



NIRB File No. 08EA041

QIA File No. Q08L3C03

NWB File No. 2BE-RBP0811

INAC File No. N2007C0033

May 14, 2009

Mr. Thomas Alikatuktuk
President, Qikiqtani Inuit Association
c/o John Amagoalik
Director of Lands and Resources
Qikiqtani Inuit Association
Iqaluit, NU

Via email: lands@qia.ca

Re: Application Exempt from Screening under NLCA S.12.4.3: Advanced Explorations Inc.'s Land Use License Application with the Qikiqtani Inuit Association for its Roche Bay Mining Project

Dear John Amagoalik:

On April 28, 2009 the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) received a land use license application from the Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) for Advanced Explorations Inc.'s (AEI) Roche Bay Mining Project.

Please be advised that the original project proposal (NIRB File No.08EA041) was received by NIRB from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) on April 2, 2008. The proposal was screened in accordance with Part 4, Article 12 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA). On May 16, 2008 the NIRB issued a 12.4.4(a) screening decision to INAC allowing the proposed project to proceed subject to project-specific recommended terms and conditions.

The current QIA application, the original NIRB screening file (08EA041) and Screening Decision Report are available from the NIRB's ftp site at the following link:

http://ftp.nirb.ca/SCREENINGS/COMPLETED%20SCREENINGS/ARCHIVE/2008_SCREENINGS/08EA041-Advanced%20Explorations%20Inc/

Please note that Section 12.4.3 of the NLCA states that:

“Any application for a component or activity of a project proposal that has been permitted to proceed in accordance with these provisions shall be exempt from the requirement for screening by NIRB unless:

(a) such component or activity was not part of the original project proposal; or

(b) its inclusion would significantly modify the project.”

After a thorough assessment of the project proposal and the application information provided, NIRB has determined that the proposal does not significantly change the general scope of the original project activities and the exceptions noted above do not apply. Therefore, this application is exempted from screening as per Section 12.4.3 of the NLCA and the activities therein remain subject to the terms and conditions recommended in the original May 16, 2008 Screening Decision Report (attached).

If you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact the NIRB's Technical Advisor, Ryan Barry, at (867) 983-4608 or rbarry@nirb.ca.

Best regards,



Stephanie Autut
Executive Director

Cc: Gary Williams – AEI (gary@advanced-exploration.com)
Bruce Andrews – AEI (rebandrews@gmail.com)
Salamonie Shoo – QIA (landadmin@qia.ca)
Spencer Dewar – INAC (spencer.dewar@inac.ainc.gc.ca)
Phyllis Beaulieu – NWB (licensing@nunavutwaterboard.org)

Attachment: NIRB Screening Decision Report, File No. 08EA041 (May 16, 2008)



SCREENING DECISION REPORT NIRB FILE NO.: 08EA041

INAC File No.: N2007C0033
QIA File No.: Q07L3C04, Q06L3C07
NWB File No.: 2BE-RBP0608

May 16, 2008

Honourable Minister Chuck Strahl
Minister of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
10 Wellington, 21st Floor
Gatineau, QC
K1A 0H4

Via email: strahl.c@parl.gc.ca

Re: Advanced Explorations Inc.'s Roche Bay Mining Project, NIRB File No. 08EA041

Dear Honourable Minister Strahl:

The primary objectives of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA) are set out in section 12.2.5 of the Land Claims Agreement. This section reads:

In carrying out its functions, the primary objectives of the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB or Board) shall be at all times to protect and promote the existing and future well-being of the residents and communities of the Nunavut Settlement Area, and to protect the ecosystemic integrity of the Nunavut Settlement Area. NIRB shall take into account the well-being of the residents of Canada outside the Nunavut Settlement Area.

Section 12.4.4 of the NLCA states:

Upon receipt of a project proposal, NIRB shall screen the proposal and indicate to the Minister in writing that:

- a) the proposal may be processed without a review under Part 5 or 6; NIRB may recommend specific terms and conditions to be attached to any approval, reflecting the primary objectives set out in Section 12.2.5;
- b) the proposal requires review under Part 5 or 6; NIRB shall identify particular issues or concerns which should be considered in such a review;
- c) the proposal is insufficiently developed to permit proper screening, and should be returned to the proponent for clarification; or
- d) the potential adverse impacts of the proposal are so unacceptable that it should be modified or abandoned.

