

Environmental Protection Operations
Qimugjuk Building 969
P.O. Box 1870
Iqaluit, NU X0A 0H0
Tel: (867) 975-4639
Fax: (867) 975-4645

April 20, 2007

our file: 4703 001

Phyllis Beaulieu
Manager of Licensing
Nunavut Water Board
P.O. Box 119
Gjoa Haven, NU, X0B 1J0
Tel: (867)360-6338
Fax: (867)360-6369

via e-mail

RE: Strongbow Exploration Inc – Silvertip Project – New Type B Water License

On behalf of Environment Canada (EC), I have reviewed the Response to Comments submitted with the above-mentioned application. The following specialist advice has been provided pursuant to Environment Canada's mandated responsibilities for the enforcement of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*, Section 36(3) of the *Fisheries Act*, the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, and the *Species at Risk Act*.

Strongbow Exploration Inc is a Canadian exploration company engaged in the search for economic mineral deposits in Canada. The purpose of Strongbow's application is for water use and waste disposal associated with exploration activities in order to continue to evaluate the potential for economic concentrations of minerals in Nunavut. The Silvertip Project is located in the Kitikmeot Region of Nunavut, approximately 460 km southeast of Kugluktuk.

The proposed exploration program for the 2007 season will be conducted over approximately 12 -16 weeks through the months of June to September. Work to be conducted may include prospecting, mapping, soil sampling, ground geophysics and the drilling of land based targets. The drilling activities are to test several targets with about 8 – 10 holes, approximately 2000 meters. Should results warrant it a program of similar timing, size and scope may also occur in 2008.

Environment Canada recommends that the following conditions be applied throughout all stages of the project:

- The proponent shall not deposit, nor permit the deposit of any fuel, drill cuttings, chemicals, wastes or sediment into any water body. According to the *Fisheries Act*, Section 36(3), the deposition of deleterious substances of any type in water frequented by fish, or in any place under any conditions where the deleterious substance, or any other deleterious substance that results from the deposit of the deleterious substance, may enter any such water, is prohibited.
- Any sumps, pits or latrines created for the disposal of grey water, drill cuttings and sewage shall be located above the high water mark of any water body and constructed in such a manner as to prevent the contents from entering any water body frequented by fish. All sumps shall be backfilled upon completion of the field season and contoured to match the surrounding landscape.

Fuel Storage/Spill Contingency

- All fuel caches shall be located above the high water mark of any water body. Further, EC recommends the use of secondary containment, such as self-supporting insta-berms, when storing barreled fuel on location, including drill sites.
- Secondary containment should be of adequate size and volume to contain and hold fluids for the purpose of preventing spills (the worst-case scenario). Appropriate spill response equipment and clean-up materials (absorbents, containment devices, etc) must be on hand during any transfer of fuel or hazardous substances and at vehicle-maintenance areas.
- Transfer operations should be attended by trained personnel at all times.
- Decanting of snow or water from the berm area should proceed only if the appropriate chemical analysis has determined the contents meet the requirements of Section 36.3 of the *Fisheries Act*.
- **All** releases of harmful substances, regardless of quantity, are immediately reportable where the release:
 - is near or into a water body;
 - is near or into a designated sensitive environment or sensitive wildlife habitat;
 - poses an imminent threat to human health or safety; or
 - poses an imminent threat to a listed species at risk or its critical habitat.

