Environmental Study of a Military Installation and Six Waste Disposal Sites at Iqaluit, NWT

Volume One: Site Analysis

Prepared by the Environmental Sciences Group



Royal Roads Military College Victoria, British Columbia

© Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada & Environment Canada, March 1995

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SHIPP T

The project was supported by the Action on Waste component of the Arctic Environmental Strategy and Environment Canada's National Contaminated Sites Remediation Program. We would particularly like to acknowledge the confidence and support of several individuals in Indian and Northern Affairs Canada: Mr. Floyd Adlem, Director of Operations, Yellowknife; Mr. Scott Mitchell, Regional Manager, and Ms. Marie Adams, Project Manager, Action on Waste Office, Yellowknife; and Mr. J.M.A Theriault, Nunavut District Manager, Iqaluit. Thanks are also due to individuals from Environment Canada, Environmental Protection, Yellowknife: Ms. Laura Johnston, District Manager, and Mr. Ed Collins, Chief, Environmental Engineering Division.

This report, as with previous reports, is a product of the collective effort of the entire Environmental Sciences Group headed by Dr. Ken Reimer. Dawn Pier was the coordinating author and received extensive support from Dr. Ken Reimer and Marjorie Cahill (Overview), Dr. Wayne Ingham (Site Descriptions), Dr. Bill Dushenko (QA/QC), Dr. Matthew Dodd (Methods) and Dave Pier (history). The authors would particularly like to thank Jennifer Rogers for her editorial assistance and support. Thanks are due Dr. Doug Bright for discussions regarding the marine data and criteria and to Dr. Stephen Grundy for help with interpretation of the dioxin data. Deborah Reimer oversaw financial administration of this and the many other ESG projects. Doug Noonan and Wesa Gleave did an amazing job of purchasing and shipping equipment to the North. Pat Fortin and Sandra Englander efficiently tracked and entered into spread-sheets all the many thousand analytical results that were generated within the group. Dave Pier and Olivia Whitwell are greatly appreciated for their tireless work on the maps. Ian Bullen tackled the statistical analyses and Kathryn Johnston produced all the figures. Brad Deep assisted with getting material printed. Helena Poll formatted the final draft.

Dr. John Poland of the Analytical Services Unit at Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario conducted the sampling program and analyses necessary for the delineation of soils at the Upper Base contaminated with high levels of PCBs and created a cleanup plan for the many barrels located in the North 40 dump.

The field work was conducted by: Dawn and Dave Pier, Wayne Ingham, Sandra Englander, Stephen Grundy, and Wesa Gleave of the Environmental Sciences Group. Sampling in 1995 was conducted by Dawn Pier with the assistance of Paul Smith, DIAND, Iqaluit. Delineation of CEPA areas and barrel sampling was done by Dr. John

Poland, Chris Jofriet, Mary Andrews, Katherine Rossel, Andrew Gaskin, Sony Singh and Elizabeth Payette.

The analytical work was carried out by Axys Analytical Services and by the Analytical Services Unit of Queen's University. As in the past, the high standards of these organizations made the preparation of this report much easier.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the community of Iqaluit, waste disposal both from military activities and the community itself have resulted in the creation of several dump sites. As well, some of the former military facilities have been abandoned. The aesthetic impact of these sites is apparent, but despite several studies, no definitive picture of the environmental status of the area has emerged. This report, comprising two volumes, presents a comprehensive study of the current environmental status of each site and proposes realistic and practical plans for their cleanup.

Scientific investigations at six solid waste disposal sites and a former military installation in Iqaluit were carried out by the Environmental Sciences Group, in conjunction with the Analytical Services Unit at Queen's University, during the period from August 9 to 20, 1994. The environmental assessment of the sites addressed visible debris, landfills, and contaminated soil. The extent of chemical contamination has been measured and the results compared with those of previous studies. An emphasis has been placed on the evaluation of the potential for chemical contaminants to enter the Arctic food chain.

A concurrent study of historical ocean disposal, headed by Environment Canada with participation by the Environmental Sciences Group, provided useful information regarding chemical inputs by the sites to the adjacent marine environment.

