

From: [Mark Balog](#)
To: [Andrew Keim](#); [Bryan Rayner](#); bernie.macisaac@inac.gc.ca; [Phyllis Beaulieu](#)
Cc: [John Witteman](#); [Sandy Barham](#)
Subject: FW: Comaplex response to INAC bonding request
Date: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 2:23:14 PM

Andrew,

We received two letters and a file of photos from you via email on April 30, 2010. We will formally respond to them when John Witteman gets back into the office next week. We have also contacted the NWB directly on May 3 to discuss your letters. We also tried to contact yourself (unsuccessfully) by phone and left a message (unanswered to date).

For your information, the items that you indicate we are in non-compliance with, are all items that are currently being discussed between Comaplex and INAC and the NWB. We were yet again surprised and disappointed to learn that you are 'recommending formal enforcement action' against us.

We look forward to additional discussions with you in the near future.

mark

From: Mark Balog
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2010 2:22 PM
To: 'bernie.macisaac@inac.gc.ca'; 'Luis Manzo'; 'dionne@nunavutwaterboard.org'
Cc: 'Nicole.Jauvin@CanNor.gc.ca'; 'Phyllis Beaulieu <licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org>'; 'Veronica Tattuinee'; 'Lou-Ann Cornacchio'; 'Bryan Rayner'; 'rumboltb@ainc-inac.gc.ca'; 'Kate.Hearn@CanNor.gc.ca'; John Witteman
Subject: Comaplex response to INAC bonding request

Bernie, Dionne, Luis,

I was very much hoping to make it to the Iqaluit forum this year, but recent developments with our company are taking up all my time at the moment, so this will not happen. We understand the topic of double bonding was to be discussed at the Nunavut Symposium and in a recent discussion with Bernie, he suggested that if I could not attend, that Comaplex put its thoughts on paper and send them out. We have done that. Both John and I are available for phone discussions if required.

mark