NIRB Assessment and Decision

After a thorough assessment of all material provided to the Board (please see *Procedural History* and *Project Activities* in **Appendix A**), in accordance with the principles identified within Section 12.4.2 of the NLCA, the decision of the Board as per Section 12.4.4 of the NLCA is:

12.4.4 (a): the proposal may be processed without a review under Part 5 or 6; NIRB may recommend specific terms and conditions to be attached to any approval, reflecting the primary objectives set out in Section 12.2.5.

Recommended Project-Specific Terms and Conditions, pursuant to Section 12.4.4(a) of the NLCA

The Board is recommending the following or similar project-specific terms and conditions be imposed upon the Proponent through all relevant legislation:

General

1. Advanced Explorations Inc. (the Proponent) shall maintain a copy of the Screening Decision Report issued by the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) at the site of operation at all times and shall ensure that all employees/contractors associated with the project are aware of the terms and conditions therein.
2. The Proponent shall forward copies of all authorizations obtained and required for this project to the NIRB prior to the commencement of the project. This is to include the most recently amended versions of the following:
 - a. Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) Land Use License No.'s Q07L3C04, Q06L3C07 and any newly issued permit for fuel transport from Hall Beach;
 - b. Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) Land Use Permit No.'s N2007C0033, N2006J0018;
 - c. Nunavut Water Board (NWB) Water License No. 2BE-RBP0608.
3. The Proponent shall notify the NIRB of any changes in operating plans or conditions associated with this project prior to any such change.
4. The Proponent shall operate in accordance with all commitments stated in correspondence provided to NIRB including but not limited to the following:
 - a. QIA land use permit amendment application, dated February 25, 2008;
 - b. Letter to Mr. Jeff Holwell (INAC) re: Amendment to Land Use Permit N2007C0033, dated February 25, 2008;
 - c. Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan, dated March 2008;
 - d. Abandonment and Restoration Plan, revised April 2007.
 - e. Oil and Hazardous Material Spill Contingency Plan, revised January 21, 2008
5. The Proponent shall operate the site in accordance with all applicable Acts, Regulations and Guidelines.

Water

6. The Proponent shall not use water, including constructing or disturbing any stream, lakebed or the banks of any definable water course unless approved by the NWB.

7. The Proponent shall not deposit or permit the deposit of sediment into any water body.
8. It is recommended the Proponent seek a letter of advice from Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) regarding its proposed operational procedures for drilling on sea ice. Contact Amy Liu at (867) 979-8007 or liua@dfo-mpo.gc.ca.
9. The Proponent shall not move any equipment or vehicles over lake ice or sea ice without first testing the thickness of the ice to ensure it is capable of fully supporting the equipment or vehicles.
10. Drilling additives or mud shall not be used in connection with holes drilled through lake ice or sea ice unless they are re-circulated or contained such that they do not enter the water, or are demonstrated to be non-toxic.
11. The Proponent is advised that if artesian flow is encountered, the drill holes must be immediately plugged and permanently sealed.
12. The *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* lists calcium chloride (CaCl) as a toxic substance. The Proponent shall therefore ensure that if CaCl is used as a drill additive, all sumps containing CaCl are properly constructed and located in such a manner to ensure that the contents will not enter any water body.

Waste

13. The Proponent shall incinerate all combustible wastes daily, and remove the ash from incineration activities and non-combustible wastes from the project site to an approved facility for disposal.
14. The Proponent shall apply appropriate technologies to ensure the complete combustion of wastes. The use of a dual chamber, forced-air incinerator is recommended. It is recommended the Proponent ensure incineration emissions comply with the standards outlined in the *Canada-Wide Standards for Dioxins and Furans* and the *Canada-Wide Standards for Mercury Emissions*.
15. The Proponent shall not burn or incinerate hazardous wastes and shall ensure that all hazardous material is managed, removed from site and disposed in accordance with the *Environmental Protection Act (EPA)* and the Nunavut Hazardous Waste Disposal Manual.
16. The Proponent shall ensure that no waste oil will be incinerated on site. All waste oil will be transported off site and disposed of at an approved facility. A waste manifest must accompany the shipment of all waste oil and the proponent must register with the GN-DoE. Contact Robert Eno at reno@gov.nu.ca or (867) 975-7748 to obtain a manifest if hazardous waste is generated during project activities.
17. The Proponent is encouraged to implement a comprehensive waste management strategy (especially waste segregation) to reduce and control the volumes of waste produced, transported and disposed of.
18. The Proponent shall keep all garbage and debris in bags placed in a covered metal container or equivalent until disposed of. All wastes should be kept inaccessible to wildlife at all times.

