

Application for Water Licence Renewal

Document Date: May 2011

Application Submission Date: 10/22/2012 Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel: (867)360-6338 FAX: (867)360-6369

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document	June 2010
	from NWB Guide 7	
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows	May 2011
	to break across page	
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119 GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 TEL: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD
NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT
OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENEC RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licensee</u> for more information about this application form.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: 2BE-KIG0812
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
✓ Yes □ No
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name: AREVA Resources Canada Inc.
Address: P.O. Box 9204 817-45 th Street West Saskatoon SK S7N 3X5
Phone: 1(306) 343-4513 Fax: 1 (306) 343-4632 e-mail: john.robbins@areva.ca

2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1. Name: Stephanie Forseille (SHEQ Coordinator, Exploration)
Address: P.O. Box 9204 817-45 th Street West Saskatoon SK S7N 3X5
Phone: 1(306) 343-4693 Fax: 1(306) 343-4632 e-mail: stephanie.forseille@areva.ca
3. NAME OF PROJECT
Is the name of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes \[\sum No \]
Indicate the name of the project including the name of the location: Name of the project - Kiggavik Project, location - Kiggavik Lease
4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING
Is the location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes
Please see Figure 1.0-1 Kiggavik Lease and St. Tropez Claim Boundaries which shows the extents of the project.
Camp Location(s)
Latitude: (64° 26' 26" N) Longitude: (97° 39' 36" W)
5. MAP
Are the locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing licence?
 ✓ Yes ☐ No The location of the Kiggavik camp, and fuel cache remain the same. Drilling activities take place in various locations within the Kiggavik Lease boundaries. Geotechnical investigations may also be carried out to gain information on foundation, pad design and possible road routes. Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking.
NTS Map Sheet No.: 66A/05 Map Name: Figure 1.0-2 Kiggavik Main Area Components Map Scale: 1:35,000

6. NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND	
Is the nature of the interest in the land the same as that considered in the existing water licence?	
✓ Yes □ No	
Check any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the 'Surface' header must be checked).	
Sub-surface	
☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:	
☐ Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:	
Surface	
 Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: April 10, 2010 Date of expiry: April 9, 2013 	
☐ Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:	
✓ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: April 3, 2007 Date of expiry: January 3, 2013	
☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:	
Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:	
Other	
Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:	
Is the name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water licence	e?
✓ Yes \[\sum No \]	
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.	
Name of entity(s) holding authorizations:	
7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION (NPC) DETERMINATION	
Is the undertaking located in the same land use planning area as that considered in the existing licence?	
✓ Yes □ No	

Indicate the land use planning area in which the project is located.
□ North Baffin ✓ Keewatin □ South Baffin □ Sanikiluaq □ Akunniq □ West Kitikmeot
Was a land use plan conformity determination required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
✓ Yes □ No
If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. December 15, 2006
Does the proposed renewal change the original NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?
☐ Yes ✓ No
If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy
8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION
Was a screening determination required from NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
✓ Yes □ No
If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. April 3, 2007
Does the proposed renewal change the original NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one?
☐ Yes ✓ No
If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy.
If No, provide written confirmation from NIRB confirming that a screening determination is not required.
9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING
Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes □ No
List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal.
The proposed program will include prospecting, geological mapping, geochemical and geophysical surveys, diamond drilling, drill core logging and sampling. Approximately 12,000 meters of diamond drilling is planned to occur on the Kiggavik Lease during each field season. Environmental baseline work may be conducted to update existing information and to address data gaps in surface hydrology, hydrogeology, permafrost depth, aquatic and terrestrial study programs, climate, meteorology, air quality and heritage resources.

10. OPTIONS		
Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered in the existing water licence?	e considered to carry out the project the same as those	
	✓ Yes □ No	
Provide a brief explanation of the alternative method	ods or locations that were considered to carry out the project.	
11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDER	TAKING	
Is the primary undertaking the same as that consid	dered in the existing water licence?	
	✓ Yes □ No	
Indicate the primary classification of undertaking b	y checking one of the following boxes.	
☐ Industrial ✓ Mining and Milling (includes exploration)	☐ Agricultural /drilling/exploration camps)	
ConservationMunicipal (includes camps/lodges)Power	☐ Recreational☐ Miscellaneous (describe below):	
See Schedule II of the Northwest Territories Water	s Regulations for Description of Undertakings.	
12. WATER USE		
Is the type(s) of water use(s) the same as that cor	nsidered in the existing water licence?	
	✓ Yes □ No	
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s	s) of water use(s) being applied for.	
 To obtain water for camp/ municipal pur To obtain water for industrial purposes To cross a watercourse To alter the flow of, or store water Other: 	poses To divert a watercourse To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse Flood control	
13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED		
Is the source of water the same as that considered	d in the existing licence? ✓ Yes ☐ No	
Name of water source(s): Water for the Kiggavik Camp is obtained from a small unnamed lake located to the north of camp. Early in the field season this lake may be completely frozen in which case a small unnamed lake to the east of camp will be used until the lake in the north becomes unfrozen. Water for drilling will be obtained from the numerous lakes in the vicinity of the drilling sites.		
(show location(s) on map)		
Figure 1.0-3 Exploration Areas and Viable Water Sources shows the possible drilling areas on the Kiggavik Lease and the associated possible water sources. The NWB will be notified as to which areas drilling will take place prior to each field season. The coordinates for all water sources used will continue to be reported in the Annual Report.		

Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence?
✓ Yes □ No
Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s): All water sources are freshwater lakes which will have sufficient quantity of water to support drilling activities without damaging fish habitat.
Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence?
✓ Yes □ No
Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: 300 m³/day (as approved in Amendment #3 Issued August 7, 2009)
Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence?
☐ Yes ✓ No
Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: This information was not included in the original Water Use Licence application. Water sources may be used by 1 or 2 drills at a time therefore the estimated water quantity used from a source may range between 81.8 and 163.6 m³/day.
Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence?
✓ Yes □ No
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.):
Camp – 10 m ³ /day, Drills – 290 m ³ /day
Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence? ✓ Yes ☐ No
Describe the method(s) of extraction: The water intake will consist of a submersible pump and a filtered intake that complies with DFO guidelines for screens to prevent the entrainment of fish.
Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?
✓ Yes \[\sum No \]
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): Amount fluctuates daily and is unknown at this time.
Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?
✓ Yes \[\sum No \]
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): Water which is returned to the source is simply excess water that has been extracted from the source and redirected back into the source. If and when this occurs the water has not been altered in any way and therefore is the same quality going back into the source as it is coming out.
14. WASTE
Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence?

daily

Properly sorted

		✓ Yes	No		
Check the appropriat	e box(s) to indicate the	ne types of waste(s)	generated and depos	ited.	
Animal Wa	s s/Scrap Metal	_	ted soil and/or water Drill Cuttings		
15. QUANTITY A	ND QUALITY OF W	ASTE INVOLVED			
Are the quantity(s) o	f the types of wastes	involved the same a	s those considered in	the existing licence?	
		✓ Yes	No		
Are the composition	s) of the types of was	tes involved the sar	ne as those considere	ed in the existing licence?	
, a data de impodiación	o, or and types or mad			a in the extending heeries.	
		▼ res	No		
Are the method(s) of licence?	treatment for the typ	es of waste involved	the same as those co	onsidered in the existing	
		✓ Yes	No		
Are the method(s) of	disposal for the types	of waste involved th	e same as those cons	sidered in the existing	
licence?		✓ Yes 🗆	No		
		_			
For each type of was treatment and methor		14, describe its com	position, quantity in cu	ubic meters/day, method of	
Type of Waste	Composition	Quantity Generated	Treatment	Disposal	
Sewage	Liquid	~6 m ³ /day	Method NA	Method Mixed with	
Comago	Liquid	o in rady	100	greywater for	
				disposal into a	
				designated low	
				lying area	
				located directly	
				south of camp	
Sewage	Solid	~0.8 m ³ /day	NA	Collected in	
				pacto-toilets,	
				Incinerated	
				daily in on-site	
				single chamber	
				cyclonator	
Colid Mosts	Donor non		NIA	incinerator.	
Solid Waste	Paper, non-		NA	Incinerated	

Hazardous

treated wood,

Used aerosol

food waste, domestic garbage

	cans, used oil			and stored for	
	filters			future transport	
				to an approved	
				facility	
Bulky Items/	Old non-			Properly sorted	
Scrap Metal	contaminated			and stored for	
	drill rods			future transport	
				for proper	
				disposal	
Waste Oil				Properly sorted	
				and stored for	
				future transport	
				to an approved	
Oustrusten	Ob several and	He to 40	IZitahan masa	facility	1
Greywater	Shower and	Up to 10	Kitchen grease	Discharged	
	kitchen water	m ³ /day	and food	into a shallow	
			particles removed inline	sump	
			removed inline	containing a drum filled with	
				different gravel sizes for	
				filtering. Drum	
				has holes in	
				bottom to allow	
				water to flow	
				out.	
Drill Cuttings	Uranium			Pumped to a	1
(non-	concentration			natural low	
mineralized)	<0.05%			lying	
minoralized)	40.0070			depression	
Drill Cuttings	Uranium			Collected and	1
(mineralized)	concentration			stored in	
(>0.05%			radioactive	
				storage	
				compound	
	HORIZATIONS b-surface and surface	land use authorization	s provided in Block 6	S, are the same	
authorizations required as considered in the existing licence?					
✓ Yes ☐ No For each provide the following:					
Authorization:					
Administering Agency:					
Project Activity:					
Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:					
17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES					

