Attachment 1: Renewal Application Form



Application for Water Licence Renewal

Document Date: April 2013

Application Submission Date:

02/08/2017 Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel.: (867)360-6338 FAX:(867)360-6369 kNK5wmoEp5vtmpq NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT NUNAVUT WATER BOARD OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date:

April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

| | Description | Date |
|------|--|------------|
| (1) | Updated for public distribution as separate document from NWB Guide 7 | June 2010 |
| (2) | Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page | May 2011 |
| (3) | New NWB logo and request for background information | April 2013 |
| (4) | | |
| (5) | | |
| (6) | | |
| (7) | | |
| (8) | | |
| (9) | | |
| (10) | | |



P.O. Box 119

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 TEL: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369 kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a renewal only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- · a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- · a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- · a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licence</u> for more information about this application form.

Where possible, provide background information regarding the original licence application or attach previously submitted information.

| EXIST | TING LICENCE NO: 2BE-MLL1217 |
|--------|---|
| 1. | LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION |
| Is the | e licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| | , a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. A renewal will only be issued in the e of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence. |
| If the | licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change. |
| Nam | e: Sabina Gold & Silver Corp. |
| Addr | ess: 555 Burrard Street, Suite 375, Vancouver, BC, V7X 1M7 |
| Fax: | ne: 604-998-4175 604-998-1051 nil: info@sabinagoldsilver.com |

| 2. | LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE O | CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1. |
|------------------|---|--|
| Name | e: | |
| Addre | ess: | |
| | | |
| Phon | e: | |
| Fax: | | <u> </u> |
| | il: | |
| (Attac | ch authorization letter.) | |
| 3. | NAME OF PROJECT | |
| Is the | name of the project the same as tha | t considered in the existing water licence? |
| | | ✓ Yes □ No |
| Indicat Nunav | | the name of the location: Wishbone-Malley Project, Kitikmeot Region, |
| 4. | LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING | |
| Is the | location of the undertaking the sam | e as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| | | ✓ Yes □ No |
| Projec | ct Extents | |
| NW: | Latitude: (66° 00' 00" N) | Longitude: (109° 00' 00" W) |
| NE: | Latitude: (66° 00' 00" N) | Longitude: (106° 45' 00" W) |
| SE: | Latitude: (66° 45' 00" N) | Longitude: (106° 45′ 00" W) |
| SW: | Latitude: (66° 45' 00" N) | Longitude: (109° 00' 00" W) |
| Camp | Location(s) | |
| | cact location of seasonal/temporary time. Possible locations for seasonal | camps to support Sabina's exploration and resupply activities is unknown al/temporary camps: |
| | | |

| 5. | MAP |
|-----------------|---|
| Are the licence | locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing ? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| Attach | a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. (Attachment 2. Location Map) |
| | ap Sheet No.: <u>076F09, 076F16, 076G03, 076G05, 076G06, 076G12, 076G13</u> Map Name: <u>076F Nose</u> <u>1:50,000</u> Map Scale: <u>1:50,000</u> |
| | |

| 6. | NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND |
|-------|--|
| Is th | ne nature of the interest in the land the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| | eck any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the face' header must be checked). |
| | Sub-surface |
| | Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry: |
| | → Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Date (expected date) of issuance: October, 2007 Date of expiry: August, 2017 Date of |