Fuel and Chemical Storage

19. The Proponent shall revise its Oil and Hazardous Material Spill Contingency Plan to reflect procedures and protocols for recently amended activities, including bulk fuel transport via skid from Hall beach and geotechnical drilling activities on sea ice. A copy of the revised plan must be submitted to the QIA, INAC and the NIRB prior to commencement of these activities in 2008.

20. The Proponent shall consult and implement the recommendations found in the 2003 Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Guidance Document PN 1326 entitled "Environmental Code of Practice for Above Ground and Underground Storage Tank Systems containing Petroleum Product and Allied Petroleum Products".
21. The Proponent shall locate all fuel and other hazardous materials a minimum of thirty-one (31) metres above the high water mark of any water body and in such a manner as to prevent their release into the environment.
22. The Proponent shall implement secondary containment systems of adequate size and volume (110% of storage volume) for all fuel and hazardous chemical storage, including storage of CaCl.
23. The Proponent shall ensure appropriate spill response equipment and clean up materials are on hand during any transfer of fuel or hazardous materials. The Proponent shall ensure that all staff on site are trained in the proper use of these materials and equipment.
24. The Proponent shall ensure that all drum cache locations are clearly defined and marked so that they remain visible during the winter months.
25. The Proponent shall store all chemicals in such a manner that they are inaccessible to wildlife.
26. The Proponent shall report all spills of fuel, or other deleterious materials immediately to the 24 hour Spill Line at (867) 920-8130.

Wildlife

27. The Proponent shall ensure that there is no damage to wildlife habitat in conducting this operation. Deliberate feeding and harassment of any wildlife is absolutely prohibited. The Proponent shall ensure that there is no hunting or fishing by employees or contractors of the company, unless proper Nunavut authorizations have been acquired.
28. The Proponent shall ensure that the route used for fuel transport from Hall Beach avoids known environmentally sensitive areas (e.g. denning, nesting, etc.) by a minimum of 250 metres.
29. The Proponent shall restrict aircraft/helicopter activity related to the project to a minimum altitude of 610 m above ground level in places where there are occurrences of wildlife, unless there is a specific requirement for low-level flying.
30. The Proponent shall ensure that aircraft maintain a vertical distance of 1000 meters and a horizontal distance of 1500 meters from any observed groups (colonies) of migratory birds.
31. The Proponent shall ensure that aircraft/helicopter do not, unless for emergency, touch-down in areas where wildlife are present.
32. The Proponent shall avoid raptor nesting sites and concentrations of nesting or molting waterfowl by aircraft/helicopter at all times and ensure that there is minimal disturbance to any nesting birds, migratory birds and wildlife in the area.
33. If nests containing eggs or young are encountered, the Proponent should avoid these areas until nesting is complete and the young have left the nest.
34. The Proponent shall immediately cease activities that may interfere with migration or calving of caribou or muskox, until the caribou or muskox have passed or left the area.
35. The Proponent shall follow procedures outlined in the "Safety in Bear Country Manual", and should contact the Regional Biologist or the Wildlife manager for information and advice on measures which should be taken to minimize the possibility of conflicts/interactions with bears. Consideration should be given to setting up an electric fence around the camp.

36. The Proponent is encouraged to document wildlife observations (i.e. bear, caribou, fox, wolf and raptor) within the project area and report these observations annually to the following Department of Environment contacts:
- a. Seeglook Akeeagok, Regional Manager, Wildlife (867) 975-7800, sakeeagok@gov.nu.ca
 - b. Debbie Jenkins, (867) 899-8876, pondbiologist@qiniq.com

Physical Environment

37. The Proponent shall not move any equipment or vehicles unless the ground surface is in a state capable of fully supporting the equipment or vehicles without rutting or gouging. The Proponent shall suspend overland travel of equipment if rutting or gouging occurs.
38. The Proponent shall ensure that all stream crossings are located to minimize approach grades and avoid bank disturbance.
39. When necessary, the Proponent shall use water for dust suppression in order to maintain ambient air quality without causing water to pool or runoff.
40. The Proponent shall not remove any material from below the ordinary high water mark of any lake or stream.

