

100 001

## **Application for Water Licence Renewal**

Document Date: May 2011

**Application Submission Date:** 

Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel.: (867)360-6338 FAX:(867)360-6369 kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT NUNAVUT WATER BOARD OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

> Nunavut Water Board

> > NOV 2 2 2012

**Public Registry** 



P.O. Box 119

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B IJ0 Tel: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369 kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

## **APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL**

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal:
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licensee</u> for more information about this application form.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: # 2 BE - GRE
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
∑ Yes □ No
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name: AURA SILVER RESOURCES INC (SAME NAME)
Address: 1/28 CLAPP LANG (BOX 279) MANOTICK ON KYM 1A3
Phone: 613-692-77-04  Fax: 613-692-3234  e-mail:

2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.					
Name: PRUL W PITMAN					
Address: USE SAME UNDER #1					
Address:  USE SAME UNDER #1  Phone: Phone: Fax: e-mail:  (Attach authorization letter.) PREVIOUSLY ANTHON, 7 ED AS AGENT — SEE UP PATE					
3. NAME OF PROJECT					
Is the name of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence?					
'⊠ Yes ☐ No					
Indicate the name of the project including the name of the location: GREY HUND PRDIET  AUNG 96°16'10 W - 96°23'30"  4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING  LAT 64° 36'30 N - 64 16'25"					
4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING 24 64° 36' 30 N - 64 16' 25"					
Is the location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?					
Project Extents No					
NW: Latitude: $(64^{\circ}36^{\circ}30^{\circ}N)$ Longitude: $(96^{\circ}13^{\circ}36^{\circ}N)$ Longitude: $(96^{\circ}16^{\circ}16^{\circ}N)$ Longitude: $(96^{\circ}16^{\circ}16^{\circ}N)$ SE: Latitude: $(64^{\circ}16^{\circ}25^{\circ}N)$ Longitude: $(96^{\circ}16^{\circ}16^{\circ}N)$ Longitude: $(96^{\circ}16^{\circ}16^{\circ}N)$ SW: Latitude: $(64^{\circ}16^{\circ}25^{\circ}N)$ Longitude: $(96^{\circ}16^{\circ}16^{\circ}N)$					
Camp Location(s)					
Latitude: ( ° ' "N) Longitude: ( ° ' "W) No CAMP					
5. MAP					
Are the locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing licence?					
⊠ Yes □ No					
Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking.					
NTS Map Sheet No.: 66 A OG Map Name: HALF Map Scale: see attacked map why Hills original may 1:250,000 c white HILS					

6.	NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND					
Is the nature of the interest in the land the same as that considered in the existing water licence?						
	Yes No					
Check 'Surfa	any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the ce' header must be checked).					
	Sub-surface Sub-surface					
	☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
	Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
	Surface					
	Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)  Date (expected date) of issuance: MAY 7 1610  Date of expiry: MAY 6 2013					
	Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
	☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
	☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
	Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
	☐ Other					
	Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
Is the	name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water licence?					
	☐ Yes ☐ No					
If No,	a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.					
Name	of entity(s) holding authorizations:					
*	-NOTE AURA SILUER HAS APPLIED FOR AN EXTENSION OF DNE YEAR					

7.	NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) DETERMINATION						
Is the	Is the undertaking located in the same land use planning area as that considered in the existing licence?						
	Yes No						
Indicat	e the land use planning area in which the project is located.						
	□ North Baffin □ Seewatin   □ South Baffin □ Sanikiluaq   □ Akunniq □ West Kitikmeot						
Was a	Was a land use plan conformity determination required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?						
	Yes No						
If Yes,	indicate date issued and attach copy. MARCH 16 2009						
	the proposed renewal change the original NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?						
	☐ Yes    XNo						
	indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copyprovide written confirmation from NPC confirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.						
8.	NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION						
Was a	screening determination required from NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?						
	Yes 🗌 No						
If Yes	indicate date issued and attach copy. MAY 6 2010						
Does	the proposed renewal change the original NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one?						
	☐ Yes    X No						
	noticate date issued (or expected) and attach a copyprovide written confirmation from NIRB confirming that a screening determination is not required.						
9.	DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING						
Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?							
	∑ Yes						
List and	List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal.						