Are predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking and proposed mitigation measures the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes \[\sum No \]
Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste.
The predicted environmental effects/impacts continue to be negligible through the application of AREVA's Environmental Management System. This system includes AREVA's Spill Contingency Plan, Radiation Protection Plan, Abandonment and Restoration Plan, Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring Plan, Waste Management Plan, Noise Abatement Plan and Uranium Exploration Plan.
18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS
Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes \[\sum No \]
Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature.
Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users.
19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS
Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes
There are no predicted effects to the quality, quantity or flow of water flowing through Inuit Owned Lands.
Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO).
20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.
Consultations are carried out each year and reported in the Annual Report.
21. SECURITY INFORMATION
Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
☐ Yes ☐ No

Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
☐ Yes ☐ No
An estimate of the total financial security for the final reclamation was not submitted with the original water licence application.
Existing financial security is in place. AREVA is in the process of updating the financial assurance with approval from the Kivalliq Inuit Association and Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada. Once this estimate has been finalized AREVA can forward it to the NWB if required.
Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken.
Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut</i> , Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.
22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
✓ Yes □ No
Provide an updated statement of financial security.
As AREVA Resources Canada Inc. is not a publicly traded company financial statements are generally not shared. AREVA's assets, Sales Revenue and capital expenditures were provided to the NWB November 10, 2011 in the hard copy of Amendment #6 application. AREVA asks that this information be kept confidential.
If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:
Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
☐ Yes ✓ No
Provide a list of the officers of the company.
Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?
✓ Yes □ No
Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name.
23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE
List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.
An extensive environmental baseline program was undertaken between 2008 and 2011 in support of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) which was submitted to the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) in April 2012. The DEIS can be viewed on the NIRB ftp site.

Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for license specific direction in completing the assessment and report.

I licence conditions and how AREVA is in compliance are included in each Annual Report.
f in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.
PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE
s the time schedule for all phases of development (construction, operations, closure and post closure) the same as hat considered in the existing licence?
✓ Yes □ No
exploration activities run approximately May through September of each year. Construction of the camp is omplete; if any additions are planned in the future the appropriate regulatory agencies will be notified.
ndicate the proposed start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, peration, closure, and post closure).
Construction Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: (month/year) (month/year)
Operation Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date:
(month/year) (month/year) Closure Proposed Start Date:
Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: (month/year) (month/year) Post - Closure
Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: (month/year) (month/year)
or each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.
Construction ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☐ All season
Operation ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ✓ Summer ☐ Fall ☐ All season
<u>Closure</u> ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☐ All season
Post - Closure Winter Spring Summer Fall All season
5. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE
On what date does the existing licence expire? December 31, 2012
ndicate the proposed form of the renoval (maximum of 25 years). Expers

Indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years): 5 years

Requested date of renewal issuance: December 2012 Requested Expiry Date: December 2017 (month/year) December 2012 (month/year)				
(The requested date of renewal issuance must be <u>at least</u> three (3) months from the date of application for a type B water licence and <u>at least</u> one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of the water licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's <i>Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications</i> for more information)				
26. ANNUAL REPORTING				
Is the annual report template expected to be the same as that considered in the existing licence?				
✓ Yes □ No				
If not using the NWB's <u>Standardized Form for Annual Reporting</u> , provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report.				
The Kiggavik Project's annual report is intended to meet all permit and license annual reporting requirements. At minimum the Kiggavik Project's Annual Report will include the following:				
 Summary of Current Year's Field Activities Summary of Next Field Season's Planned Activities Operational Plans (Summary of how AREVA is following AREVA's Operational Plans including the Waste Management, Spill Contingency, Abandonment and Restoration, Wildlife Mitigation and Monitoring, Radiation Protection, Noise Abatement and Uranium Exploration Plans) Community Engagement Inspections Progressive Reclamation Canada Wide Standards Compliance With Conditions Water Consumption and Management Water Use at Camp and Drills Artesian Flows Drilling Within 30 m of the Ordinary High Water Mark at End Grid Site Reportable Spills Revised Operational Plans 				
27. CHECKLIST				
The following must be included with the application for renewal for the water licensing process to begin.				
Completed Application for Water Licence Renewal form.				
✓ Yes ☐ No If no, date expected				
Updated plans, including designs and reports (see Block 23).				
✓ Yes				

	Updated security assessment (see Block 21).			
	✓ Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Updated financial statement (see Block 22).			
	✓ Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).			
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If no, date expected	
	English Summary of Renewal Application.			
	✓ Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Renewal Application.			
	✓ Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).			
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If no, date expected	
	Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fit will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.			
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If no, date expected	
28.	SIGNATURE			
I, John Robbins (print name) certify that the application requires no changes to water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the information given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and complete.				
Signature Oct 21/2012 Date				