| Claim # | Claim name | Claim # | Claim name | Claim # | Claim name |
|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| K10835 | MALLEY 8 | K09396 | Wishbone 24 | K15506 | Wishbone 216 |
| K10836 | MALLEY 9 | K09401 | Wishbone 30 | K15507 | Wishbone 217 |
| K10837 | MALLEY 10 | K09402 | Wishbone 31 | K15508 | Wishbone 218 |
| K10841 | MALLEY 15 | K09406 | Wishbone 34 | K15509 | Wishbone 219 |
| K10846 | MALLEY 19 | K09409 | Wishbone 35 | K15511 | Wishbone 221 |
| K10848 | MALLEY 21 | K09410 | Wishbone 37 | K15512 | Wishbone 222 |
| K10849 | MALLEY 22 | K09416 | Wishbone 46 | K15513 | Wishbone 223 |
| F79382 | Wishbone 1 | K09418 | Wishbone 38 | K15514 | Wishbone 224 |
| F79383 | Wishbone 2 | K09419 | Wishbone 36 | K15528 | Wishbone 238 |
| F79384 | Wishbone 3 | K12031 | Wishbone 201 | K15529 | Wishbone 239 |
| F79385 | Wishbone 4 | K12032 | Wishbone 200 | K15531 | Wishbone 241 |
| K09392 | Wishbone 20 | K15498 | Wishbone 208 | K15533 | Wishbone 243 |
| K09395 | Wishbone 23 | K15505 | Wishbone 215 | K15534 | Wishbone 244 |
| | | | - t | K15536 | Wishbone 246 |
| | | | | Lease 3701 | Needle |

| Surface | |
|--|--|
| | on from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) be: February, 2016 Date of expiry: February, 2017 |
| | orization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA) ce: <u>December, 2016</u> Date of expiry: <u>December, 2017</u> |
| ☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalli Date (expected date) of issuance | iq Inuit Association (KivIA) ce: Date of expiry: |
| ☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqt Date (expected date) of issuance | tani Inuit Association (QIA) ce: Date of expiry: |
| Commissioner's Land Use Al Date (expected date) of issuance | uthorization ce: Date of expiry: |
| Other | |
| Date (expected date) of issuance | ce: Date of expiry: |
| Is the name of the entity(s) holding auth | norizations the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| If No, a licence assignment must be co | mpleted and approved by the NWB. |
| Name of entity(s) holding authorizations | s: Sabina Gold & Silver Corp. |
| | |
| . NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMI | ISSION (NPC) DETERMINATION |
| s the undertaking located in the same | land use planning area as that considered in the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| indicate the land use planning area in v | which the project is located. |
| ☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq | ☐ Keewatin ☐ Sanikiluaq ✓ West Kitikmeot |
| | nination required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water |
| | ☐ Yes ✓ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued and attach | сору |
| Does the proposed renewal change the | e original NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one? |
| Annual Company of the | □Yes ✓ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued (or expecte | ed) and attach a copy n NPC confirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required. |
| See attached NPC email dated Decem | |

| 8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD (NIRB) DETERMINATION |
|---|
| Was a screening determination required from NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy. <u>Activities have been screened under NIRB File No. 08EN084. See NIRB's letter dated August 5th, 2010 for NIRB Files No. 06EN033 and 08EA084 confirming the activities in this license were exempt from screening at that time (Attachment 4).</u> |
| Does the proposed renewal change the original NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one? |
| □Yes ✓ No |
| If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy |
| Activities have been screened under NIRB File No. 08EN084. See NIRB's letter dated August 5 th , 2010 for NIRB Files No. 06EN033 and 08EA084 confirming the activities in this license were exempt from screening at that time (Attachment 4). |
| 9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING |
| Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal. |
| See the non-technical summary (Attachment 6). No meaningful changes to the undertaking are proposed. |
| V V |
| |
| 10. OPTIONS |
| Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project the same as those considered in the existing water licence? |
| ✓ Yes □ No |
| Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project. |
| Sabina is committed to minimizing its footprint due to on-going exploration activities. The option of supporting Wishbone-Malley exploration activities using existing Goose and George camp facilities is preferred, however, the need to ensure personnel safety means that the option of having temporary and/or seasonal camps in the project area is needed. |
| In considering location for camps, Sabina has identified a location of historic exploration. Ultimately, the primary locations will be chosen based on safety, operational needs, and environmental conditions. Sabina will notify regulators of the selected locations 30 days prior to installation. |