13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED
Is the source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence?
Name of water source(s):  (show location(s) on map)  Aura hole (see attached Map)
Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence?
∑ Yes □ No
Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s):
DRAWN FROM A OEEP LAKE
Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence?
Yes □ No
Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used:
Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence?
▼Yes □ No
Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: 6 gallows / minute with
DRILLING (360g/h) 75% of WATTON IS RECIRCULATED
Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence?
ĭ Yes □ No
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.):
Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence?
Describe the method(s) of extraction: BY PUMP AND WATER LINE TO THE ORIL
Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?
ĭ⊠ Yes □ No
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): 10 cu/m³ perday (?) m³/day
Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?
∑kYes □ No
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): SAMS - DRILL CUTTING ANS
COULSTED IN A STAINUSS STEEL TUB/TANK

14. WASTE							
Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence?							
✓ Yes   ✓ No							
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) generated and deposited.							
Sewage  Solid Waste  Greywater  Hazardous  Bulky Items/Scrap Metal  Contaminated soil and/or water  Animal Waste  Other (describe):  ALL WASTE TO BE TRANSPORTED TO BAKER LAKE WASTE OUT PO ALL WASTE TO BE TRANSPORTED.							
15. QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WASTE INVOLVED							
Are the quantity(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?							
Yes No							
Are the composition(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?							
▼ Yes							
Are the method(s) of treatment for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?							
¥ Yes □ No							
Are the method(s) of disposal for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?							
Yes □ No							
For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.							
Type of Waste Composition Quantity Treatment Disposal Method Method							
FOOD / DAILY GARBAGO							
AT DRILL 20165? VIA HELI TO BAKER LAKE							
WAST8 5178							
SLUDGE BUNGED AND COURTED WITH SEIL/TILL							
MATERIAL							

1	T						
16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	1						
16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS							
In addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6, are the same authorizations required as considered in the existing licence?							
	Yes	☐ No	1.		A . — .	0 - 1	1
For each provide the following:			NO	OFHEYL	AUTHS OTHER	NI BAY	100
Authorization:			128 Q	UTICOP	O / CHEV C	· ) / JVB-	<b>N</b>
Administering Agency:					J 70 B	anfl 	LAU
Project Activity:			HAMI	_57			
Date (expected date) of issuance:	Date	or expiry:					
17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL II MEASURES	MPACTS OF U	NDERTAK	ING AND	PROPOS	ED MITIGA	TION	
Are predicted environmental impacts of the considered in the existing water licence?	undertaking a	nd propose	ed mitigati	on measur	es the same	as those	Э
	Yes	□No					
Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative im	, npacts related t	o water and	d waste				
18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AI	ND OTHER WA	ATER USE	RS				
Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence?							
	¥Yes	☐ No					:
Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature.							
Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users.							
19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS							,
	Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence?						
	☐ Yes	☐ No	•	NOT O	N IOL	•	
Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any							

loss o	r damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO).
20.	<b>CONSULTATION</b> - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.
	PERMISSION GRANTED TO WORK GIVEN BY ELDERS OF
21.	SECURITY INFORMATION BAKEN LAKE AND MAYON - ONLY VERBAL
Is the	financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
	▼ Yes
Is the	estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water
licenc	∑ Yes  No
Estimethird returns the part	de an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation y for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. ates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to articular work to be undertaken.  ALL RECLAMATICAL IS CARRIED OUT BY THE
respe	e applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals cting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut</i> , Indian lorthern Affairs Canada, 2002.
22.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION SILVERS MANAGEN
Is the	statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
	¥Yes □NO IN PROCESS OF RAISING
Provid	de an updated statement of financial security. 「しんつ」 たん りんしいえる・~
If the	applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below: WONK WILL GE CARRIED O
Is the	list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
	∑Yes □ No
Provid	de a list of the officers of the company.
	DR J. FRANKLIN, MR. ER CRAIGIE, MR. BILL BOBERG
	MR. ROBERT BOAZ
Is the	Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?
	Yes □ No
Attach	h a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name.

