From: Phyllis Beaulieu
To: "Rein Lehari"
Cc: Melissa Joy (Melissa.Joy@aandc-aadnc.gc.ca)
Subject: RE: Silvermet"s Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX) -drill site reclamation
Date: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 11:32:00 AM

Good day Rein – in 2010 we were in contact with various persons, Corey Segboer, yourself, Lands Administration in Iqaluit regarding non-compliance issues, and a request for open burning. The request for open burning was distributed for review and comments were received from KiA, EC and INAC. Prior to authorizing this activity our office requested that the company provide outstanding documentation, namely annual reports and water use fees. The water use fees are currently up to date, however no annual reports have been received. These are a requirement of the licence whether or not any activities were conducted on site. If you wish to activate the request for open burning and receive our Board Approval we would appreciate the submission of the missing annual reports. Once received we can request our Board to review the open burn request and make a determination.
Regards,

From: Rein Lehari [mailto:rlehari@silvermet.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2012 1:43 PM
To: 'Phyllis Beaulieu'
Subject: RE: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX) -drill site reclamation

Dear Phyllis

I understand the request for open burning was previously made to you by Aurora Geosciences.

David Abernathy provided a technical report on May 21, 2010 that indicated they would support the burning of non-treated wood, provided any residuals (eg nails) were subsequently removed.

Paula Smith of Environment Canada appeared to accept such application in her May 21, 2010 report.

Luigi Toretti of KIA also seemed to support the application in his May 17, 2010 letter.

A May 28, 2010 letter from you to Aurora Geosciences requested submission of water use fees for 2009 and 2010 as well as the annual reports for 2007, 2008 and 2009.

Attached is the aerial photo of the campsite taken last summer. We are organizing with Kikiak Contracting for the final clean up. They have requested that they burn any of the untreated remaining wood and will spread the ash and clean out any residual metals. From the photo, you can see that there is only limited wood that appears at site, so the burning would be a relatively small event.

I believe the water use fees were previously submitted. I am working to having the annual reports completed. However, I am having to obtain this information from data that Aurora Geosciences had

transmitted to us in the past or request this information again. I am not sure why Aurora Geosciences did not file the reports but I will have these rectified over the next month or two. In the interim, in case Kikiak has a window to get their guys in for the clean up, I would like to be able to confirm to them that they could burn the wood.

Could you help me determine how to deal with this matter.

Best regards

Rein

From: Phyllis Beaulieu [mailto:licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org]
Sent: April-23-12 4:26 PM
To: 'Rein Lehari'
Cc: 'Melissa Joy'; NWB Sean Joseph; 'NWB Karén Kharatyan'
Subject: RE: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX) -drill site reclamation

Good day Rein – I have forwarded your query to our technical staff for a response.
Regards,

From: Rein Lehari [mailto:rlehari@silvermet.ca]
Sent: Monday, April 23, 2012 12:58 PM
To: licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org
Cc: Melissa Joy
Subject: FW: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX) -drill site reclamation

Dear Phyllis

You have seen the correspondence below. Could you let me know how we would go about making a request for modification or amendment of the license provision in respect of the drill holes.

Best regards

Rein

From: Melissa Joy [mailto:Melissa.Joy@aandc-aadnc.gc.ca]
Sent: April-19-12 2:58 PM
To: Rein Lehari
Subject: RE: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX) -drill site reclamation

Hi Rein,

The Nunavut Mining Symposium is just wrapping up in Iqaluit; representatives from the NWB are in town here. You should be able to get in touch with them on Monday. I don't know if you will need to submit an application for a "modification" request vs. an "amendment" in this circumstance; you would need to get clarification from the NWB on this.

It should not affect your reclamation activities at this time. However, as per my previous comments on your compliance plan, as we have no physical/photographic record of drill reclamation activities from Silvermet/Aurora you will need to ensure this is documented (as per my previous comments).

You can note your application to the NWB for this issue and move forward with the revisions to your compliance plan. Please keep me informed as to the outcome of communications with the NWB.

Best regards,
Melissa

>>> "Rein Lehari" <rlehari@silvermet.ca> 4/19/2012 1:43 PM >>>
Dear Melissa

Thank you for this information. It is clear that our drilling does not fall into one of the three categories. How should we go about seeking an amendment from NWB? Do we make a specified type of application, or what is the best way to proceed?

