608-2559
E-mail: info@goldmin.com

VIA FAX: (867) 360-6369

June 3, 1998

Mr. David Porter
License Administrator
Nunavut Water Board
Gjoa Haven, Nunavut

Dear David:

Please find attached a copy of the supplementary questionnaire for exploratory drilling.

Please call if you have any further questions.

Best regards,

Kerry M. Curtis, B.Sc., P.Geo.
Senior Vice President

KMC/bg
encl.

Water Licence Application
Supplementary Questionnaire
for Exploratory Drilling

SECTION 1 :

GENERAL

1. Applicant CUMBERLAND RESOURCES LTD.
(Company, corporation, owner)

906 - 595 HOWE ST. VANCOUVER, B.C. V6C 2T5
(Postal address)

(604) 688-2557 604 688-2557
(Telephone number) (Fax)

INFO @ GOLDMIN.COM
(E-Mail)

Corporate Address (If different from above)

(Corporate Office Address)

(Telephone number) (Fax)

(E-Mail)

Project Name MEADOWBANK

Location 70 KM NORTH OF BAKER LAKE

Closest Community BAKER LAKE

Latitude/Longitude SEE LICENCE APPLICATION
Show the location of the project on a general location map.

2. Environmental Manager (Name) 604 (688) 7557 (Telephone No.)

or Project Manager BRIAN ALEXANDER
(Title)

3. Indicate the status of the exploration activity on the date of application. (Check the appropriate space.)

Design		ADVANCED
Under construction		EXPLORATION
In operation		DIAMOND DRILLING
Suspended		
Care and Maintenance		
Abandoned		

4. If a change in the status of the exploration activity is expected, indicate the nature and anticipated date of such change.

N/A

5. Indicate the present (or ~~proposed~~ PROPOSED) schedule for the exploration activity.

Hours per week	168
Days per week	7
Weeks per year	17
Number of employees	25
Number of Inuit employees	7

6. Estimate the term (life) of the exploration activity.

N/A (Months / Year)

7. How will the project effect the traditional uses on Inuit Owned Lands?

N/A

8. Have the Elders been consulted on effects to the traditional use on Inuit Owned Land? If so, list them. If not, why not?

ELDERS HAVE VISITED THE SITE AND BEEN CONSULTED ON TRADITIONAL USE. IN ADDITION ELDERS HAVE BEEN CONSULTED ON VARIOUS ASPECTS OF PROJECTS HAVE OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS

9 Has the proponent consulted Inuit Organizations in the area? If so, list them

YES. WE HAVE HELD MEETINGS WITH K.I.A, H.T.O, CLARKS, WATER BOARD OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS TO UPDATE ON EXPLORATION PROGRESS.

10. Has the proponent consulted surrounding communities on traditional water use areas? If so, list them. If not, why not?

NO. AT THIS POINT ONLY THE COMMUNITY OF BAKER LAKE HAS BEEN CONSULTED BECAUSE OF IT'S PROXIMITY TO THE SITE.

11. Attach a detailed map drawn to scale showing the relative locations (or proposed locations) of the exploration activity, Sewage and solid waste facilities, and containment areas. The plan should include the water intake and pumphouse, fuel and chemical storage facilities. Ore and waste rock storage piles, piping distribution systems, and transportation access routes around the site. The map also should include elevation contours, water bodies and an indication of drainage patterns for the area.

12. If applicable, provide a brief history of property development which took place before the present company gained control of the site. Include shafts, audits, mills (give rated capacity, etc.) waste dumps, chemical storage areas, tailings disposal areas and effluent discharge locations. Make references to the detailed map.

PREVIOUS ACTIVITY INCLUDED DIAMOND DRILLING WITH NO SURFACE DISTURBANCE.

13. Give a short description of the proposed or current freshwater intake facility, the type and operating capacity of the pumps used, and the intake screen size.

SUBMERGED WELL PUMP USED FOR CAMP WATER SUPPLY.

14. At the rate of intended water usage for the exploration activity, explain water balance inputs and outputs in terms of estimated maximum draw down and recharge capability of the water source from fresh water will be drawn.

EXPLORATION WATER USAGE INSUFFICIENT TO CAUSE DRAW DOWN TO WATER BALANCE. SITE IS LOCATED NEAR LARGE LAKES

15. Will any work be done that penetrates regions of permafrost?

NO

16. If "YES" above, is the permafrost continuous or discontinuous ?

17. Were (or will) any old workings or water bodies (be) dewatered in order to conduct the exploration activity ?

NO

18. If "YES" above, indicate the name of the water body, the total volume of water to be discharged and the chemical characteristics of the water.

