

Application for Water Licence Renewal

Document Date: May 2011

Application Submission Date:

June 25, 2014___ Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel: (867)360-6338 Fax: (867)360-6369 kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmpq NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT NUNAVUT WATER BOARD OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

Original Document Date: April 2010

DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document from NWB Guide 7	June 2010
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page	May 2011
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119

GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 TEL: (867) 360-6338

FAX: (867) 360-6369

kNK5 wmoEp5 vtmp5 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE RENEWAL

Your application may be classified as a **renewal** only if all operations remain the same as previously licensed and only the term of the licence requires change. If your application contemplates:

- a change to the volume of water authorized for use;
- a new activity related to water use or waste disposal;
- a new component related to water use or waste disposal;
- a change in predicted environmental impacts(s); and/or
- a change to any term or condition of the original licence

your application is **NOT** classified as a renewal but rather an amendment and will require submission of an Application for Water Licence Amendment. Licensees applying for combined renewal / amendment are also referred to the Application for Water Licence Amendment.

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: <u>Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licensee</u> for more information about this application form.

EXISTING LICENCE NO:2BE-SCH0712
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
X Yes
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. A renewal will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name: Forum Uranium Corp
Address: #1158 409 Granville Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 1T2
Phone:250 897 8000 Fax:604-662-8429 e-mail:a.williamson@forumuranium.com

2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.
Name: Anthony Williamson, Project Manager
Address: : Forum Uranium Corp
#1158-409 Granville Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 1T2
Phone: 250-897-8000 Fax: 604-662-8429 e-mail:a.williamson@forumuranium.com
3. NAME OF PROJECT
Is the name of the project the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes
Indicate the name of the project including the name of the location:North Thelon Project (Schultz Lake)
4. LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING
Is the location of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes No Project Extents
NW: Latitude: (64.70944° N) Longitude: (98.70357° W) NE: Latitude: (64.70944° N) Longitude: (96.35659" W) SE: Latitude: (64.12539° N) Longitude: (96.35659" W) SW: Latitude: (64.12539° N) Longitude: (98.70357° W)
Camp Location(s)
Latitude: (64.27481° N) Longitude: (97.53719° W)
5. MAP
Are the locations of the main components of the undertaking the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Attach a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking.
NTS Map Sheet No.: _Portions of 066A and 066B_ Map Name: _Project Overview Map Scale: _1 to 350K_

6. NATURE	OF INTERES	T IN THE LANG)	
Is the nature of t	he interest in tl	he land the sam	e as that cons	sidered in the existing water licence?
			X Yes [□No
Check any of the 'Surface' header			to the propose	d undertaking (at least one box under the
Sub-surf	ace			
		lunavut Tunnga issuance: <i>Con</i> i		ed (NTI) signed January 2009
☐ Minera	al Lease from I	ndian and North	nern Affairs Ca	anada (INAC)
LEASE	ISSUED	EXPIRES		7
NUMBER	DATE	DATE	ACREAGE	<u>, </u>
3628	5/26/1996	5/26/2017	1742	<u>: </u>
3629	5/26/1996	5/26/2017	2488	<u> </u>
3631	5/26/1996	5/26/2017	1547	
3632	5/26/1996	5/26/2017	730	
3633	5/26/1996	5/26/2017	2119	
3630	5/26/1996	5/26/2017	2409	
Date (exp ☐ Inuit C	pected date) of Dwned Land (IC	fissuance: _July DL) Authorizatio	y 18, 2007_ I n from	rthern Affairs Canada (AANDC) Date of expiry: _July 17, 2013(renewal applied for) ate of expiry: :
X IOL Au	X IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: _Feb 23, 2007_ Date of expiry: : Oct 26, 2014			
	☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA) Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:			
	Commissioner's Land Use Authorization Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:			
Other				
Date (exp	pected date) of	issuance:	D	ate of expiry:

Is the name of the entity(s) holding authorization	ons the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
	X Yes No
If No, a licence assignment must be completed	and approved by the NWB.
Name of entity(s) holding authorizations:	
7. NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION	(NPC) DETERMINATION
Is the undertaking located in the same land use	e planning area as that considered in the existing licence?
	X Yes
Indicate the land use planning area in which th	e project is located.
☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin	X Keewatin
Akunniq	Sanikiluaq West Kitikmeot
Was a land use plan conformity determination licence?	required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water
	X Yes No
If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy	May 22, 2007
Does the proposed renewal change the original	al NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?
	☐ Yes X No
If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and If No, provide written confirmation from NPC or	attach a copyonfirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.
8. NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD	(NIRB) DETERMINATION
Was a screening determination required from	NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
	X Yes
If Yes, indicate date issued and attach copy	July 11,2007
Does the proposed renewal change the original	al NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain one?
	☐ Yes X No