| 11. | CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTA | AKING |
|-------|---|---|
| Is th | primary undertaking the same as that conside | ered in the existing water licence? |
| | · | Yes No |
| Indic | ate the primary classification of undertaking by | checking one of the following boxes. |
| | ☐ Industrial ✓ Mining and Milling (includes exploration/d ☐ Conservation ☐ Municipal (includes camps/lodges) ☐ Power | ☐ Agricultural drilling/exploration camps) ☐ Recreational ☐ Miscellaneous (describe below): |
| | Schedule II of the Northwest Territories Waters | Regulations for Description of Undertakings. |
| 12. | WATER USE | |
| Is th | e type(s) of water use(s) the same as that cons | Yes No |
| Che | ck the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s) |) of water use(s) being applied for. |
| | ▼ To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes ▼ To obtain water for industrial purposes ▼ To cross a watercourse ▼ To alter the flow of, or store water ☐ Other: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| 13. | QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED |
|---------------|---|
| Is the | e source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence? |
| Name | e of water source(s): Domestic camp use - local lakes; Industrial use - lakes proximal to drilling targets |
| Is the | e quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| low T Most | ribe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s): In general, lakes in the area contain SS and turbidity levels, are nutrient poor, and contain low metal concentrations, typical of Arctic lakes. lakes have soft, near-neutral water, that is low in alkalinity. Naturally elevated metal concentrations occur me lakes and likely results from the proximity of the lakes to metal-bearing minerals in the landscape. |
| Is the | e overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| Provi | ide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: 200 m³/day |
| Are t | the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing ce? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| use; | ide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: 70 m³/d from local lakes for domestic 130 m³/d from lakes proximal to drilling targets |
| Are t | the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| Provid | de the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.): <u>Domestic use: 70m³/day;</u> <u>Industrial Use: 130 m³/day</u> |
| Are t | the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| Desc witho | cribe the method(s) of extraction: Same as existing. Intakes will be equipped with mesh fish screens and drawal rates will meet the DFO water intake guidelines. Water intake will also be metered and quantified. |
| Are | the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| Estin | nated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s): 0 m³/day |
| Are | the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence? |
| | ✓ Yes □ No |
| | |

☐ Animal Waste
☐ Other (describe):

Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s): Water used during drilling will be recycled and reused as much as possible to minimize operational water quantity. Return drill water will contain suspended solids from drill cuttings which will be captured and disposed of in a properly constructed sump or in an appropriate natural depression located at a distance of at least thirty-one (31) meters from the ordinary high water mark of any adjacent water body. Any water that remains of baseline concentrations will be pumped back to the original waterbody or allowed to drain onto the tundra and percolate into the ground to return to the local watershed. 14. WASTE Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence? ☐ No Yes Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) generated and deposited. Waste oil Sewage Solid Waste Greywater Hazardous Sludges Contaminated soil and/or water → Bulky Items/Scrap Metal

| 15. QUANTIT | Y AND QUALITY OF V | VASTE INVOLVED | la e | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Are the quantity(| s) of the types of waste | s involved the same | as those considered in the existing | g licence? |
| | | ✓ Yes [| □No | |
| Are the composi | tion(s) of the types of w | astes involved the s | ame as those considered in the ex | kisting licence? |
| | | ✓ Yes [| □No | |
| Are the method(s licence? | s) of treatment for the ty | pes of waste involve | ed the same as those considered | in the existing |
| | | ✓ Yes [| □ No | |
| Are the method(: licence? | s) of disposal for the typ | es of waste involve | d the same as those considered in | the existing |
| | | ✓ Yes | □No | |
| | waste indicated in Bloc ethod of disposal. | k 14, describe its co | mposition, quantity in cubic meters | s/day, method of |
| Type of Waste | Composition | Quantity Generated | Treatment Method | Disposal Method |
| Sewage | Pacto bags | 1-2 bags/day | Incineration | Offsite |