G

23.	STUDIES UNDERTAK	EN TO DATE						
List a	nd attach updated studie	s, reports, resea	rch etc.	No Upo	loted ST	VOY - S	SEE AT	TCH60
	de a compliance assess contact the NWB for lice			luding a respon	ise to any ii	nspector's i	reports. The	e licensee
If in n plans	on-compliance, a licence /reports for consideration	e may not be issu n. Application wi	ued until c Il not be p	compliance is actrocessed if sign	chieved. If nificant issu	in non-com es of non-c	pliance, atta	ach
24.	PROPOSED TIME SC	HEDULE				·		
Is the	time schedule for all phace time schedule for all phace time.	ases of developr licence?	nent (cons	struction, opera	tions, closu	re and pos	t closure) th	e same as
			☐ Yes	No No	No	CONS	TRUCT	T) ON
Indica	ate the proposed start an tion, closure, and post c	d completion dat	es for eac					
орола		•					PLANS	
	Construction Proposed Start Date:		Pi	roposed Compl	etion Date:		0	CILLI
	Operation							
	Proposed Start Date:_	(month/year	P)	roposed Compl	etion Date:	(mon	th/vear)	
	Closure		Proposed Completion Date:(month/year)					
		(month/yea			(mon	th/year)		
	Post - Closure Proposed Start Date:_		Proposed Completion Date: (month/year)					
		(month/yea	r)			(mon	th/year)	
For ea	ch applicable phase of c	evelopment indi	cate which	h season(s) act	ivities occu	r.		
	Construction  ☐ Winter ☐ Spring	Summer	☐ Fall	All season				
	Operation Winter Spring	Summer	☐ Fall	All season				
	Closure ☐ Winter ☐ Spring	Summer	☐ Fall	All season				
	Post - Closure Winter Spring	Summer	Fall	All season				

25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE							
On what date does the existing licence expire?							
Indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years):							
Requested date of renewal issuance: MAY 2013 Requested Expiry Date: MAY 2014 (month/year)							
(The requested date of renewal issuance must be <u>at least</u> three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and <u>at least</u> one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's <i>Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications</i> for more information)							
26. ANNUAL REPORTING							
Is the annual report template expected to be the same as that considered in the existing licence?							
Yes □ No							
If not using the NWB's <u>Standardized Form for Annual Reporting</u> , provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report.							

27.	CHECKLIST						
The following must be included with the application for renewal for the water licensing process to begin.							
	Completed Application	for Water Licence	Renewal form.				
	Yes	☐ No	If no, date expected				
	Updated plans, includin	g designs and rep	orts (see Block 23).				
	Yes	⊠No	If no, date expected No NOW PLANS				
	Updated security asses	sment (see Block	21).				
	Yes	☐ No	If no, date expected SECURITY CARRISTO ONT BY D'RILLING COMPANY				
	Updated financial stater	ment (see Block 22	2). BY D'RILLING COMPANY				
	Yes	Mo	If no, date expected No MONEY IS ADAILABL				
	Compliance Assessmen	nt / Status Report (	(see Block 23). FUR ORILLNG - FINANCIN				
	⊈Yes	□No	If no, date expected				
	English Summary of Re	enewal Application					
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected				
	Inuktitut and/or Inuinna	qtun Summary of F	Renewal Application.				
	Yes	XN0	If no, date expected WHS TO DO THIS?				
	Application fee of \$30.0	00 CDN (Payee Re	ceiver General for Canada).				
	Yes	<b>⊠</b> No	If no, date expected TO BE FORWARDED BY CFO				
		e NWB based upo	Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee n the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the licence.				
	Yes	⊠ No	If no, date expected TO BY SELT BY CFO				
28. SIGNATURE (print name)							
certify that the application requires no changes to water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the information given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and complete.							
Signati	urje	No To	6,2012				
	<u>/</u>						