If Yes, indicate date issued (or expected) and attach a copy
9. DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING
Is the description of the undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes
List and attach plans and drawings or project proposal.
10. OPTIONS
Are the alternative methods and locations that were considered to carry out the project the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes
Provide a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the project.
Local elders were consulted on location for camp.
11. CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING
Is the primary undertaking the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes
Indicate the primary classification of undertaking by checking one of the following boxes.
☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural
X Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) Conservation
☐ Municipal (includes camps/lodges)☐ Power☐ Miscellaneous (describe below):
See Schedule II of the <i>Northwest Territories Waters Regulations</i> for Description of Undertakings.
12. WATER USE
Is the type(s) of water use(s) the same as that considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes □ No
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the type(s) of water use(s) being applied for.
X To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes ☐ To obtain water for industrial purposes ☐ To divert a watercourse

☐ To cross a watercourse ☐ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse ☐ To alter the flow of, or store water ☐ Flood control
X Other:exploration drilling
13. QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED
Is the source of water the same as that considered in the existing licence? X Yes _ No
Name of water source(s): Judge Sissons Lake for camp. Various lakes for future drill operations.
(see attached map showing locations)
Is the quality of the water source and its available capacity the same as that considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Describe the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s): Water for the camp will be from Judge Sissons Lake, immediately offshore of the camp location. Water quality samples have been tested at this locale and also further north where a stream enters the lake and both returned acceptable results. This lake is quite large (>98 sq km) and has significant inflow. As such the lake has sufficient capacity to easily support camp and drill supply (if so required) without any effect on the water level of the lake. Other lakes will be selected as drill supply sources adjacent to drill sites as targets are developed. Original water quality of these lakes is not critical for drill operations so water quality testing will not be conducted prior to their usage and drilling activities will not degrade their water quality. Care will be taken to select water sources with sufficient capacity to supply the drill without affecting water levels.
Is the overall estimated quantity of water to be used the same as that considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Provide the overall estimated quantity of water to be used: not more than 100 m³/day
Are the quantity(s) of water to be used from each source the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source: 1 m³/day from Judge Sissions Lake for camp, 38m³/day maximum from various lakes on the property for drilling
Are the quantity(s) of water to be used for each purpose the same as those considered in the existing licence?

X Yes No
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.):Camp: 1m³/day, Drilling: 38m³/day
Are the method(s) of extraction the same as those considered in the existing licence? X Yes \sum No
Describe the method(s) of extraction:
Camp water will be extracted using a small gas-powered pump. Drill water shall be obtained from water source(s) proximal to drill targets with a larger diesel-powered pump.
Are the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s):0m³/day
Are the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s) the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s):
-
14. WASTE
Are the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated and/ or deposited the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) generated and deposited.
x Sewage
15. QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF WASTE INVOLVED
Are the quantity(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Are the composition(s) of the types of wastes involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes ☐ No

Are the method(s) of treatment for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Are the method(s) of disposal for the types of waste involved the same as those considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.

Type of Waste	Composition	Quantity Generated	Treatment Method	Disposal Method
Fuel drums		Estimated 150 for a drill program		The drums are stored at Peter Tapatai's laydown area at Blueberry Hill and are either disposed of with Areva's drums when they crush a bunch and send them out on the barge down south, or we use them to refill for our own use.
Kitchen/camp waste		4 bags/week during drill operations	burned	Ashes and other unburned materials from the incineration process will be double bagged in heavy plastics, sealed with heavy duty cable ties, and removed to Baker Lake for proper disposal in the local landfill.
Sewage		10 small bags a week during drill operations	Bagged	Human waste will be double bagged in heavy plastics, sealed with heavy duty

cable ties, and removed to Baker Lake for

		disposal.
16. OTHER AUTHORIZATI	IONS	
	and surface land use authorizations provided	I in Block 6, are the same
	X Yes ☐ No	
For each provide the following:	:	
Authorization: Land Use Permi	it	
Administering Agency: Kivalliq	Inuit Association	
Project Activity: mineral explora	ation activities on Inuit Owned Land includin	g camp and drilling
	nce: April 2014 Date of expiry: October 2014	ı
Date (expected date) of issuan	1 7	
Date (expected date) of issuan Authorization: Land Use Permi		
Authorization: Land Use Permi		
Authorization: Land Use Permi	it	ing
Authorization: Land Use Permi Administering Agency: Aborigin Project Activity: mineral explora Date (expected date) of issuan	it nal and Northern Affairs Canada (AANDC)	
Authorization: Land Use Permi Administering Agency: Aborigir Project Activity: mineral explora Date (expected date) of issuan 17. PREDICTED ENVIRON MEASURES	nal and Northern Affairs Canada (AANDC) ation on crown land including camp and drill nce: June 2014 Date of expiry: June 2017 IMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING A	ND PROPOSED MITIGATION
Authorization: Land Use Permi Administering Agency: Aborigir Project Activity: mineral explora Date (expected date) of issuan 17. PREDICTED ENVIRON MEASURES Are predicted environmental im	nal and Northern Affairs Canada (AANDC) ation on crown land including camp and drill nce: June 2014 Date of expiry: June 2017 IMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING A	ND PROPOSED MITIGATION
Authorization: Land Use Permi Administering Agency: Aborigin Project Activity: mineral explora Date (expected date) of issuan 17. PREDICTED ENVIRON MEASURES Are predicted environmental im considered in the existing wate	nal and Northern Affairs Canada (AANDC) ation on crown land including camp and drill ace: June 2014 Date of expiry: June 2017 IMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING A	ND PROPOSED MITIGATION gation measures the same as those