In assessing the environmental status and recommending appropriate cleanup measures at the Iqaluit sites, an environmental basis for comparison was required. The most comprehensive model for the cleanup of an Arctic environment is that provided by the DEW Line Cleanup Project. Iqaluit, in addition to being similar environmentally to the DEW Line, also shares many aspects of its past. For these reasons, the assessments and the cleanup recommendations proposed for Iqaluit are based on objectives outlined in the protocol developed for the DEW Line - the DEW Line Cleanup Protocol.

Results of the current investigation indicate that the Upper Base was the most heavily contaminated of the sites assessed. PCBs were detected in soil at concentrations in excess of the level regulated under the Storage of PCB Material Regulations arising from the Canadian Environmental Protection Act. The total volume of soil affected approximates 60 m³ and was restricted to one area of the base. The Apex dump site was found to be leaching several contaminants, among which pesticides were detected at

concentrations exceeding the applicable criteria. All seven sites under investigation exhibited some contamination by inorganic elements requiring remediation under the DEW Line Cleanup Protocol.

Recommendations for the cleanup of the seven sites were made on a site-specific basis and were influenced by levels of contamination detected, whether contaminant migration was evidenced and the physical location of each site. The cleanup of PCB-contaminated soils at the Upper Base requires the most immediate action. Other contaminants, including pesticides present within the watershed of the town's water supply lake, should be addressed in a timely fashion. Measures to stop the chronic leaching of contaminants from the Apex Dump into the marine environment need to be instituted and stabilization of all the dump sites is required. The complete proposed cleanup plan, contained in this report, will restore the sites to an environmentally safe condition - one in which contaminants in soil, sediment and water do not pose a threat to living organisms (including humans) in the future.

Funding for this study was provided by the Arctic Environmental Strategy - Action on Waste and the National Contaminated Sites Remediation Program administered by Indian and Northern Affairs Canada and Environment Canada, respectively.

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME I: SITE ANALYSIS

| | Acknowledgements | |
|----|---|-------|
| | Executive Summary | ii |
| | Table of Contents | |
| | List of Maps | ۰ |
| | List of Figures | . xii |
| | List of Tables | xiv |
| | List of Photographs | . XV |
| | Glossary | viii |
| I. | Introduction | I-1 |
| | A. Background. | |
| | B. Related Environmental Studies | |
| | C. Current Investigation | |
| | D. Structure of the Report | |
| п | Overview | 1 1 |
| ш. | A. Sampling Program | |
| | 1. Sample Types/Locations | |
| | 2. Sample Designations | |
| | 3. Number of Samples Collected | |
| | 4. Global Position Satellite SurveyingII | |
| | B. Analytical Program | |
| | C. Environmental Criteria. | |
| | D. Results | |
| | 1. Upper BaseII | |
| | 2. North 40 Dump | |
| | 3. Dump Site #1 - Sylvia Grinnell Park Dump | |
| | 4. Dump Site # 2 - Summer Camp Dump | |
| | 5. Dump Site #3 - The New Landfill | |
| | 6. Dump Site #4 - Municipal Dump | |
| | 7. Dump Site #5 - ApexDumpII-2 | |
| | 8. Summary | |
| | 0. Summur y | 42 |

| | E. Implementation | II-23 |
|---|--|--------|
| | 1. Overview | II-23 |
| | 2. Specific Recommendations | II-23 |
| I | II. BACKGROUND | III-1 |
| | A. General | III-1 |
| | 1. Location | III-1 |
| | 2. History | III-1 |
| | B. Previous Studies | III-8 |
| | 1. Municipal monitoring programs | III-8 |
| | 2. Waste Disposal Management Studies | III-9 |
| | 3. Environmental Studies | III-11 |
| | 4. Literature Reviews | III-14 |
| П | V. CLEANUP PROTOCOL | IV-1 |
| | A. Introduction | |
| | 1. Defining Environmental Objectives for the Arctic | IV-1 |
| | 2. How is Environmental Impact Assessed? | |
| | B. Development of the DEW Line Cleanup Protocol | |
| | 1. The DEW Line | |
| | 2. Environmental Studies | |
| | 3. Updates to the Protocol | IV-6 |
| | 4. General Protocol | IV-7 |
| | C. Overview of the DEW Line Cleanup Protocol | IV-7 |
| | 1. Visible Debris | IV-8 |
| | 2. Landfills | IV-12 |
| | 3. Chemical Contamination | IV-13 |
| | D. Other Criteria | IV-17 |
| | 1. Background Criteria | IV-17 |
| | 2. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Criteria | IV-18 |
| | 3. Provincial and Territorial Criteria | IV-18 |
| | 4. Foreign Criteria | IV-19 |
| | 5. Environmental Regulations | |
| | Annex A: DEW Line Clean Up (DLCU) Protocol | |
| | Annex B: DEW Line Clean Up (DLCU) Barrel Protocol | |