Other

41. It is recommended that the Proponent, to the extent possible, hire local people and consult with local residents regarding their activities in the region, particularly the chosen route for fuel transport.
42. It is recommended that the Proponent takes steps to prevent use of the winter road from Hall Beach to the project site by any unauthorized persons.

Other NIRB Concerns and Recommendations

In addition to the project-specific terms and conditions, the Board is recommending the following:

Indian and Northern Affairs Canada

Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) impose mitigation measures, conditions and monitoring requirements pursuant to the Federal Land Use Permit, which require the Proponent to respect the sensitivities and importance of the area. These mitigation measures, conditions and monitoring requirements should be in regard to the location and area; type, location, capacity and operation of facilities; use, storage, handling and disposal of chemical or toxic material; wildlife and fisheries habitat; and petroleum fuel storage.

INAC should also consider the importance of conducting regular Land Use Inspections, pursuant to the authority of the Federal Land Use Permit, while the project is in operation. The Land Use Inspections should be focused on ensuring the Proponent is in compliance with the conditions imposed through the Federal Land Use Permit.

Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA)

The QIA impose strict mitigation measures and/or conditions upon the Proponent pursuant to the Inuit Owned Lands License in regard to fuel and chemical storage, drilling, water conditions, ground disturbance and wildlife on Inuit owned land.

Regulatory Requirements

The Proponent is also advised that the following legislation may apply to the project:

1. The Proponent shall ensure compliance with the *Fisheries Act* (<http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/F-14///en>). Section 35(1) of the *Fisheries Act* specifies that unless authorized by federal regulation, no person shall carry on any work or undertaking that results in the harmful alteration, disruption or destruction of fish habitat. Section 36(3) of the *Fisheries Act* specifies that unless authorized by federal regulation, no person shall deposit or permit the deposit of a deleterious substance in any type in water frequented by fish or in any place under any conditions where the deleterious substance may enter such a water body.
2. The Proponent shall ensure compliance with the *Nunavut Waters and Nunavut Surface Rights Tribunal Act* which states that “no person shall use, or permit the use of, waters in Nunavut except in accordance with the conditions of a licence,” and “no person shall deposit or permit the deposit of waste (a) in waters in Nunavut; or (b) in any other place in Nunavut under conditions in which the waste, or any other waste that results from the deposit of that waste, may enter waters in Nunavut” (<http://www.canlii.org/ca/sta/n-28.8/whole.html>).
3. The *Migratory Birds Convention Act* and *Migratory Birds Regulations* which state that no person disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of migratory birds, and that no person shall deposit or permit to be deposited oil, oil wastes or any other substance harmful to migratory birds in any waters or any area frequented by migratory birds (<http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/M-7.01>).
4. The *Species at Risk Act* (<http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/S-15.3>). Attached in **Appendix B** is a list of Species at Risk in Nunavut. The Proponent should consult the Species at Risk Public Registry (<http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca/>) to identify any Species at Risk within the project location. Further, the Proponent shall develop monitoring plans for each relevant Species at Risk in accordance with any applicable status reports, recovery strategies, action plans, and management plans posted on the Species at Risk Public Registry and in consultation with the Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility. Monitoring plans should record the locations and frequency of observing species of special concern and note any actions taken to avoid contact or cause disturbance to the species, its residence, or its critical habitat.
5. The *Nunavut Act* (<http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/showtdm/cs/N-28.6>) which requires that no person alter or disturb any archaeological or palaeontological sites in Nunavut unless permission is first granted through the permitting process. If any archaeological or palaeontological sites are found they should remain undisturbed and their location should be reported to the Government of Nunavut Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth. The Proponent must comply with the proposed terms and conditions listed in the attached **Appendix C**.
6. The *Transportation of Dangerous Goods Regulations*, *Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act* (<http://www.tc.gc.ca/tdg/menu.htm>), and the *Environmental Protection Act* (<http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/C-15.31/text.html>) which present the requirements for the handling, storing, managing and transportation of dangerous goods, including hazardous wastes, fuel and contaminated material. The Proponent must ensure that proper shipping documents accompany all movements of dangerous goods. The Proponent must register with GN-DOE by contacting Robert Eno at 867-975-7748 or reno@gov.nu.ca.