Drilling

- EC recommends that biodegradable mud and non-toxic additives be used. Environment Canada would like to inform the proponent that the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* has listed CaCl as a toxic substance. The proponent shall therefore ensure that if CaCl is used as a drill additive, all sumps containing CaCl are properly constructed and located in such a manner as to ensure that the contents will not enter any water body.
- Drilling additives or mud shall not be used in connection with holes drilled through lake ice unless they are re-circulated or contained such that they do not enter the water, or demonstrated to be non-toxic.
- Land based drilling should not occur within 30 m of the high water mark of any water body. Drilling wastes from land based drilling shall be disposed of properly such that the contents do not enter any water body.
- EC recommends that if artesian flow is encountered, the drill holes be immediately plugged and permanently sealed
- Any exposed drill casings should be removed or cut off at or below the surface of the ground.
- All drill areas should be kept orderly and any garbage is to be removed daily from the area to an approved disposal site. The proponent shall not store materials on the surface ice of lakes or streams, except that which is for immediate use.
- Drill area should be kept to a minimum and constructed to facilitate minimizing the environmental footprint of the project area.
- Given that the proponent anticipates storing fuel at or near the drilling sites spill kits should be located at each drill site and the drilling staff trained to respond in the event of a spill. Further, EC recommends that secondary containment be used for all barreled fuel stored on-site.

Waste management

The proponent should burn all combustible waste in an approved incinerator, and shall ensure that all hazardous waste, waste oil and non-combustible waste generated are backhauled and disposed of in an approved waste disposal site. EC recommends that rather than using a burn barrel drum as proposed by the proponent, an approved incinerator be used on-site in order to ensure complete combustion and compliance with the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* regulations.

A variety of incineration devices are available and selection of the most appropriate will depend on considerations of technical and economical feasibility for each situation. Installation of an incineration device capable of meeting the emission limits established under the *Canada-wide Standards (CWS) for Dioxins and Furans* and the *CWS for Mercury Emissions* is required (both the Government of Canada and

the Government of the Nunavut are signatories to these Standards and are required to implement them according to their respective jurisdictional responsibility). The proponent should review the incineration options available and provide justification for the selected device to the regulatory authority.

The use of appropriate waste incineration technology should be combined with a comprehensive waste management strategy (especially waste segregation) that is designed to reduce and control the volumes of wastes produced, transported, and disposed of.

The **Waste Management Plan** should consider and include:

- Purchasing policies that focus on reduced packaging,
- On-site diversion and segregation programs (i.e. the separation of non-food waste items suitable for storage and subsequent transport and disposal or recycling).
- Recycling whenever possible
- If incineration is required, ensure diligent operation and maintenance of the incineration device and ensure appropriate training is provided to the personnel operating and maintaining the incinerator.

The objective should be to ensure that only food waste and food-contaminated waste is burned (the use of paper, cardboard and clean wood as supplementary fuel is acceptable).

- Used absorbent materials, oily or greasy rags, and equipment servicing wastes (such as used engine oil, antifreeze, hydraulic oil, lead acid batteries, brake fluid and other lubricants) should be safely stored and transported in sealed containers and safely transported to a facility that is authorized for the treatment and disposal of industrial hazardous wastes.

The Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) of Environment Canada has reviewed the above-mentioned submission and makes the following comments and recommendations pursuant to the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (the *Act*) and *Migratory Birds Regulations* (the *Regulations*), and the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA).

- Section 6 (a) of the *Migratory Birds Regulations* states that no one shall disturb or destroy the nests or eggs of migratory birds. Therefore, Environment Canada recommends that all activities in which there is a risk of disturbing or destroying nests or eggs be conducted outside the migratory bird breeding season, which extends from approximately May 15 to July 31. These dates are approximate, and if active nests (i.e. nests containing eggs or young) are encountered outside of these dates the proponent should avoid the area until nesting is complete (i.e. the young have left the vicinity of the nest).
- For activities permitted to occur during the breeding season, EC recommends that the proponent confirm there are no active nests (i.e. nests containing eggs or young) in the vicinity of their operations before activities commence. If active nests of migratory birds are discovered, the proponent should halt all activities in the nesting area until nesting is completed (i.e. the young have left the vicinity of the nest).
- In order to reduce disturbance to nesting birds, EC recommends that aircraft used in conducting project activities maintain a flight altitude of at least 610 m during horizontal (point to point) flight unless safety or cloud ceiling do not permit.
- In order to reduce disturbance to resting, feeding, or moulting birds, EC recommends that aircraft used in conducting project activities maintain a vertical distance of 1000 m and minimum horizontal distance of 1500 m from any observed concentrations (flocks / groups) of birds.
- EC recommends that camp waste be made inaccessible to wildlife at all times. Camp waste can attract predators of migratory birds (e.g., foxes and ravens) to an area if not disposed of properly.
- Section 35 of the *Migratory Birds Regulations* states that no person shall deposit or permit to be deposited, oil, oil wastes or any other substance harmful to migratory birds in any waters or any area frequented by migratory birds.
- All mitigation measures identified by the proponent, and the additional measures suggested herein, should be strictly adhered to in conducting project activities. This will require awareness on