| Annex C: Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Assessment and |
|---|
| Remediation Criteria for Soil and Water |
| Annex D: Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines (Including Draft Interim Marine |
| Sediment Quality Guidelines and Draft Interim Freshwater Sediment Quality |
| Guidelines) |
| Annex E: Effluent Quality Criteria |
| |
| V. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS |
| A. Background Contaminant Concentrations in Soils and Plants |
| 1. GeneralV-3 |
| 2. Sampling Program |
| 3. Analytical Results V-4 |
| i. Inorganic Elements |
| ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) |
| B. Upper Base |
| 1. General |
| 2. Results of Previous Studies |
| 3. Current Sampling Program |
| 4. Analytical Results |
| i. Pole Vault Building 222 |
| ii. Communications Building S-28 |
| iii. Main Site |
| iv. Upper Base Landfill |
| v. Upper Base Outfall |
| vi. Lake Geraldine Watershed |
| 5. Cleanup RecommendationsV-75 |
| C. North 40 Dump |
| 1. GeneralV-78 |
| 2. Results of Previous Studies |
| 3. Current Sampling ProgramV-86 |
| 4. Analytical Results |
| i. Inorganic Elements |
| ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) |
| iii. Other Organic Contaminants |
| in Demale V 02 |

| | 5. Cleanup Recommendations | V-93 |
|----|--|-------|
| D | . Dump Site #1: Sylvia Grinnell Park Dump | V-96 |
| | 1. General | V-96 |
| | 2. Results of Previous Studies | V-101 |
| | 3. Current Sampling Program | V-104 |
| | 4. Analytical Results | V-107 |
| | i. Inorganic Elements | V-107 |
| | ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | V-110 |
| | iii. Other Organic Contaminants | V-110 |
| | 5. Cleanup Recommendations | V-111 |
| E. | Dump Site #2: Summer Camp Dump | V-116 |
| | 1. General | V-116 |
| | 2. Results of Previous Studies | V-119 |
| | 3. Current Sampling Program | V-122 |
| | 4. Analytical Results | V-124 |
| | i. Inorganic Elements | V-124 |
| | ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | V-125 |
| | iii. Other Organic Contaminants | V-125 |
| | 5. Cleanup Recommendations | V-126 |
| F. | Dump Site #3: Site of the New Municipal Landfill | V-128 |
| | 1. General | V-128 |
| | 2. Results of Previous Studies | V-133 |
| | 3. Current Sampling Program | V-136 |
| | 4. Analytical Results | V-138 |
| | i. Inorganic Elements | V-138 |
| | ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | V-141 |
| | iii. Other Organic Contaminants | V-141 |
| | 5. Cleanup Recommendations | V-143 |
| G. | Dump Site #4: Municipal Dump | V-148 |
| | 1. General | V-148 |
| | 2. Results of Previous Studies | V-149 |
| | 3. Current Sampling Program | V-153 |
| | 4. Analytical Results | V-153 |
| | i. Inorganic Elements | V-153 |
| | ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | V-157 |
| | iii Other Organic Contaminants | V-157 |

| 5. Cleanup Recommendations | V-158 |
|---|-------------|
| H. Dump Site #5: Apex Dump | V-161 |
| 1. General | V-161 |
| 2. Results of Previous Studies | V-167 |
| 3. Current Sampling Program | V-168 |
| 4. Analytical Results | V-170 |
| i. Inorganic Elements | V-170 |
| ii. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | V-173 |
| iii. Other Organic Contaminants | V-174 |
| 5. Cleanup Recommendations | V-175 |
| Annex A: UMA Engineering Plans for the Municipal Landfill Construct | ted in Dump |
| Site #3 | V-179 |
| VI. REFERENCES | VI-1 |

