

Robin lkkutisluk <robin.ikkutisluk@nwb-oen.ca>

[Licensing] Re: Licence 2BE-MEA1318, waste water treament

2 messages

Karén Kharatyan < karen.kharatyan@nwb-oen.ca>

To: David Frenette <avid.frenette@agnicoeagle.com>, Licensing Department licensing@nwb-oen.ca>

Good morning David,

Its true that with Bionest you would have a continuous discharge. The condition regarding the Notice to Inspector comes from the original Licence, and would be removed within the Amend The condition and wording regarding the removal of drill casing was originally recommended by AANDC Resources department. Thank you for suggested wording. We will include this within well to get parties especially AANDC's feedback. Hopefully slightly modified and more practical condition wouldn't raise any environmental concerns.

Regards



On Wed, Aug 5, 2015 at 7:48 AM, David Frenette david.frenette@agnicoeagle.com wrote:

Good Morning Karen,

Following the AANDC inspection visit, I see that there is a licence obligation that is not really relevant with the Waste Water Effluent coming from the Bionest water treatment system. I'r intention of the Water Board to apply this obligation from the original licence to the amendment 2, but it's the interpretation of the inspector. This Bionest system is a biologic treatment we waste water. This system is discharging continuously and we cannot apply the part D item 10 (submit at minimum a 10 days notification to the inspector prior to release). I'm wondering the amendment 3?

As you probably know, we are still in start-up with this water treatment and we submitted last week to the inspector and the KIA an action plan to accelerate the process to reach the wat licence. This system is installed since only 3 months and we are investing lots of resources to achieve our commitments rapidly.

Another obligation causing problem with the inspector is the part I item 10.

In some cases, the drill casings cannot be remove by the drill (stuck in the hole), so we cut them at the ground level. Since its written in the licence "removal", the inspector is not sure if licence. I would say yes, but if the Water Board could add "remove or cut the casing" that would resolve this small interpretation problematic.

In the same way, sometime, we want to leave a casing in place to return later drill in the same casing The item 10 requests to remove immediately the casings, which is problematic in story the Water Board to modify this obligation? My proposition for this item would be something like:

The licensee shall restore all drill holes, trenches and disturbed areas to natural conditions immediately upon completion of the drilling or trenching. If its the licensee intention to return to can left the casing on site, but shall add signaling to keep the area safe for the other territory users. The casings left, must be added in a database and cannot stay on the field for more to the restoration of drills holes must include the removal or the cutting of any drill casing....

10. The Licensee shall restore all drill holes, trenches and disturbed areas conditions immediately upon completion of the drilling or trenching. The res drill holes must include the removal of any drill casing materials and encountered artesian flow, the capping of holes with a permanent seal.

Tell me if these propositions could be possible for the amendment #3.

David Frenette Environmental Coordinator

david.frenette@agnicoeagle.com

T: 819.874.5980 ,3622

Agnico Eagle Mines Limited 765, chemin de la mine Goldex c.p. 87 Val-d'Or, QC, Canada J9P 4N9

agnicoeagle.com



Karén Kharatyan < karen.kharatyan@nwb-oen.ca> To: Licensing Department < licensing@nwb-oen.ca> Wed, Aug 5, 2015 at 8:08 AM

Robin, please this additional request within the Amendment Application.

Regards,



[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

 $_{\mbox{\footnotesize 61K}}$ Waste water plant commissioning procedure - amaruq project.docx

Amaruq WWTP situation report July 30 2015.pdf 618K