7. The *Nunavut Wildlife Act* contains provisions to protect and conserve wildlife and wildlife habitat, including specific protection measures for wildlife habitat and species at risk.
8. The *Navigable Waters Protection Act (NWPA)* <http://www.tc.gc.ca/marinesafety/oep/nwpp/menu.htm>
All proponents must deal with the Navigable Waters Protection Program under Transport Canada for any work(s) to be built or placed in, on, over, under, through, or across any navigable water. The proponent will need to submit formal applications to the NWPP in order to obtain NWPP's approval, promulgation, or exemption for each specific work. The proponent shall also inform the NWPP of any design, construction, or operational changes accordingly. If the proponent is uncertain of the NWPP's requirements as they pertain to an application for specific work, please refer to the NWPP Internet site or contact the NWPP office.

Validity of Land Claims Agreement

Section 2.12.2

Where there is any inconsistency or conflict between any federal, territorial and local government laws, and the Agreement, the Agreement shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency or conflict.

Dated ____ May 16, 2008 ____ at Sanikiluaq, NU.



Lucassie Arragutainaq, A/Chair

Appendix A

Procedural History and Project Activities

Procedural History

On April 02, 2008, the Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB or Board) received an application from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) for an amendment to Advanced Explorations Inc.'s "Roche Bay Mining" project.

The original application for the above project was received by the NIRB on July 30, 2007 from INAC. The project proposal was assigned NIRB File No. 07EN058 and screened in accordance with Part 4, Article 12 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA). On September 06, 2007 the NIRB issued a 12.4.4(a) screening decision to INAC allowing the proposed project to proceed subject to recommended project-specific terms and conditions.

On February 15, 2008 the NIRB received an application from INAC for an amendment to the originally-screened project activities. The amendment request was screened in accordance with Part 4, Article 12 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA). On March 03, 2008 the NIRB re-issued the September 06, 2007 12.4.4(a) screening decision to INAC, allowing the proposed project to proceed subject to the recommended project-specific terms and conditions, including additional recommended terms and conditions.

Advanced Explorations Inc.'s current request is for a second amendment to its INAC Land Use Permit to include additional components/activities. On April 07, 2008 NIRB received an additional application from the Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) for an amendment to Advanced Explorations Inc.'s Land Use Permit for activities on Inuit Owned Lands (see Project Activities section below).

This application was distributed to the communities of Hall Beach and Igloolik, and to interested Federal and Territorial Agencies, and Inuit Organizations. NIRB requested that interested Parties review the application and provide NIRB with comments by April 28, 2008 regarding:

- Whether the project proposal is likely to arouse significant public concern; and if so, why;
- Whether the project proposal is likely to cause significant adverse eco-systemic and socio-economic effects; and if so, why;
- Whether the project is of a type where the potential adverse effects are highly predictable and mitigable with known technology, (please provide any recommended mitigation measures); and
- Any matter of importance to the Party related to the project proposal.

On or before April 28, 2008 the NIRB received comments from the following interested Parties (see Comments and Concerns):

- Transport Canada
- Environment Canada
- Government of Nunavut, Department of Environment

All comments provided to NIRB regarding this project proposal can be viewed on NIRB's ftp-site, at the following location:

http://ftp.nirb.ca/SCREENINGS/COMPLETED%20SCREENINGS/2008_SCREENINGS/

Project Activities

Advanced Explorations Inc. is currently undertaking a magnetite exploration program in the Qikiqtani Region, near the community of Hall Beach. The exploration camp and drilling activities are located on Inuit Owned Lands administered by the QIA, while a parcel of land on a nearby peninsula used for sealift landings and fuel/salt storage is administered by INAC.