the part of the proponents' representatives (including contractors) conducting operations in the field. Environment Canada recommends that all field operations staff be made aware of the proponents' commitments to these mitigation measures and provided with appropriate advice / training on how to implement these measures.

- Implementation of these measures may help to reduce or eliminate some effects of the project on migratory birds, but will not necessarily ensure that the proponent remains in compliance with the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (the *Act*) and *Migratory Birds Regulations* (the *Regulations*). The proponent must ensure they remain in compliance with the *Act* and *Regulations* during all phases and in all undertakings related to the project.

The following comments are pursuant to the Species at Risk Act (SARA), which came into full effect on June 1, 2004. Section 79 (2) of SARA, states that during an assessment of effects of a project, the adverse effects of the project on listed wildlife species and its critical habitat must be identified, that measures are taken to avoid or lessen those effects, and that the effects need to be monitored. This section applies to all species listed on Schedule 1 of SARA. However, as a matter of best practice, Environment Canada suggests that species on other Schedules of SARA and under consideration for listing on SARA, including those designated as at risk by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC), be considered during an environmental assessment in a similar manner.

Species at Risk that may be encountered	COSEWIC Designation	Schedule of SARA	Government Organization with Primary Management Responsibility ¹
Short-eared Owl	Special Concern	Schedule 3	Government of Nunavut
Peregrine Falcon (subspecies tundrius)	Special Concern	Schedule 3	Government of Nunavut
Grizzly Bear	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut
Wolverine (Western Population)	Special Concern	Pending	Government of Nunavut

¹ Environment Canada has a national role to play in the conservation and recovery of Species at Risk in Canada, as well as responsibility for management of birds described in the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (MBCA). Day-to-day management of terrestrial species not covered in the MBCA is the responsibility of the Territorial Government. Thus, for species within their responsibility, the Territorial Government is best suited to provide detailed advice and information on potential adverse effects, mitigation measures, and monitoring.

Impacts could be disturbance and attraction to operations.

Environment Canada recommends:

- Species at Risk that could be encountered or affected by the project should be identified and any potential adverse effects of the project to the species, its habitat, and/or its residence noted. Refer to species status reports and other information on the Species at Risk registry at www.sararegistry.gc.ca for information on specific species.
- If Species at Risk are encountered or affected, the primary mitigation measure should be avoidance. The proponent should avoid contact with or disturbance to each species, its habitat and/or its residence.
- The proponent should record the locations and frequency of any observations of Species at Risk and note any actions taken to avoid contact or disturbance to the species.
- For species under the responsibility of the Territorial Government, the Territorial Government should be consulted to identify other appropriate mitigation and/or monitoring measures to minimize effects to these species from the project.
- Mitigation and monitoring measures must be taken in a way that is consistent with applicable recovery strategies and action/management plans.

If there are any changes in the proposed project, EC should be notified, as further review may be necessary. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments with regards to the foregoing at (867) 975-4631 or by email at cindy.parker@ec.gc.ca.

Yours truly,

Original signed by

Cindy Parker
Environmental Assessment Specialist

cc: (Carey Ogilvie, Manager Environment Canada, Yellowknife, NWT)