

January 28, 2010

Don Carr - Technical Advisor Nunavut Water Board PO Box 119 Gioa Haven, NU X0B 1J0

Re: Liability Assessment for 2BE-GOO0510, Part B, Item 9

Dear Mr. Carr,

In response to your letter of January 6, 2010, Sabina provides the following comments.

One request in your letter is a clarification of the reclamation costs of \$284,000 for the Back River Project. We would first like to clarify that this is the current security held by KIA for the Goose and George camps. In June of 2009, Sabina acquired these properties and at that time were advised that the security required for the Goose Lake camp was \$142,000. An approved Abandonment and Reclamation (A&R) Plan was on file with the regulatory bodies and to facilitate the transfer, Sabina provided this security.

We have searched the available public documents and have determined that the \$142,000 was first generated in 2002 after permit and license applications submitted by Kinross Gold Corporation. Up to this time, security was \$100,000 for Goose which is the minimum required under the Territorial Lands Regulations. The A&R Plan submitted in 2002 indicates that restoration activities would be for the period up to 2010 and include:

- All materials, drums, wastes, structures and non-combustible materials would be transported to Yellowknife
- Sumps and other areas of disturbance would be backfilled or otherwise appropriately treated, graded to blend with surrounding topography, and other wise stabilized.

Further details of the breakdown of activities and costs for this security are not available as they would have been private negotiations between the company and the KIA. This security amount has remained in place since 2002 and the proposed activities have not materially changed. Sabina does acknowledge, however, that since 2002 the Goose camp has changed in response to operational needs, regulatory requirements and an increased focus on health, safety and environment.

It is noted in the RECLAIM Guide (RECLAIM: VERSION 4.0 MINE RECLAMATION COST ESTIMATING MODEL GENERIC GUIDE, March 2001) it is "... essential that a closure plan be developed and a list of quantities



for the reclamation activities be prepared before attempting to use the spreadsheet." Sabina acknowledges that an accepted closure plan is needed prior to determining the security costs as regulatory, environmental, community and traditional knowledge considerations need to be included. We have submitted an A&R Plan as part of the NWB application package that we look forward to undergoing review and acceptance as this will provide direction to determining the detail list of activities and costs.

The RECLAIM Guide goes further to indicate that "The model was developed to include the major components of a mine and to be readily adapted to suit any mine configuration." From your letter of January 6, if the intent is to provide "... the Board and the public with an idea of what has taken place and the associated costs to reclaim" we do not see a need to use the RECLAIM model as this has been developed to outline activities and costs associated with the construction, operation and closure of an operating mine. A significant proportion of the model is not applicable to a temporary exploration camp and its use would be inappropriate for the Goose Lake camp. The model does provide information about unit costs that may be applicable in determining reclamation costs, however, the Guide also clarifies that these costs are site specific and need to be based on informed decision and a consensus using the approved A&R Plan and the unique site conditions.

In order to ensure compliance with 2BE-GOO0510, amendment 2, Part B, Item 9 and move the water license renewal application for the Goose camp to proceed, Sabina has outlined possible restoration activities and costs in the attached table. It should be noted that Sabina is engaged in a program of ongoing reclamation of all their Nunavut campsites utilizing backhaul flights and equipment currently onsite. The calculations assume that:

- · Only Goose Lake camp is included in the cost estimate
- Leaving the site will be in a "controlled" scenario with more than one season to
  complete; this infers that the work would be completed by Sabina in a staged approach to
  take advantage of available personnel, equipment and facilities on site and in the area.
- Every effort will be made to minimize the time to complete
- · All improvements and assets will be removed from the site
- Demobilization of drill rigs and associated equipment, supplies and materials are covered by contractual agreements in place with suppliers
- Closure monitoring and inspection will occur for 3 years
- Available unit costs from RECLAIM version 3.1 are used

Sabina is committed to conducting mineral exploration activities in a socially acceptable and environmentally sensitive manner that includes progressive and final reclamation considerations. But we are concerned about presenting these estimates as we are unsure of the coordination among the regulatory authorities sharing jurisdiction for water and land management. For this reason, we do not see an obligation to post this security with this response as many components of the closure plan and associated costs would be open for discussion. We would also anticipate further discussion would be needed between the authorities with respect to security form, proportionality of the activities and deposit/refund mechanisms prior to posting.



Your letter indicates that the current license requires an estimate but this does not necessarily infer the Board will impose a security requirement. We hope this response satisfies the requirement of outlining the general activities of closing the Goose Lake camp permanently with an approximate updated estimated cost.