The proposed major activities for this exploration program involve the following components:

- Camp facilities for 60 people
- Drilling of 25,000 – 30,000 m of core and chip samples
 - 5 Boart Longyear LF-70 Drills
 - Approximately 120 holes
- Geotechnical drilling of approximately 20 holes through sea ice into marine seafloor
- 2 Polaris Ranger utility vehicles, 5 Honda ATVs, 3 Argos, and 8 snowmobiles
- 2 Helicopters and 2 fixed wing aircraft
- Transport of fuel from Hall Beach to camp site via skid and bulldozer
- Storage of fuel to include: 550,000 L diesel; 50,000 L gasoline; 240,000 L aviation fuel; and 10,000 lbs propane
- Storage of 500 tonnes calcium chloride
- Landing sites for annual sea lifts
- Bathymetry survey during open water season

Appendix B SPECIES AT RISK IN NUNAVUT

This list includes species listed on one of the Schedules of SARA (*Species at Risk Act*) and under consideration for listing on Schedule 1 of SARA. These species have been designated as at risk by COSEWIC (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada). This list may not include all species identified as at risk by the Territorial Government.

- Schedule 1 is the official legal list of Species at Risk for SARA. SARA applies to all species on Schedule 1. The term “listed” species refers to species on Schedule 1.
- Schedule 2 and 3 of SARA identify species that were designated at risk by the COSEWIC prior to October 1999 and must be reassessed using revised criteria before they can be considered for addition to Schedule 1.
- Some species identified at risk by COSEWIC are “pending” addition to Schedule 1 of SARA. These species are under consideration for addition to Schedule 1, subject to further consultation or assessment.

Schedules of SARA are amended on a regular basis so it is important to periodically check the SARA registry (www.sararegistry.gc.ca) to get the current status of a species.

Updated: January 3, 2007

Species at Risk	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Lead Management Responsibility ¹
Eskimo Curlew	Endangered	Schedule 1	EC
Ivory Gull	Endangered ²	Schedule 1	EC
Peregrine Falcon (subspecies anatum)	Threatened	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Ross's Gull	Threatened	Schedule 1	EC
Harlequin Duck (Eastern population)	Special Concern	Schedule 1	EC
Felt-leaf Willow	Special Concern	Schedule 1	Government of Nunavut
Peregrine Falcon (subspecies tundrius)	Special Concern	Schedule 3	Government of Nunavut
Short-eared Owl	Special Concern	Schedule 3	Government of Nunavut
Fourhorn Sculpin	Special Concern	Schedule 3	DFO
Peary Caribou	Endangered ³	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Beluga Whale (Eastern Hudson Bay population)	Endangered	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale (Cumberland Sound population)	Threatened	Pending	DFO
Beluga Whale (Western Hudson Bay population)	Special Concern	Pending	DFO

Beluga Whale (Eastern High Arctic – Baffin Bay population)	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Bowhead Whale (Hudson Bay-Foxe Basin population)	Threatened ⁴	Pending	DFO
Bowhead Whale (Davis Strait-Baffin Bay population)	Threatened ⁴	Pending	DFO
Porsild's Bryum	Threatened	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Atlantic Walrus	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Narwhal	Special Concern	Pending	DFO
Rusty Blackbird	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Barren-ground Caribou (Dolphin and Union population)	Special Concern ³	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Grizzly Bear	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Polar Bear	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Wolverine (Western Population)	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut

¹ Environment Canada has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the Migratory Birds Convention Act (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Populations that exist in National Parks are also managed under the authority of the Parks Canada Agency. EC = Environment Canada, DFO = Department of Fisheries and Oceans

² Designated as Endangered by COSEWIC in April 2006 and it is expected that the category of concern in SARA will also be changed from Special Concern to Endangered.

³ Peary Caribou was split into three separate populations in 1991: Banks Island (Endangered), High Arctic (Endangered) and Low Arctic (Threatened) populations. The Low Arctic population also included the Barren-ground Caribou - Dolphin and Union population. In May 2004 all three population designations were de-activated, and the Peary Caribou, Rangifer tarandus pearyi, was assessed separately from the Barren-ground Caribou (Dolphin and Union population), Rangifer tarandus groenlandicus. The subspecies pearyi is composed of a portion of the former "Low Arctic population" and all of the former "High Arctic" and "Banks Island" populations, and it was designated Endangered in May 2004. Although SARA lists Peary Caribou on Schedule 2 as three separate populations, the most current designation is the COSEWIC designation of the subspecies pearyi as Endangered.