Best regards

Rein

From: Melissa Joy [mailto:Melissa.Joy@aandc-aadnc.gc.ca]
Sent: April-19-12 10:22 AM
To: Rein Lehari
Cc: Andrew Keim; NU LandsMining; Phyllis Beaulieu
Subject: RE: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX) -drill site reclamation

Hi Rein,

It is our understanding that unless the following conditions apply, then it is not standard industry practice to permanently cap/seal drill holes:
1) artesian conditions
2) uranium-based exploration
3) underground mine development/considerations

As an Inspector, I do not have the authority to allow/disallow activity contrary to the terms in the water licence (ie. Part I, item 9); however the NWB can make a modification to your water licence that will allow us to move forward on this. We would refer to the NWB on this matter, and we (AANDC) would support the removal/adjustment of this specific term under these circumstances.

Melissa

>>> "Rein Lehari" <rlehari@silvermet.ca> 4/18/2012 10:16 AM >>>
Dear Melissa and Phyllis

Have you had a chance to consider the comment of Aurora Geosciences re the plugging of drill holes? If the holes do need to be plugged, then we would have to go into the field with concrete and place a concrete patch on the ground at each hole.

Rein

From: Rein Lehari [mailto:rlehari@silvermet.ca]
Sent: April-13-12 4:44 PM
To: 'Melissa Joy'

Cc: 'Phyllis Beaulieu'
Subject: RE: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX)

Dear Melissa

I am waiting for confirmation from Kikiak on their timing and will get back to you as soon as I have it.

You pointed me to Part I, Paragraph 9, which indicates that reclamation of drill holes must include removal of any casing materials and the capping of holes with a permanent seal.

There were 26 drill holes. Of these, rods and casings were removed from23. In the case of 3 holes, some rods were lost or some casing could not be removed.

In all cases, the holes were left unplugged.

Gary Vivian of Aurora Geosciences sent me the following note:

No materials left in hole means that casing and drill rods were all removed. The only time a "plug" is required is when the hole allows ground water to seep out of it and you have to cap the hole with concrete, essentially plugging the hole. No casing or rods were left to stick up above the collar.
Could you help me reconcile these comments.
Best regards
Rein

From: Melissa Joy [mailto:Melissa.Joy@aandc-aadnc.gc.ca]
Sent: April-12-12 5:41 PM
To: Rein Lehari
Cc: Phyllis Beaulieu
Subject: Re: Silvermet's Muskox Camp (2BE-MSX)

Dear Rein,

I have had a chance to review your proposed plan and have several comments for incorporation:

-No actual date was set to meet outstanding requirements: Would **July 31/12** be an agreeable date for completion of site cleanups (ie. item #4 of your Plan), outstanding administrative requirements (ie. annual reports) and final reporting of reclamation activities (as per Part B, item 2 iv) of the water licence?

-For item #3, you refer to the "site" -you need to be more specific: which site(s) and gps location(s) were fuel drums removed from?

-For item #5, these items are agreeable, however item (a) also needs to address the water licence under Part D, items 4-6 & 10 (ie. documented authorization from the community of Kugluktuk prior to the backhauling of any waste, etc). Please note that the Kugluktuk municipal waste dump is not considered an "approved site" for the purposes of haz waste disposal. Please ensure you specify between actual disposal locations and staging areas (ie. to await backhaul to an approved site) and provide the records of disposal accordingly.

-For item #7, in terms of drill sites and reclamation, you need to ensure Part F, item 2 and Part I, item 9

are met. As well as coordinates, please provide photographic documentation of the condition these drill sites were left in. If reclamation is required, this info is to be provided as soon as possible to the Inspector, as well as documented in your final report.

-Please ensure there is a listing for all fuel caches and drill laydown areas, complete with coordinates and description of inventory removed as well as photographic documentation of clean up (both before/after where applicable).

Best regards,
Melissa

Melissa Joy, B. Env Sc.
Water Resource Officer
Aboriginal Affairs & Northern Development Canada
P.O. Box 100, Iqaluit, NU, X0A 0H0
PH: (867) 975-4288
FAX: (867) 979-6445
email: melissa.joy@aandc.gc.ca

This transmission is confidential. Any unauthorized disclosure, distribution, or other use is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please contact sender immediately and delete this transmission and any attachments.
>>> "Rein Lehari" <rlehari@silvermet.ca> 4/9/2012 5:02 PM >>>
Dear Melissa

Please find attached a letter proposing our program to complete the reclamation work. I thought it would be easiest to send by email but I can forward an original as well if you prefer. Please let me know when you are in receipt of the letter and whether this satisfies the requirements.

Best regards

Rein

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2411/4932 - Release Date: 04/12/12

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2411/4946 - Release Date: 04/19/12

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2411/4946 - Release Date: 04/19/12

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2411/4954 - Release Date: 04/23/12