LIST OF MAPS

| Map I-1: Abandoned Military Installation and Six Solid Waste Disposal Sites near |
|--|
| Iqaluit |
| Map III-1:Location of Iqaluit, NWT |
| Map III-2: Locations of the Military Installation and Waste Disposal Sites Near |
| IqaluitIII-7 |
| Map IV-1: Location of the 21 Sites under DND Administration |
| Map V-1: Locations of the Military Installation and Waste Disposal Sites Near Iqaluit .V-2 |
| Map V-2: Upper Base |
| Map V-3: Detail of the Main Site, Upper Base |
| Map V-4: Delineation Sample Locations at Pole Vault Building 222, Upper Base V-22 |
| Map V-5: Delineation Sample Locations at Building S-28, Main Site, Upper Base V-23 |
| Map V-6: Assessment Sample Locations at Pole Vault 222 Building, Upper Base V-26 |
| Map V-7: Assessment Sample Locations at the Main Site, Upper BaseV-30 |
| Map V-8: Outlying Assessment Sample Locations at the Upper Base |
| Map V-9: Locations of Additional Samples Collected Near Iqaluit |
| Map V-10: Samples Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, Pole Vault 222 Building, Upper |
| Base |
| Map V-11: PCB Concentrations in Delineation Samples and Three Assessment Samples, |
| Pole Vault Building 222, Upper BaseV-42 |
| Map V-12: PCB Concentrations in Delineation Samples, Building S-28, Upper Base .V-53 |
| Map V-13: Samples Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, Main Site, Upper BaseV-58 |
| Map V-14: Sample Locations at the North 40 Dump Site |
| Map V-15: Samples Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, North 40 DumpV-90 |
| Map V-16: Sample Locations at Dump Site #1, the Sylvia Grinnell Park DumpV-106 |
| Map V-17: Samples Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, Dump Site #1V-109 |
| Map V-18: Sample Locations at Dump Site #2, West 40 Summer Camp AreaV-123 |
| Map V-19: Dump Site #3 in August 1994 Prior to Construction of the New Municipal |
| Landfill. V-130 |
| Map V-20: Dump Site #3 including the New Municipal Landfill and the Historical USAF |
| DumpV-132 |
| Map V-21: Sample Locations at Dump Site #3, Site of the New Municipal LandfillV-137 |
| Map V-22: Samples Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, Dump Site #3 |
| Map V-23: Sample Locations at Dump Site #4, the Igaluit Municipal DumpV-155 |

| Map V | 7-24: | Sample Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, Dump Site #4V | -156 |
|-------|---------|---|------|
| Map V | 7-25: | Sample Locations at Dump Site #5, the Apex DumpV | -169 |
| Map V | 7-26: 5 | Samples Exceeding the Cleanup Criteria, Dump Site #5V | -172 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure II-1: PCB Mean and Maximum in Soil for Areas at the Upper BaseII-37 |
|---|
| Figure II-2: Copper Mean and Maximum in Soil for Areas at the Upper BaseII-37 |
| Figure II-3: Cadmium Mean and Maximum in Soil for Areas at the Upper BaseII-38 |
| Figure II-4: Lead Mean and Maximum in Soil for Areas at the Upper BaseII-38 |
| Figure II-5: Zinc Mean and Maximum in Soil for Areas at the Upper BaseII-39 |
| Figure II-6: Arsenic Mean and Maximum in Soil for Areas at the Upper BaseII-39 |
| Figure II-7: Copper Mean and Maximum in Soil collected at the Dump SitesII-40 |
| Figure II-8: Cadmium Mean and Maximum in Soil collected at the Dump SitesII-40 |
| Figure II-9: Lead Mean and Maximum in Soil collected at the Dump Sites II-41 |
| Figure II-10: Zinc Mean and Maximum in Soil collected at the Dump Sites II-41 |
| Figure V-1: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in |
| Background SoilV-4 |
| Figure V-2: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in |
| Background VegetationV-6 |
| Figure V-3: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in |
| Building PV222 SoilV-36 |
| Figure V-4: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in |
| Building PV222 VegetationV-39 |
| Figure V-5: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in |
| Communications Building S-28 Soils |
| Figure V-6: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in Soils |
| Collected at the Main SiteV-56 |
| Figure V-7: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in |
| Vegetation Collected at the Main Site |
| Figure V-8: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in Soils |
| Collected at the Upper Base LandfillV-66 |
| Figure V-9: Mean and Maximum Concentrations of Inorganic Elements and PCBs in Soils |
| Collected in the Upper Base Outfall |
| Figure V-10: Mean and Maximum Inorganic Element and PCB Concentrations in Soils |
| Collected at the North 40 DumpV-88 |
| Figure V-11: Mean and Maximum Inorganic Element and PCB Concentrations in Soils |
| Collected at Dump Site #1V-107 |

