

Richard Dwyer

From: licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2007 3:04 PM
To: licensingtrainee@nunavutwaterboard.org
Subject: Fwd: Re: Application for Variance - Maze Lake File # NWB - 2BE /KIA KVL307-C04

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Purple



glog.vcf (319 B)

Richard, please file this to the NPC section for this file. Thanks.

----- Forwarded message from glog@mts.net -----

Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2007 16:46:42 -0500
From: Patrick Lengyel <glog@mts.net>
Reply-To: Patrick Lengyel <glog@mts.net>
Subject: Re: Application for Variance - Maze Lake File # NWB - 2BE / KIA
KVL307-C04
To: aglukark@npc.nunavut.ca

Brian,

Thank you for your update. We were not aware of the Appendix H document that you refer to, it is not part of the downloadable document from the KIA website.

>From what I can tell in reading this new document, we did not need a variance for what we wanted to do and could have gone ahead with the work providing we conformed to the rules pertaining to animal interaction and had permission from KIA. As I hope everyone is aware, that permission was not granted and we are confining our work to the period from July 15th onward outside of the main CPM period.

We did meet with KIA representatives, including Stephen Hartman, in Rankin Inlet as part of our community consultation subsequent to the submission of our applications, and we did discuss what requirements would have to be met to obtain that permission (which I erroneously referred to previously as a variance). Should this project continue, I am confident that we will be able to work with KIA on this issue to their satisfaction.

Perhaps you could tell me if there a summary document of any type that describes the permitting processes and authorities in Nunavut?

Something that identifies the required permits, to what agencies/institutions/authorities they are submitted to, in what order, and what the authority is of each of those destinations? Most companies want to fully comply but find it quite difficult to determine what their responsibilities are. I think a lot of the difficulties and confusion we have experienced could have been avoided if there was either a master document, or some type of one-stop shopping entity or person one could call to determine what is required.

Thanks for your support.

Patrick Lengyel

Brian Aglukark wrote:

Hello;

Apologies for not responding sooner, we got weathered out in Rankin Inlet where winds went as high as 90KM per hour, 7 power polls went down in Arviat and created some confusion for a good part of Saturday.

The Keewatin Regional Land Use Plan (KRLUP) does not have provisions for a (minor) variance. Therefore the application for a variance submitted by the Terrane Metals Corp. is not approved. Though the NPC can incorporate a process where a (minor) variance be granted under the NLCA s. 11.5.10, this current plan does not allow such a process.

Please note that the CMP's that were attached to the conformity determination process for this project proposal dated May 17, 2007 are for projects located on Crown lands, though this project is located on a IOL parcel.

I have attached the correct CPM's to this e mail which state that operations between May 15 and July 15 are permitted as long permission is granted by the land owner, and, if certain types of work (listed in the attachment) will not be conducted.

Therefore the request by the proponent to conduct certain type(s) of operation(s) must be submitted to the Kivalliq Inuit Association and not NPC.

Any questions, concerns, please do not hesitate.

Brian Aglukark, NPC

Arviat

-- Patrick Lengyel, P. Geo. Geological Consultant 23-845 Dakota Street, Suite 330 Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R2M 5M3 tel 204 255 4037 fax 204 255 0708 cel 204 294 3006 email glog@mts.net

----- End forwarded message -----