⁴ The "Eastern and Western Arctic populations" of Bowhead Whale were given a single designation of Endangered in April 1980 by COSEWIC. These were split into two populations to allow separate designations in April 1986. The Eastern population was not re-evaluated in April 1986, but retained the Endangered status of the original "Eastern and Western Arctic populations". The Eastern Arctic population was further split into two populations (Hudson Bay-Foxe Basin population and Davis Strait-Baffin Bay population) in May 2005, and both these populations were designated as Threatened. Both these populations are under consideration for addition to Schedule 1. Although SARA lists the Eastern Arctic population as Endangered (Schedule 2), the most current designation is the COSEWIC designations of the Hudson Bay-Foxe Basin and Davis Strait-Baffin Bay populations as Threatened.

Appendix C
Archaeological and Palaeontological Resources Terms and Conditions for Land Use Permit Holders



BACKGROUND: Archaeology

As stated in Article 33 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement:

The archaeological record of the Inuit of Nunavut is a record of Inuit use and occupancy of lands and resources through time. The evidence associated with their use and occupancy represents a cultural, historical and ethnographic heritage of Inuit society and, as such, Government recognizes that Inuit have a special relationship with such evidence, which shall be expressed in terms of special rights and responsibilities. [33.2.1]

The archaeological record of Nunavut is of spiritual, cultural, religious and educational importance to Inuit. Accordingly, the identification, protection and conservation of archaeological sites and specimens and the interpretation of the archaeological record is of primary importance to Inuit and their involvement is both desirable and necessary. [33.2.2]

In recognition of the cultural, spiritual and religious importance of certain areas in Nunavut to Inuit, Inuit have special rights and interests in these areas as defined by Article 33 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement. [33.2.5]

BACKGROUND: Palaeontology

Under the Nunavut Act¹, the federal Government can make regulations for the protection, care and preservation of palaeontological sites and specimens in Nunavut. Under the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*², it is illegal to alter or disturb any palaeontological site in Nunavut unless permission is first granted through the permitting process.

Definitions

¹ s. 51(1)

² P.C. 2001-1111 14 June, 2001

As defined in the *Nunavut Archaeological and Palaeontological Sites Regulations*, the following definitions apply:

“archaeological site” means a place where an archaeological artifact is found.

“archaeological artifact” means any tangible evidence of human activity that is more than 50 years old and in respect of which an unbroken chain of possession or regular pattern of usage cannot be demonstrated, and includes a Denesuline archaeological specimen referred to in section 40.4.9 of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement.

“palaeontological site” means a site where a fossil is found.

“fossil” includes:

- (a) natural casts
- (b) preserved tracks, coprolites and plant remains; and
- (c) the preserved shells and exoskeletons of invertebrates and the eggs, teeth and bones of vertebrates.

Terms and Conditions

- 1) The permittee shall not operate any vehicle over a known or suspected archaeological or palaeontological site.
- 2) The permittee shall not remove, disturb, or displace any archaeological artifact or site, or any fossil or palaeontological site.
- 3) The permittee shall immediately contact the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth (867) 934-2046 or (867) 975-5500 or 1 (866) 934-2035 should an archaeological site or specimen, or a palaeontological site or fossil be encountered or disturbed by any land use activity.
- 4) The permittee shall immediately cease any activity that disturbs an archaeological or palaeontological site encountered during the course of a land use operation, until permitted to proceed with the authorization of the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth, Government of Nunavut.
- 5) The permittee shall follow the direction of the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth and DIAND in restoring disturbed archaeological or palaeontological sites to an acceptable condition.
- 6) The permittee shall provide all information requested by the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth concerning all archaeological sites or artifacts and all palaeontological sites and fossils encountered in the course of any land use activity.
- 7) The permittee shall make best efforts to ensure that all persons working under authority of the permit are aware of these conditions concerning archaeological sites and artifacts, and palaeontological sites and fossils.
- 8) The permittee shall avoid the known archaeological and/or palaeontological sites listed in Attachment 1.

9) The permittee shall have an archaeologist or palaeontologist perform the following functions, as required by the Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth:

- a. survey
- b. inventory and documentation of the archaeological or palaeontological resources of the land use area
- c. assessment of potential for damage to archaeological or palaeontological sites
- d. mitigation
- e. marking boundaries of archaeological or palaeontological sites
- f. site restoration

The Department of Culture, Language, Elders and Youth shall authorize by way of a Nunavut Archaeologist Permit or a Nunavut Palaeontologist Permit, all procedures subsumed under the above operations.