| Figure V-12: Mean and Maximum Inorganic Element and PCB Concentrations in S | soils |
|---|-------|
| Collected at Dump Site #2. | V-124 |
| Figure V-13: Mean and Maximum Inorganic Element and PCB Concentrations in S | oils |
| Collected at Dump Site #3. | V-138 |
| Figure V-14: Mean and Maximum Inorganic Element and PCB Concentrations in S | oils |
| Collected at Dump Site #4. | V-154 |
| Figure V-15: Mean and Maximum Inorganic Element and PCB Concentrations in S | oils |
| Collected at Dump Site #5. | V-171 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table II-1: Site Designations | II-27 |
|--|--------------|
| Table II-2: Codes Used for Sample Designation | II-28 |
| Table II-3: Sampling Program by Sample Type | II-29 |
| Table II-4: Analytical Program by Sample Type | II-30 |
| Table II-5: Analytical Program by Site | II-31 |
| Table II-6: Vegetation Collected for Analysis | II-32 |
| Table II-7: Samples Exceeding Inorganic Element Cleanup Criteria | II-33 |
| Table II-8: Samples Exceeding Aroclor PCB Cleanup Criteria | II-34 |
| Table II-9: Samples Exceeding Pesticide Cleanup Criteria | II-35 |
| Table II-10: Samples Exceeding Dibenzodioxin and Dibenzofuran Cleanup Cri | teriaII-36 |
| Table IV-1: The DEW Line Cleanup Criteria (DCC)a | IV-16 |
| Table IV-2: CCME Interim Criteria for Acid/Base/Neutral (ABNs) Priority Po | llutants for |
| Soils | IV-20 |
| Table IV-3: List of Criteria for Pesticides in Soils | IV-22 |
| Table IV-4: List of Criteria for Total Hydrocarbons in Soils | IV-23 |
| Table V-1: Impact Criteria for Inorganic Elements in Plants Collected near Iqa | luitV-6 |

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

| Photograph V-1: Aerial view of Pole Vault Building 222 located to the southeast of the |
|---|
| Main Site at the Upper BaseV-1 |
| Photograph V-2: Communications Building S-28 situated on the southeast edge of the |
| Main Site, Upper BaseV-1 |
| Photograph V-3: View from the east of the Upper Base including Pole Vault Building |
| 222, Communications Building S-28 and the Main Site |
| Photograph V-4: Aerial view of the Main Site at the Upper Base. Long-range radar were |
| housed in goedesic domes formerly situated atop the rectangular towersV-13 |
| Photograph V-5: This residence is an example of the items scavenged from the Upper |
| Base |
| Photograph V-6: The easternmost room of Pole Vault Building 222 where electrical |
| equipment containing capacitors was observed |
| Photograph V-7: View of the westernmost room of Pole Vault Building 222, where |
| transformers and capacitors were found |
| Photograph V-8: The floor of the westernmost room in Pole Vault Building 222, littered |
| with debris and covered with an oily coatingV-28 |
| Photograph V-9: The burnt interior of Communications Building S-28 where the remains |
| of electrical equipment were foundV-28 |
| Photograph V-10: Building remains scattered around the Main SiteV-29 |
| Photograph V-11: The interiors of a typical building at the Main Site, littered with debris |
| and equipment. V-29 |
| Photograph V-12: View of the northwest toe of the Upper Base LandfillV-32 |
| Photograph V-13: The lushly vegetated lower area of the Upper Base OutfallV-32 |
| Photograph V-14: Sample G5913 contained elevated concentrations of copper, lead and |
| zincV-49 |
| Photograph V-15: The soil in the large black stain immediately south of Pole Vault |
| Building 222 contains PCBs at concentrations in excess of 50 ppmV-49 |
| Photograph V-16: Soils and vegetation collected from drainage off the northeast corner of |
| Pole Vault Building 222 contained PCBs in excess of the criteriaV-50 |
| Photograph V-17: Soil sample G5925 was collected in this stained seasonal drainage |
| catchment, and contained elevated concentrations of PAHsV-50 |
| Photograph V-18: Soil on the east side of Building S-28 contains elevated concentrations |
| of dioxinsV-55 |