N/A

Water body (if unnamed give Latitude/Longitude)_____
Total volume _____ cubic metres
Receiving Watercourse _____
Dewatering flow rate into above _____ cubic metres / sec

Chemical characteristics of discharge:

T/Pb	_____ mg/L	Total Ammonia	_____ mg/L
T/Cu	_____ mg/L	Suspended solids	_____ mg/L
T/Al	_____ mg/L	Specific conductivity	_____ uhmo/cm
T/HCN	_____ mg/L	pH	_____
T/Hg	_____ mg/L		
T/Zn	_____ mg/L		
T/Cd	_____ mg/L		
T/As	_____ mg/L		
T/Ni	_____ mg/L		
T/Mn	_____ mg/L		

19. Was (or will) the above discharge (be) treated chemically ?

N/A

20. If "YES" above, describe the applied treatment.

N/A

21. Briefly describe what will be done with the camp sewage.

ALL EFFLUENT FROM TOILETS IS INCINERATED SEVERAL TIMES DAILY
GREY WATER FROM KITCHEN/SHOWERS IS SETTLED IN NATURAL DEPRESSIONS

SECTION 2 :

GEOLOGY AND MINERALOGY

22. Briefly describe the physical nature of the mineralization, including known dimensions and approximate shape.

Gold mineralization is hosted in Archean iron formation and intercalated sedimentary to volcaniclastic schists. These deposits have been outlined with an overall strike length of 3 km. Mineralization generally dips to the west. Dimensions of the deposits, defined by sub-cropping mineralization, are 150 m x 700 m for Goose Island, 250 m x 1000 m for Third Portage (proposed open pit) and 150 m x 800 m for North Portage.

23. Briefly describe the host rock in the general vicinity of the mineralization (from the surface to the mineralized zone.)

The host rock is Archean Iron Formation with gold generally associated with sulphide-rich facies. Mineralization is within 150 m of surface and amenable to open-pit mining method. Intercalated rock types would include sedimentary to volcaniclastic schists and [illegible] (chlorite, [illegible], [illegible]) schist.

24. Provide a geological description of the mineralized zone. (If possible, include the percentage of metals.)

Mineralization in the Banded Iron Formation is within the oxide facies. Sulphides (pyrrhotite and pyrite) show replacement of magnetite. Sulphide facies of the BIF can be described as containing approximately 5% pyrrhotite and 1-2% pyrite. Gold can be generally said to be associated within increased sulphide content. Arsenopyrite is present only in trace amounts.

25. Describe the geochemical tests which have been (or will be) performed on the ore, host rock, and waste rock to determine their relative acid generation and contaminant leaching potential. Outline methods used (or to be used) and provide test results in an attached report (ie. static tests, kinetic tests.)

An acid rock drainage test program was initiated in 1997. A suite of 26 drill core samples was submitted to Watermark Consulting Inc. in Vancouver B.C. Analytical procedures were carried out in CESL Laboratories in Vancouver B.C., including acid base accounting, ICP and whole rock geochemistry. Preliminary results are inconclusive and further testing and follow up are pending.

26. Estimate the percentage of sulphide in the mineralization:

pyrite	1-20%
pyrrhotite	1-10%
pyrite / pyrrhotite mixture	
arsenopyrite	trace to negligible

SECTION 3 :

EXPLORATION OPERATION

27. Check off the type (or proposed type) of exploration operation that will be used on the property and briefly describe the method in more detail

a) Reverse circulation to obtain bulk sample _____
b) Trenching _____
c) Conventional open pit _____
d) Decline _____
e) Conventional underground _____
f) Strip mining activity _____
g) Other Exploration activity (please explain) DIAMOND DRILLING

28. Indicate the size and number of samples that will be obtained.

_____ tonnes
_____ number of samples N/A

Please note if smaller samples are to be taken from different areas (note location) to form one large bulk sample.

N/A

29. Indicate the ~~present or proposed average~~ rate of exploratory production from all mineralized sources on the property:

_____ tonnes ore / day N/A

30. Outline the water usage (or proposed water usage) in the exploration activity, indicate the source and volume of water for each use.

	Source	Use	Volume (m^3 / day)
1.			
2.			

31. If applicable, indicate or estimate the volume of natural ground water presently gaining access to the mine workings.

_____ m^3 / day N/A

32. If applicable, outline methods used underground or on surface to decrease mine water flow. (For example: recycling)

N/A

33. List the brand names and constituents of the drill additives to be used.

SECTION 4 :

THE MILL OR PROCESSING PLANT

34. Is there (or will there be) a portable mill processing plant be operating on the property in conjunction with the exploration activity ?

N/A

_____ Yes _____ No

35. If "yes" indicate the proposed point of discharge for the mill or process plant water and the volume of the discharge.

N/A

Point of discharge _____

Volume of discharge _____ m^3 / day

36. Attach a copy of the portable mill or processing plant flow sheet. Indicate the points of addition of all the various reagents (chemicals) that are (or will be) used.

N/A

37. Indicate the proposed rate of milling.

N/A _____ not applicable (check) or _____ tonnes / day

38. List the types and quantities of all reagents used in the mill or processing plant (in kg/tonne ore milled.)

N/A

Reagent:_____ Amount in kg/tonne ore milled:_____

39. If applicable, is the (proposed) milling circuit based on autogenous grinding ?

N/A

Yes _____ No _____ Partially _____

40. Based on present production or bench test results, describe the chemical and physical characteristics of liquid mill or processing plant wastes directed to the tailing deposition area.