| Type of Waste | Composition | Quantity Generated | Treatment Method | Disposal Method |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Sewage | Pacto bags | 1-2 bags/day | Incineration | Offsite |
| Solid Waste | Paper, wood, food wastes | 10 bags/day | Incineration | Offsite |
| Hazardous | Waste oil, batteries | Variable | Backhauled to Yellowknife | Offsite |
| Bulky items/ scrap metal | Empty drums | Variable | Drained, crushed and strapped or shipped whole | Offsite |
| Waste Oil | Waste oil | Variable | Burned in on site waste oil furnaces | Offsite |
| Greywater | Kitchen, bathing, laundry | 3 m³/day | Natural attenuation | Collection sump |
| Sludges | Water and rock mixture from drilling | 500 gallons | Natural attenuation | Collection sump |
| Contaminated soil and/or water | Contaminated soil and/or water | 1 m ³ | Backhaul to Yellowknife or oil/water separator | Offsite |

| 16. OTHER A | UTHORIZATIONS |
|--|---|
| | sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6, are the same quired as considered in the existing licence? |
| For each provide | ✓ Yes □ No the following: |
| Authorization: | |
| Administering Age | ency: |
| Project Activity: _ | |
| Date (expected d | ate) of issuance: Date of expiry: |
| N/A. There are no | other authorizations required. |
| 17. PREDICTI | ED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION |
| considered in the | ironmental impacts of the undertaking and proposed mitigation measures the same as those existing water licence? ✓ Yes □ No |
| Describe direct, in | ndirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste. |
| The potential envir operation and drilli | ronmental impacts related to the use of the water and the disposal of waste from camp ing are as follows: |
| Camp was Greywater ordinary h Drills and disturbanc Water from Drill cutting depression any adjace | n drilling operation will be recirculated to minimize the quantity of water used. gs are captured and disposed of in a properly constructed sump or in an appropriate natural in located at a distance of at least thirty-one (31) meters from the ordinary high water mark of ent water body. site will be inspected for residual cuttings and necessary reclamation. Drill casing will be cut |
| Any potential impa Sabina notes that | act of the project as currently defined will be mitigated with best management practices. recent INAC inspection reports commend Sabina on its reclamation and handling of waste. |

| 18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHE | R WATER USERS |
|--|---|
| use in precedence to the application, domestic us | ersons or property including those that hold licences for water ers, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of atfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of considered in the existing water licence? |
| • | Yes No |
| affected by the proposed undertaking, including application, domestic users, in-stream users, aut | for any known persons or properties that may be adversely those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the thorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a |
| Advise the Board if compensation has been paid a any existing or other users. | and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with |
| Not applicable. | |
| 19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS | |
| Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quality, the same as those considered in the existing to | antity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land water licence? |
| • | Yes No |
| Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the cland (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations had loss or damage has been reached with one or more | quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned ave commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any Designated Inuit Organization (DIO). |
| Not applicable. | |
| 20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of a held, where and with whom. Include a list of | ny consultation meetings including when the meetings were f concerns expressed and measures to address concerns. |
| No consultation meetings were held in conjunction we consultation with nearby communities regarding its Environmental Impact Statement. | with this request. However, Sabina has carried out extensive Back River Project, as documented in Volume 3 of its Final |
| | |

| 21. SECURITY INFORMATION | d d | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Is the financial security assessment the same as that consid | dered in the existing water licence? | | | |
| Yes | □No | | | |
| Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclama- licence? | ation the same as that considered in the existing water | | | |
| | □No | | | |
| Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. | | | | |
| Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut</i> , Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002. | | | | |
| See the Abandonment and Reclamation Plan and Reclamati | on Estimate (Attachment 8). | | | |
| 22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | | | | |
| Is the statement of financial security the same as that consider | dered in the existing water licence? | | | |
| □Yes | □No | | | |
| Provide an updated statement of financial security. | 100 | | | |
| If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below: | | | | |
| Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those of | considered in the existing water licence? | | | |
| Yes | ✓ No | | | |
| Provide a list of the officers of the company. | | | | |
| Bruce McLeod, Director, President and Chief Executive Offi Elaine Bennet, Chief Financial Officer and Vice-President, F Nicole Hoeller, Vice-President, Communications and Corpo Wes Carson, Vice-President, Project Development Angus Campbell, Vice-President, Exploration Matthew Pickard, Vice-President, Environment and Sustains | rate Secretary | | | |
| Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration | of the company name the same? | | | |
| ✓ Yes | □No | | | |
| Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence | of registration of the company name. | | | |
| See attached (Attachment 7). | | | | |

23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE

List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.

Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report.

If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.

Sabina's Final Environmental Impact Statement, available on the NIRB public registry, presents the latest environmental baseline studies of the nearby Back River Project.

Monitoring under the Type B Water Licence is presented in previous annual reports and available on the NWB public registry.

The INAC Land Use Inspector conducted an inspection in August, 2016. There were no instances of non-compliance resulting from the inspection (Attachment 5).

| 24. | 4. PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Is the time schedule for all phases of development (construction, operations, closure and post closure) the same as that considered in the existing licence? | | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes □ No | | | | | | |
| | ite the proposed s tion, closure, and | | | tes for ea | ch applicable phase of develo | pment (construction, | |
| | Construction Proposed Start | Date: | March, 201 (month/yea | | Proposed Completion Date: | March, 2022 (month/year) | |
| | Operation Proposed Start Date: | | March, 2017 Pr (month/year) | | Proposed Completion Date: | March, 2022 (month/year) | |
| | Closure Proposed Start | Date: | Proposed Completion Date: | | (month/year) | | |
| | Post - Closure Proposed Start | Date: | (month/yea | F | Proposed Completion Date: | This is a solution of the | |
| For ea | ch applicable pha | se of dev | (month/yea | 9 3 6 2 - 10 - 15 (1970 | th season(s) activities occur. | (month/year) | |
| | Construction | Spring | Summer | Fall | ✓ All season | | |
| | Operation Winter | Spring | Summer | Fall | ✓ All season | es es | |
| | Closure Winter | Spring | Summer | Fall | All season | | |
| - | Post - Closure Winter | Spring | Summer | Fall | ☐ All season | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 25. | PROPOSED TERM OF LIC | ENCE | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| On w | hat date does the existing lice | ence expire? March 26th | ^h , 2017 | | | |
| Indic | ate the proposed term of the re | enewal (maximum of 2 | 5 years): <u>5 years</u> | | | |
| Requ | uested date of renewal issuance | ce: March 27 th , 2017 (month/year) | Requested E | Expiry Date: | March 26 th , 2022 (month/year) | |
| licence licence plannir accord | equested date of renewal issuant and at least one (1) year from a application. These timeframes and or development impact requirement ance with any project specific granal information. See the NWB's (| the date of application for are approximate and do rements, time for the ap- uidelines issued by the I | or a type A water not account for the oplicant to prepare NWB, or the time | licence, to allow time to complet and submit a for the applican | for processing of te any pre-licensing water licence appl t to respond to rec | the water land use ication in |
| 26. | ANNUAL REPORTING | | | | | |
| Is the | annual report template expect | ted to be the same as t | hat considered in | the existing li | cence? | |
| | | → Yes | □No | | | |
| | using the NWB's <u>Standardized</u> s and a proposed outline or te | | | tails regarding | the content of an | nual |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | (7) | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 27. | CHECKLIST | | | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The fo | llowing must be included | with the application | n for renewal for the water licensing process to begin. | | | |
| | Completed Application for Water Licence Renewal form. | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | Updated plans, including designs and reports (see Block 23). | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | Updated security assessment (see Block 21). | | | | | |
| | → Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | Updated financial statement (see Block 22). | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23). | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | English Summary of Renewal Application. | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Renewal Application. | | | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| | Application fee of \$30. | 00 CDN (Payee Re | eceiver General for Canada). | | | |
| | ✓ Yes | □No | If no, date expected | | | |
| = | Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence. | | | | | |
| | → Yes | □ No | If no, date expected | | | |
| 28. | SIGNATURE | | | | | |
| 1, | Merk Kufe | (print nam | ne) | | | |
| certify | that the application requiation given on this form is | res no changes to s, to the best of my | water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the knowledge, correct and complete. | | | |
| Signat | ture | Date Date | , 2017 | | | |