Regards,

Elizabeth Sherlock

Environmental/Permit Coordinator

## GOOSE LAKE CAMP RECLAMATION COSTS

_	(Frepared by Sabiria Gold& Silver Cor	epared by Sabina Gold& Silver Corp.; attachment to Jan 28, 2010 letter to NWB) UNIT					
	ACTIVITY/MATERIAL	UNITS	QUANTITY	COST	COST		
Explora	tion Activities						
							drill location reclamation would be part of on- going exploration costs; it is assumed that som
In Hole	locations						reclamation may not occur each year.
	Cement Other (holispoter support)	30kg bags hours	0 5	\$2,000	\$0 \$10,000		
	Other (helicopter support) Personnel to flush casing and cement	days	7	\$300	\$2,100		assumes 1 people @\$300/day for 7 days
	Subtotal Drill Locations				\$12,100	\$12,100	
							In approved A&R plan, trench to be backfilled a
rench							part of final closure; Sabina will backfill as part progressive reclamation as part of on-going exploration to be completed 2010
renuir	Backfill trenches/recontour	days	0		s -		
	Subtotal Trenches				\$0	\$0	
	g and Equipment						
ISPUSE	EQUIPMENT Decontaminate and ship mobile/stationary						
	equipment off-site	days	280	\$300	\$84,000		assumes 14 people for 20days; includes on-sit- work and cat-haul
							assumes 14 people for 10 days; includes on-sit
ECOLOT	Other (remove unused drilling steel/material) AMINATE BUILDINGS & TANKS	days	140	\$300	\$42,000 \$12,600		work and cathaul
	BUILDINGS & TANKS BUILDINGS	days	42	\$300	\$12,600		assumes 14 people for 3 days
EMOVE	BUILDINGS						\$24.50/m2 based on RECLAIM3.1 (\$19.5 to dissemble and \$5 to burn; in approved A&R
	Buildings	m2	3000	\$25	\$73,500		Plan); personnel equivalent is 17.5 days for 14 people @\$300ea/day
	buildings		0000	42.0	0,0,000		included in "dispose equipment-other";
	Domewa hannungi ungto	m <sup>2</sup>			\$0		progressive reclamation during exploration
ECLAIM	Remove boneyard waste ROADS & AIRSTRIP	m3			\$0		program will also include
	Scarify and install water breaks	km	2	\$3,215	\$6,430		RECLAIM3.1; personnel equivalent is 1.5 days 14 people @\$300 ea/day
PECIALI	ZED ITEMS helicopter support	hours	10	\$2,000	\$20,000		
		Tiours	10	42,000			
	Subtotal Buildings and Equipment				\$238,530	\$238,530	
hemic	als and Contaminated Soils						
UEL							
							each year fuel on-site is sufficient to cover proposed annual program and every effort will
							made to minimize the fuel needed for off-site shipment; based on RECLAIM3.1 shipping an
	diesel, av gas, propane	litre	20000	\$0.30	\$6,000		handling remaining fuel off-site
VASTE O							
	Oils/lubricants - ship off-site	litre	0	\$1	\$0		
ersonnel	helicopter support	hours	8	\$2,000	\$16,000		
	Subtotal Chemicals and Contaminated Soil			02,000	\$22,000	\$22,000	
Mobiliza	ation HEAVY EQUIPMENT FROM SITE TO REGIO	NAI CENT	OE .				
OBILIZE	may include activities such as overland	THE GENT					
	tranport, relocation of equipment to other						
	Sabina project sites, marine shipping, air						
	transport	lump sum			\$196,000		
	Cubated Mahillers				\$400 000	\$196,000	
	Subtotal Mobilization				\$196,000	\$190,000	
	osure Monitoring and Maintenance						
fonitoring	during reclamation	2100000					
	Environmental Monitoring	lump sum	1	\$10,000	\$10,000		
ost Clost	ure Inspections Annual Inspection	lump sum	2	\$10,000	\$20,000		
	Subtotal Post Closure Monitoring and Mair	tenance			\$30,000	\$30,000	
			Subtot	al Capital C	osts to Close	\$498,630	
				a. Oapniai O		- Noti,000	
			MANAGEMENT		% of subtotal		\$14,959
			ENGINEERING		% of subtotal		\$0
		(	CONTINGENCY	10	% of subtotal		\$49,863
	GR	AND TOT	AL - CAPITAL	COSTS		\$563,452	

## NOTES:

this estimate is prepared by Sabina to meet terms and conditions outlined in 2BE-GOO0510, part B, item 9 these activities are the base strategy for anticipated tasks in the event that exploration activities cease in a controlled scenario that leaving the site will be "controlled" exit with more than one season available to complete that all improvements and assets will be removed and site returned to pre-exploration conditions every effort will be done to minimize time to complete where available RECLAIM3.1 information have been used demobilization of drillrigs and drill equipment/supplies/material to be completed under contractual arrangements with supplier closure monitoring and inspection will occur for 3 years