N/A

T/Cu	_____ mg/L	Total Ammonia	_____ mg/L
T/Pb	_____ mg/L	Suspended solids	_____ mg/L
T/Zn	_____ mg/L	Specific conductivity	_____ uhmo/cm
T/Ag	_____ mg/L	pH	_____
T/Mn	_____ mg/L	Alkalinity	_____ $CaCo_3$/L
T/Ni	_____ mg/L	Hardness	_____ mg/L
T/Fe	_____ mg/L	Total cyanide	_____ mg/L
T/Hg	_____ mg/L	Oil and Grease	_____ mg/L
T/As	_____ mg/L		
T/Cd	_____ mg/L		
T/Cr	_____ mg/L		
T/Al	_____ mg/L		

41. Provide a geochemical description of the solid fraction of the tailings.

N/A

Cu	_____ mg/g	Al	_____ mg/g
Pb	_____ mg/g	Fe	_____ mg/g
Zn	_____ mg/g	Hg	_____ mg/g
Ag	_____ mg/g	Ni	_____ mg/g
Mn	_____ mg/g	As	_____ mg/g
Cr	_____ mg/g	CN	mg/g
Cd	_____ mg/g		

SECTION 5 :

THE CONTAINMENT AREAS

42. What is the (Proposed) method of disposal of the mine water, mill or process plant tailings (ie. sump, subaqueous, surface tailings pond, settling pond) ?

N/A

43. Attach detailed scale plan drawings of the proposed (or present) containment area. The drawings must include the following:

a. a. details of pond size and elevation;

a. a. details of all retaining structures (length, width, height, meterails of construction, etc.);

a. a. details of the drainage basin;

a. a. details of all decant, siphon mechanisms etc., including water treatment plant facilities;

a. a. details with regard to the direction and route followed by the flow of wastes and / or waste water from the area; and

a. a. indicate of the distance to nearby major watercourses;

44. Justify your choice of location for the containment area design by rationalising rejection of other options. Consider the following criteria in your comparisons: subsurface strata permeability, abandonment, recycling/reclaiming waters, and assessment of runoff into basins. Attach a brief summation.

N/A

45. The average depth of the existing or proposed containment area is dependent on the volume of water encountered metres.

N/A

46. Indicate the total capacity for the existing or proposed containment area by using water balance and stage volume calculations and curves. (Attach a description of inputs and outputs along with volume calculations.)

N/A

47. Has any evaporation and/or precipitation data been collected at the site ? _____ if so, please include the data.

REMOTE COLLECTION UNDERWAY BUT DATA HAS NOT BEEN DOWNLOADED SINCE INSTALLATION IN FALL OF 1997

48. Will the present or proposed containment area contain the entire production from the mill or processing plant complex for the life of the project ?

N/A

49. Will the proposed tailings deposition area engulf or otherwise disturb any existing watercourse?

N/A

50. If "Yes", attach all pertinent details (Name of watercourse, present average flow, direction of flow, proposed diversions, etc.)

containment area.

N/A

SECTION 6 :

WATER TREATMENT

52. If applicable, will the minewater, mill or process plant water be chemically treated before being discharged to the containment area ? If so, explain the treatment process (Attach flow sheet if available.

N/A

53. Will (treated) effluent be discharged directly to a natural waterbody or will polishing or settling ponds be employed ? Describe location, control structures, and process of water retention and transfer. Attach any relevant design drawings.

N/A

54. Name the first major watercourse the discharge flow enters after it leaves the area of company operations.

N/A

SECTION 7 :

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

55. Has Traditional Knowledge in the area been considered? If so, how? If not, why not?

YES, EARLY CONSOLTATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED WITH FURTHER STUDIES PROPOSED.

56. Has any baseline data been collected for the main water bodies in the area prior to development ?

YES, TWO YEARS OF WATER/SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY STUDIES

57. If "Yes", include all data gathered on the physical, biotic and chemical characteristics at each sampling location. Identify sampling locations on a map.

INCLUDED IN 1997 GOLDER REPORT WHICH WAS ATTACHED TO PERMIT APPLICATION

58. Provide an inventory of hazardous materials on the property and storage locations.

NONE

SECTION 8 :

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND SCREENING

59. Has this project ever undergone an initial environmental review? If Yes, By whom and when.

No

64. Has a socio-economic impact assessment or evaluation of this project been undertaken ? (this would include a review of any public concerns, land, water and cultural uses of the area, implications of land claims, compensation, local employment opportunities, etc.)

Yes _____ No X Unknown _____

65. If "Yes" please describe the proposal briefly.

66. If "No" is such a study being planned ? Yes ✓ No _____

67. Describe any cumulative impacts the project may create?

N/A

68. Does the project alter the quantity or quality or flow of waters through Inuit Owned Lands?

NO

69. If yes, has the applicant entered into an agreement with the Designated Inuit Organization

to pay compensation for any loss or damage that may be caused by the alteration.

N/A

70. If no compensation arrangement has been made, how will compensation be determined?

N/A