Are the effects of the undertaking on any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water
use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of
property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of
other rights of a similar nature, the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes

Provide the names, addresses and nature of use for any known persons or properties that may be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking, including those that hold licences for water use in precedent to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature.

Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or agreement(s) for compensation have been reached with any existing or other users.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS

Are the effects of the undertaking on the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL) the same as those considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes ☐ No

Advise the Board of any substantial affect of the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL), and advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more Designated Inuit Organization (DIO).

20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.

attached

21. SECURITY INFORMATION

Is the financial security assessment the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes \quad No

Is the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken.

Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the *Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut*, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.

22. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Is the statement of financial security the same as that considered in the existing water licence?

X Yes
Provide an updated statement of financial security.
If the applicant is a business entity please answer the questions below:
Is the list of the officers of the company the same as those considered in the existing water licence?
X Yes
Richard J. Mazur, President, CEO and Director Anthony Balme, Director Ian Stalker, Director David Cowan, Director Larry Okuda, Director Michael Steeves, Director
Is the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name the same?
X Yes No
Attach a copy of the Certificate of Incorporation or evidence of registration of the company name.
23. STUDIES UNDERTAKEN TO DATE
List and attach updated studies, reports, research etc.
Provide a compliance assessment and status report including a response to any inspector's reports. The licensee must contact the NWB for licence specific direction in completing the assessment and report.
If in non-compliance, a licence may not be issued until compliance is achieved. If in non-compliance, attach plans/reports for consideration. Application will not be processed if significant issues of non-compliance exist.
24. PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE
Is the time schedule for all phases of development (construction, operations, closure and post closure) the same as that considered in the existing licence?
X Yes
Indicate the proposed start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure).
Construction Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: (month/year) (month/year)
(months) (months)

<u>Operation</u>							
Proposed Start Date: August 2014 Proposed Completion Date:October 2014 (month/year)	(month/year)						
Closure	,						
Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date:							
Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date: (month/year)	(month/year)						
Post - Closure	,						
Proposed Start Date: Proposed Completion Date:							
(month/year)	(month/year)						
For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.							
<u>Construction</u>							
☐ Winter X Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☐ All season							
<u>Operation</u>							
☐ Winter X Spring X Summer X Fall ☐ All season							
Closure							
X Winter Spring Summer Fall All season							
Post - Closure							
Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall ☐ All season							
25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE							
25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE							
On what data data the eviction linear as a wint							
On what date does the existing licence expire?September 30, 2012							
Indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years):5 years	 						
Requested date of renewal issuance:Aug/2013 Requested Expiry I							
(month/year)	(month/year)						
(The requested date of renewal issuance must be <u>at least</u> three (3) months from the date of							
licence and at least one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to all							
licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licensing land use							
planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence application in							
accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to requests for additional information. See the NWB's <i>Guide 5: Processing Water Licence Applications</i> for more information)							
additional information. See the NVVB's Guide's. <u>Processing Water Licence Applications</u> for more	iniornation)						
26. ANNUAL REPORTING							
	" 0						
Is the annual report template expected to be the same as that considered in the existing licence?							
X Yes ☐ No							
If not using the NWB's Standardized Form for Annual Reporting, provide details regarding							

reports and a proposed outline or template of the annual report.					
27.	CHECKLIST				
The foll	owing must be included	with the application	n for renewal for the water licensing process to begin		
	Completed Application for Water Licence Renewal form.				
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected		
	Updated plans, includir	ng designs and rep	ports (see Block 23).		
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected		
	Updated security asses	ssment (see Block	21).		
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected		
	Updated financial state	ment (see Block 2	2).		
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected		
	Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).				
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected		

	English Summary of Renewal Application.					
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected			
	Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Renewal Application.					
	X Yes	No	If no, date expected			
	Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).					
	X Yes	□No	If no, date expected			
	Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water use fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.					
	X Yes	☐ No	If no, date expected			
28.	SIGNATURE					
I, _Anthony Williamson (print name)						
certify that the application requires no changes to water use or waste disposal as previously authorized and that the information given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and complete.						
Signatu	re /	_June 29 Date	5 <u>, 2014</u>			