| Photograph V-19: High concentrations of copper, lead, zinc and arsenic were found in |
|--|
| rust and debris collected from the floor of the Power Plant tank (G5955) V-63 |
| Photograph V-20: G5954A/B collected below the Heating Plant exhaust hoods contained |
| zinc at concentrations exceeding the criteriaV-63 |
| Photograph V-21: Soil sample G5939, collected on the west side of the POL tank pad, |
| contained elevated concentrations of lead |
| Photograph V-22: Elevated levels of lead and PCBs were detected outside Tower #3.V-64 |
| Photograph V-23: Elevated concentrations of pesticides were found in soil collected in |
| this low wet area at the north end of the Upper Base (G5972)V-65 |
| Photograph V-24: Sample O5903, collected at the base of the outfall contained elevated |
| concentrations of pesticidesV-72 |
| Photograph V-25: Barrels containing leftover runway asphalt at the southwest end of the |
| North 40 Dump. V-81 |
| Photograph V-26: Old rusted and partially crushed barrels make up a large proportion of |
| the wastes in the North 40 Dump |
| Photograph V-27: Darkly stained soils are common in the region where barrels with |
| contents are located. Note free product pooling on the surface of the soilV-82 |
| Photograph V-28: Pooling of water amongst the waste deposited in the North 40 is a |
| considerable problem |
| Photograph V-29: Soil sample L6004 contained elevated levels of copperV-95 |
| Photograph V-30: Soil sample L6030, collected in a stain amongst the barrels in Area B, |
| contained elevated levels of leadV-95 |
| Photograph V-31: Dump Site #1 as viewed from the south bank of the Sylvia Grinnell |
| River. Note the bedrock outcrop running parallel to the dump face |
| Photograph V-32: View of the toe of Dump Site #1, showing the accumulated debris at |
| the base of the slopeV-99 |
| Photograph V-33: View to the west from within Dump Site #1. Note the steep angle of |
| the slope and the piles of barrels stacked along the toe of the dumpV-100 |
| Photograph V-34: Sample L6055 was collected from the drainage channel running |
| through the vehicle pile and contained elevated levels of lead and PCBsV-114 |
| Photograph V-35: Sample L6057 was collected from the small stained area and contained |
| elevated levels of lead and zincV-114 |
| Photograph V-36: Sample L6058, collected from the black stain, contained elevated levels |
| of zincV-115 |
| Photograph V-37: The area littered with debris in Dump Site #2. Note that water in the |
| vicinity has an oily sheen on its surfaceV-118 |

| Photograph V-38: View to the north of the large drainage ditch on the east side of Dum Site #2 | |
|--|-----|
| Photograph V-39: The New Municipal Landfill as seen from the west | |
| Photograph V-40: L6046 and WF6001 containing elevated levels of zinc, phenol and | |
| PAHs and zinc, respectively, were collected in this drainage catchment V-1 | 146 |
| Photograph V-41: Ground water sample GW6000 was collected from UMA borehole p | |
| #13, pictured here, and contained elevated concentrations of copperV-1 | |
| Photograph V-42: Water sample WF6002, collected from the drainage catchment pictur | |
| here, contained elevated levels of PCBsV-1 | |
| Photograph V-43: Dump Site #4 overlooking the foreshore flats of Koojesse Inlet as see | |
| from the town of IqaluitV-1 | |
| Photograph V-44: Soil Sample L6041 collected in drainage off the east side of Dump Si | |
| #4 contained elevated levels of copperV-1 | 160 |
| Photograph V-45: Soil Sample L6040 collected in a well-defined drainage path in the | |
| eastern half of Dump Site #4 contained pesticides and elevated levels of PCBsV-1 | 160 |
| Photograph V-46: View of the face of Dump Site #5, showing a large accumulation of | |
| bulk wastes at the toe of the dumpV-1 | 64 |
| Photograph V-47: Extensive erosion of the toe of Dump Site #5 by tidal action and ice | |
| scouringV-1 | 65 |
| Photograph V-48: Metallic wastes, including a vehicle body, within the limit of high | |
| tideV-1 | 65 |
| Photograph V-49: Electrical equipment previously buried within the slope, deposited on | |
| the beach at the east end of the dumpV-1 | 66 |
| Photograph V-50: The intertidal zone south of the dump, littered with a great deal of | |
| debrisV-1 | 66 |
| Photograph V-51: Soil Sample L6054 collected at the eastern end of Dump Site #5 | |
| contained elevated concentrations of lead and zinc | 77 |
| Photograph V-52: Sample L6052 contained elevated concentrations of pesticides V-1 | 78 |
| Photograph V-53: Close-up of sample L6052 showing evidence of subsurface | |
| drainageV-1 | 78 |

