

## **Application for Water Licence Amendment**

Document Date: April 2013

Application Submission Date: September 16, 2020 Month/Day/Year

P.O. BOX 119 GJOA HAVEN, NUNAVUT XOB 1J0

Tel: (867) 360-6338 FAX: (867) 360-6369

## **DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT**

Original Document Date: April 2010

## **DOCUMENT AMENDMENTS**

	Description	Date
(1)	Updated for public distribution as separate document from NWB Guide 7	June 2010
(2)	Updated NWB logos and reformatted table to allow rows to break across page	May 2011
(3)	New NWB logo; request for background information; and change to Block 24	April 2013
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
(10)		



P.O. Box 119

TEL: (867) 360-6338

FAX: (867) 360-6369

POSC PUCASC PULSC GJOA HAVEN, NU X0B 1J0 NUNAVUT WATER BOARD NUNAVUT IMALIRIYIN KATIMAYIT OFFICE DES EAUX DU NUNAVUT

## APPLICATION FOR WATER LICENCE AMENDMENT

The applicant is referred to the NWB's Guide 7: Licensee Requirements Following the Issuance of a Water Licence for more information about this application form.

Where possible, provide background information regarding the original licence application or attach previously submitted information.

EXISTING LICENCE NO: _3AM-GRA1624
1. LICENSEE CONTACT INFORMATION
Is the licensee the same as that referred to on the existing licence?
■ Yes □ No
If No, a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB. An amendment will only be issued in the name of the current licensee in the absence of assignment of the licence.
If the licensee is the same, but the <u>name</u> of the licensee has changed, attach a certificate of name change.
Name: Sarah Collins, Municipal Planning Engineer – CGS
Address: PO Box 490 Rankin Inlet, NU X0C 0G0
Phone: _(867) 645 - 8176 Fax: _(867) 645 - 8143 e-mail: _scollins@gov.nu.ca
2. LICENSEE REPRESENTATIVE CONTACT INFORMATION – If different from Block 1.
Name:
Address:
Phone: Fax: e-mail:  (Attach authorization letter.)

3.	NAME OF PROJECT
Has the	e name of the project changed?
	☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes,	indicate the name of the project including the name of the location:
4.	LOCATION OF UNDERTAKING
Does	the proposed amendment change the location of the amended undertaking?
	☐ Yes No
Provid	de the project extents and camp locations. Identify proposed changes.
Projec	t Extents
NW: NE: SE: SW:	Latitude: (62 ° ' "N) Latitude: ( ° ' "N) Latitude: ( ° ' "N) Latitude: ( ° ' "N) Longitude: ( ° ' "W)
Camp	Location(s)
Latitud	de: ( ° ' "N) Longitude: ( ° ' "W)
5.	
5.	MAP
	MAP ne proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?
Does tl	ne proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?
Does the	ne proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes \sum No
Does the Attach	ne proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:
Does the Attach	re proposed amendment change the locations of any of the main components of the undertaking?  Yes No a topographical map, indicating the main components of the undertaking. Identify proposed changes.  ap Sheet No.: Map Name: Map Scale:

6.	NATURE OF INTEREST IN THE LAND
Does	the proposed amendment change the nature of the interest in the land?
	☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes	s, indicate changes
	k any of the following that are applicable to the proposed undertaking (at least one box under the ace' header must be checked).
	Sub-surface
	☐ Mineral Lease from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ Mineral Lease from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Surface
	Crown Land Use Authorization from Indian and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ Inuit Owned Land (IOL) Authorization from Kitikmeot Inuit Association (KIA)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ IOL Authorization from Kivalliq Inuit Association (KivIA)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	☐ IOL Authorization from Qikiqtani Inuit Association (QIA)  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Commissioner's Land Use Authorization  Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
	Other
	Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
Is the	name of the entity(s) holding authorizations the same as that considered in the existing water se?
	Yes No
If No,	a licence assignment must be completed and approved by the NWB.
Name	e of entity(s) holding authorizations:

7. N	NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION	(NPC) DETERMINATION
Indicate	the land use planning area in which th	e existing project is located.
[ [ [	☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq	<ul><li>Keewatin</li><li>☐ Sanikiluaq</li><li>☐ West Kitikmeot</li></ul>
Does the	e proposed amendment change the lar	nd use planning area?
		☐ Yes No
If yes, in	ndicate the land use planning area in w	hich the amended undertaking is located.
[ [ [	☐ North Baffin ☐ South Baffin ☐ Akunniq	☐ Keewatin ☐ Sanikiluaq ☐ West Kitikmeot
Was a la		required from NPC prior to the issuance of the existing water
		Yes No
If Yes, in	ndicate date issued and attach copy	June 19, 2016 and March 19, 2010
Does th	e proposed amendment change the or	iginal NPC conformity determination or the need to obtain one?
		Yes No
	ndicate date issued (or expected) and rovide written confirmation from NPC c	attach a copyJuly 29, 2020onfirming that a land use plan conformity review is not required.
8. N	NUNAVUT IMPACT REVIEW BOARD	(NIRB) DETERMINATION
Was a s	screening determination required from	NIRB prior to the issuance of the existing water licence?
		Yes No
If Yes, in	ndicate date issued and attach copy	November 3, 2017
Does th one?	e proposed amendment change the or	iginal NIRB screening determination or the need to obtain
		☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, in If No, pr	ndicate date issued (or expected) and rovide written confirmation from NIRB of	attach a copyconfirming that a screening determination is not required.

9.	DESCRIPTION OF UNDERTAKING
Does th	ne proposed amendment change the description of the undertaking?
	☐ Yes ■ No
List and	d attach plans and drawings or project proposal. Identify proposed changes.
10.	OPTIONS
	ne proposed amendment change any of the alternative methods and locations that were considered out the project?
	Yes No
	a brief explanation of the alternative methods or locations that were considered to carry out the Identify proposed changes.
	now pumped from Lower Landing Lake to Nipissar Lake via pipeline extension from Char River to Landing Lake (same body of water/flow)
11.	CLASSIFICATION OF PRIMARY UNDERTAKING
Indicat boxes:	te the primary classification of undertaking for the existing licence by checking one of the following:
	☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural ☐ Mining and Milling (includes exploration/drilling/exploration camps) ☐ Conservation
	<ul><li>■ Municipal (includes camps/lodges)</li><li>□ Power</li><li>□ Miscellaneous (describe below):</li></ul>
Does t	he proposed amendment change the classification of primary undertaking?
	☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes,	indicate the primary undertaking of the amendment:
	ation in accordance with applicable Supplemental Information Guidelines (SIG) must be updated and tted with an Application for Amendment. Indicate which SIG(s) are applicable to your application.
	Hydrostatic Testing Tannery Tourist / Remote Camp Landfarm & On-Site Storage of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration Drilling Mineral Exploration / Remote Camp Advanced Exploration Mine Development Municipal General Water Works Power

12.	WATER USE	
Indicate, using the boxes below, the types of water use(s) approved in the existing licence.		
	To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes  To obtain water for industrial purposes To cross a watercourse To alter the flow of, or store water  Other:  To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes To divert a watercourse To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse Flood control	
Does	the proposed amendment change the type(s) of water use(s)?	
	☐ Yes ■ No	
	indicate using the boxes below, the proposed change(s) to the type(s) of water use(s) noting any water that are to be added, continued, or removed.	
	□ To obtain water for camp/ municipal purposes         □ To obtain water for industrial purposes       □ To divert a watercourse         □ To cross a watercourse       □ To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse         □ To alter the flow of, or store water       □ Flood control         □ Other:       □ Flood control	
13.	QUANTITY OF WATER INVOLVED	
Does	the proposed amendment change the source of water?	
	te the water source(s). Identify proposed changes.: The water source is Lower Landing Lake, moved am from Char River, to continue to be pumped to the community water source, Nipissar Lake.	
(show	location(s) on map)	
Does	the proposed amendment change the quality of the water source and/or its available capacity?	
	Yes No	
water water River	be the quality of the water source(s) and the available capacity(s). Identify any changes.:_Allows more to be moved from Lower Landing Lake to Nipissar Lake which acts as a natural reservoir before moving to the Water Treatment Plant, and into the distribution system. Historically, pumping quantities from Charwere not able to keep up with Community demand, and water levels in Nipissar Lake were dropping lly. This allows pumping volumes to recharge Nipissar annually	
Does	the proposed amendment change the overall quantity of water to be used?	
	Yes No	
Lower	e the overall estimated quantity to be used. Identify proposed changes. : _10,000 m³/day pumped from Landing Lake to Nipissar Lake between July and August. Still 850,000 m³ per annuum for municipal mption	

Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used from each source?	
Yes No	
Provide the estimated quantity(s) of water to be used from each source. Identify proposed changes. :	
10,000 m³/day pumped from Lower Landing Lake to Nipissar Lake between July and August	_
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity of water to be used for each purpose?	
☐ Yes ■ No	
Provide the estimated quantities to be used for each purpose (camp, drilling, etc.). Identify proposed cha	anges.:
Community water use will remain the same as the previous licence, of 850,000 m³ per annuum.	
Does the proposed amendment change the method(s) of extraction?	No
Describe the method(s) of extraction. Identify proposed changes. :_Pump into a pipeline remains the method to transfer water from the source to Nipissar	thod
Does the proposed amendment change the quantity(s) of water returned to source(s)?	
☐ Yes ■ No	
Estimated quantity(s) of water returned to source(s). Identify proposed changes. : _0 n	n³/day
Does the proposed amendment change the quality(s) of water returned to source(s)?	
☐ Yes ■ No	
Describe the quality(s) of water(s) returned to source(s). Identify any changes. : _None	
14. WASTE	
Check the appropriate box(s) to indicate the types of waste(s) approved in the existing licence.	
Sewage Solid Waste Hazardous Sludges Bulky Items/Scrap Metal Animal Waste Other (describe):	
Does the proposed amendment change the type(s) of waste(s) to be generated or deposited?	
☐ Yes ■ No	

and/or deposited noti	ing the addition, remove e s/Scrap Metal ste	proposed change(s) to /al or continued generally  Waste oil  Greywater  Sludges  Contaminated	ation and/or disposal o	
15. QUANTITY A	ND QUALITY OF WA	STE INVOLVED		
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e quantity(s) of the type	es of wastes involved?	
☐ Yes ■ No				
Does the proposed amendment change the composition(s) of the types of wastes involved?				
☐ Yes ■ No				
Does the proposed a	mendment change the	e method(s) of treatme	ent for the types of was	ste involved?
Does the proposed amendment change the method(s) of treatment for the types of waste involved?  Tyes No				
Door the proposed o	mandmant abanga the		I for the types of weet	a involved?
Does the proposed amendment change the method(s) of disposal for the types of waste involved?				
☐ Yes ■ No				
If Yes to any of the above, describe the proposed changes:				
For each type of waste indicated in Block 14, describe its composition, quantity in cubic meters/day, method of treatment and method of disposal.				
Type of Waste	Composition	Quantity Generated	Treatment Method	Disposal Method
Sewage	Greywater, washroom waste, etc. collected from utilidor system	Same amount as annual water consumption (various)	Sewage treatment facility	Effluent discharged into Johnsons Cove, Hudson Bay

16. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
Does the proposed amendment change the need for other authorizations in addition to the sub-surface and surface land use authorizations provided in Block 6?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, indicate any additional authorizations required, which authorizations are no longer required, and which authorizations continue to be required.
For each provide the following:
Authorization:
Administering Agency:
Project Activity:
Date (expected date) of issuance: Date of expiry:
17. PREDICTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF UNDERTAKING AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES
Does the proposed amendment change the predicted environmental impacts of the undertaking or the mitigation measures?
☐ Yes ■ No
Describe direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts related to water and waste. Identify any changes.
18. WATER RIGHTS OF EXISTING AND OTHER WATER USERS
Was compensation paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any existing or othe users of water during consideration of the existing licence?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use by those persons or properties.
Does the proposed amendment adversely affect any known persons or property including those that hold licences for water use in precedence to the application, domestic users, in-stream users, authorized waste depositors, owners of property, occupiers of property, and/or holders of outfitting concessions, registered trapline holders, and holders of other rights of a similar nature?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, provide the names, addresses and the nature of water use of those persons or properties.
Advise the Board if compensation has been paid and/or an agreement(s) for compensation has been reached with any existing or other water users with respect to the proposed amendment.

19. INUIT WATER RIGHTS
Was compensation paid/ or an agreement(s) for compensation been entered into with any Designated Inuit Organization (DIO) during consideration of the existing licence?
☐ Yes ■ No If Yes, which DIO(s)
Does the proposed amendment substantially affect the quality, quantity or flow of waters flowing through Inuit Owned Land (IOL)?
☐ Yes ■ No
If Yes, advise the Board if negotiations have commenced or an agreement to pay compensation for any loss or damage has been reached with one or more DIO(s) with respect to the proposed amendment.
20. CONSULTATION - Provide a summary of any consultation meetings including when the meetings were held, where and with whom. Include a list of concerns expressed and measures to address concerns.
None
21. SECURITY INFORMATION
Does the proposed amendment change the financial security assessment?
☐ Yes ■ No
Does the proposed amendment change the estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation?
☐ Yes ■ No
Provide an estimate of the total financial security for final reclamation equal to the total outstanding reclamation liability for land and water combined sufficient to cover the highest liability over the life of the undertaking. Estimates of reclamation costs must be based on the cost of having the necessary reclamation work done by a third party contractor if the operator defaults. The estimate must also include contingency factors appropriate to the particular work to be undertaken. Identify any changes in the financial security assessment resulting from the proposed amendment.
Where applicable, the financial security assessment should be prepared in a manner consistent with the principals respecting mine site reclamation and implementation found in the <i>Mine Site Reclamation Policy for Nunavut</i> , Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, 2002.

