

From: David Hohnstein [Tech2@nwb.nunavut.ca]

Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 11:17 AM

To: Dave Stevenson

Cc: Phyllis Beaulieu; Philippe di Pizzo

Subject: Re: SNP - Chlorine or Chloride?

Hello Dave,

It took me awhile to find it but if you look to the Decision that was handed down by the Board in 2000, there are two sections that refer to Chlorine, and none that include Chloride.

In looking at the first section, it is pretty clear that the intended parameter to be tested for was Chloride, in reference to drilling salts used in exploration. It is followed up in the Conclusions section where the parameters were to be added to the SNP monitoring. There was no reference in the Decision to monitoring for Chlorine based on other uses or issues at site or requests from intervenors. See below.

Section IV, Item F reads "... the Board notes that salts are used regularly as an additive in drilling in permafrost areas to prevent freezing and therefore has decided to include parameters (Conductivity, **Chlorine**, Sodium, and Calcium) in the SNP to assess effluent quality." and Section V, Item F reads " Surveillance Network Program: Add Conductivity, **Chlorine**, Sodium, and Calcium to evaluate water quality in consideration of drilling effluent at SNP Station 200-2 and 200-3... ".

As this item is only for "monitoring" purposes and not for compliance with respect to the water quality of the releases, this should not require anything substantial to get changed. You may use this email as reference to the confirmation to monitor for Chloride in place of the Chlorine (as indicated in the SNP) for stations 200-2 and 200-3. I will check to see if the NWB will need to issue an official "errata" to make the correction to the licence, and will follow up if necessary.

Regards,

Dave

----- Original Message -----

From: [Dave Stevenson](#)

To: [David Hohnstein-NWB](#)

Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 11:27 AM

Subject: SNP - Chlorine or Chloride?

Dave:

Leslie Gomm and I were discussing what has to be analysed as part of your SNP at Ulu. We noticed in the License we are required to analyse for chlorine from the settling/neutralization ponds. Leslie is questioning whether this is a typo and if in fact it should be chloride? Please confirm. If chlorine is correct, where would it be coming from that would be of concern?

Regards,

David B. Stevenson, M.Sc., P.Geo.

Exploration Manager, Ulu

Wolfden Resources Inc.

309 Court Street South

Thunder Bay, Ontario

P7B 2Y1

TBay Tel: (807) 346-2762

TBay Fax: (807) 345-0284

Cell: (807) 624-6255

Ulu Tel: (416) 987-9167

Email: dave.stevenson@wolfdenresources.com