GLOSSARY AND LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Various abbreviations and terms are used throughout the report. These include;

ABN = Acid/Base/Neutral Extractable (semi-volatile organic priority

pollutants)

AES = Arctic Environmental Strategy

anthropogenic = derived directly or indirectly from human activity

As = Arsenic

ASU = Analytical Services Unit (Queens University, Kingston, Ont)

BCMOE = British Columbia Ministry of Environment

Be = Beryllium

CCME = Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment

Cd = Cadmium
Co = Cobalt
Cr = Chromium
Cu = Copper

DCC = DEW Line Cleanup Criteria

DDT = Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane

DEW = Distant Early Warning

DIAND = Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
DND = Department of National Defence

DNWSO = Directorate (or Director) North Warning System Office

EC = Environment Canada

ECMSQG = Environment Canada Marine Sediment Quality Guidelines

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency (US)

ESG = Environmental Sciences Group

FAL = Freshwater Aquatic Life

flora = vegetation

FOL = Forward Operating Location forb = wildflower or flowering herb

GBM = Geodetic Bench Mark
GC = Gas Chromatography

GC/ECD = Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection

GC/MS = Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy
GNWT = Government of the Northwest Territories

GLOSSARY AND LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS cont'd

GPS = Global Positioning Satellite

Hazmat = Hazardous Material
HCB = Hexachlorobenzene
HCH = Hexachlorocyclohexane

High res = High resolution (usually in association with mass spectrometry)

Hg = Mercury

HRGC = High Resolution Gas Chromatography
HRMS = High Resolution Mass Spectrometry
HS-1 = NRC Marine Reference Standard

I-site = Intermediate DEW Line site

leachate = substances (usually in solution) migrating from a more concentrated

source.

LRR = Long Range Radar

MENVIO = Ministère de l'Environnement du Québec

Mn = Manganese Mo = Molybdenum

MOU = Memorandum of Understanding

NA = Not analyzed due to a coeluting interference

NCSCS = National Contaminated Sites Classification System

NBS = National Bureau of Standards (US)

NCSRP = National Contaminated Sites Remediation Program

NDR = Not reliably detected

Ni = Nickel

NIST = National Institute of Standards and Technology

NM = Not Measured

NRC = National Research Council NWS = North Warning System

NWSO = North Warning System Office

NWT = Northwest Territories

permafrost = ground remaining frozen through two or more consecutive winters

and intervening summer.

PAH = Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon

Pb = Lead

PCA = Principal Components Analysis

PCB = Polychlorinated Biphenyl

GLOSSARY AND LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS cont'd

PCDDs Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins

PCDFs Polychlorinated dibenzofurans

POL = Petroleum, Oil, Lubricants

ppb = parts per billion; ng/g that is, nanograms of substance per gram of

soil or sediment sample; µg/L that is, micrograms of substance per

litre of aqueous solution.

ppm = parts per million; μg/g that is, microgram of substance per gram of

soil or sediment sample.

ppt = parts per trillion; ng/L in aqueous solutions.

PV = Pole Vault

PWC = Public Works Canada

RRMC = Royal Roads Military College

Se = Selenium

SRR = Short Range Radar

TEL = Threshold Effect Level

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TSS = Total Suspended Solids

UMA = UMA Engineering Ltd.

USAAF = United States Army Air Force

USAF = United States Air Force

US EPA = United Stated Environmental Protection Act

Zn = Zinc