24.	PROPOSED TIME SCHEDULE					
When are proposed amendments scheduled to be undertaken: _Summer 2018						
Does the proposed amendment change the time schedule considered in the existing licence for any phase of development?						
	☐Yes	No				
Indicate the start and completion dates for each applicable phase of development (construction, operation, closure, and post closure). Identify proposed changes.						
	Construction Proposed Start Date:_June 2018(month/year)	Proposed Completion Date:_July 2018(month/year)				
	Operation Proposed Start Date:_July 2018(month/year)	Proposed Completion Date:_Ongoing(month/year)				
	Closure Proposed Start Date:_N/A(month/year)	Proposed Completion Date:_N/A(month/year)				
	Proposed Start Date:_N/A(month/year)	Proposed Completion Date:_N/A(month/year)				
For each applicable phase of development indicate which season(s) activities occur.						
	Construction ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ■ Summer ☐ Fall	☐ All season				
	<u>Operation</u> ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall	All season				
	Closure ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall	☐ All season				
	Post - Closure  ☐ Winter ☐ Spring ☐ Summer ☐ Fall	☐ All season				

25. PROPOSED TERM OF LICENCE	
On what date does the existing licence expire? _May 1, 2024	
Is the Licensee applying for a combined renewal and amendment of the existing licence?	
Yes No	
If Yes, indicate the proposed term of the renewal (maximum of 25 years): _10 years	
Requested date of renewal issuance: _September 2021 Requested Expiry Date: _December 2021 (month/year)	
(The requested date of renewal issuance must be <u>at least</u> three (3) months from the date of application for a type licence and <u>at least</u> one (1) year from the date of application for a type A water licence, to allow for processing of licence application. These timeframes are approximate and do not account for the time to complete any pre-licen use planning or development impact requirements, time for the applicant to prepare and submit a water licence app accordance with any project specific guidelines issued by the NWB, or the time for the applicant to respond to recadditional information. See the NWB's <i>Guide 5: <u>Processing Water Licence Applications</u> for more information)</i>	the water sing land lication in
26. ANNUAL REPORTING	
Will the proposed amendment change the content of annual reports or the annual report template?	
Yes No	
If Yes, provide details regarding the content of annual reports and a proposed outline or template of the report.	annual
-CGS is requesting to remove the current quarterly reports that must be submitted to the Nunavut Water times/year as held within current water licence 3AM-GRA1624, and opt to only submit one annual report end of the calendar year (December).	
-CGS is requesting to remove compliance point GRA-6 (Char River) from the water licence as this is no where water is pumped from to Nipissar Lake via pipeline to assist in keeping lake levels high enough to municipal consumption.	
-CGS is requesting to discontinue to submit a water pumping adaptive management plan for the pumpin water to Nipissar Lake from Lower Landing Lake. Due to this occurring from the lake and not a seasonal flowing river, the means to omit this from the future licence is asked to be strongly considered.	

27.	CHECKLIST			
The following must be included with the application for Amendment for the water licensing process to begin.				
	Completed Application for Water Licence Amendment form.			
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Information addressing Supplement Information Guideline (SIG), where applicable (see Blo			
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Compliance Assessment / Status Report (see Block 23).		port (see Block 23).	
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Indication of Renewal Requirement (see Block 26)			
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	English Summary of Amendment Application.			
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Inuktitut and/or Inuinnaqtun Summary of Amendment Application.			
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected	
	Application fee of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada).		ee Receiver General for Canada).	
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected _September 2, 2020_	
	Water Use Fee Deposit of \$30.00 CDN (Payee Receiver General for Canada). The actual water us fee will be calculated by the NWB based upon the amount of water authorized for use in accordance with the Regulations at the time of issuance of the licence.			
	Yes	□No	If no, date expected _September 2, 2020_	
28.	SIGNATURE			
Connor Faultner Municipal Technical Office (Sept 8/20 Name (Print) Title (Print) Signature Date				