

March 28, 2012

Mr. Derek Ehaloak Environmental Administrator Nunavut Impact Review Board P.O. Box 1360 Cambridge Bay, NU., X0B 0C0

BY EMAIL info@nirb.ca

Mr. Mosha Cote Manager, Research Liaison Nunavut Research Institute P.O. Box 1720 Igaluit, NU X0A 0H0

BY EMAIL mosha.cote@arcticcollege.ca

Dear Mr. Ehaloak, Cote

## RE: NRI Glacier Climate Studies Ellesmere Island Marshall Mar 2012

The NPC has completed its review of the above noted project proposal. It conforms to North Baffin Regional Land Use Plan (NBRLUP) subject to the attached.

By this letter and additional enclosures, the NPC is forwarding the project proposal with this determination to NIRB for screening.

The applicant has undertaken to comply with the attached requirements. The authorizing agencies to which this letter is addressed are responsible under the *Nunavut Land Claims Agreement* to implement any of the attached requirements by incorporating the requirements directly, or otherwise ensuring that they must be met, in the terms and conditions of any authorizations issued.

My office would be pleased to discuss with these agencies how best to implement these requirements and to review any draft authorizations that the agencies wish to provide for that purpose.

This conformity determination applies only to the above noted applications as submitted. Therefore, the proponent must ensure other applications for a permit under this project proposal not listed above are forwarded to NPC for a conformity determination against the NBRLUP, and please notify the NPC immediately if any material change to the project proposal is made before authorizations are issued.

Yours Truly,

Brian Aglukark NPC, Arviat

CC.

Mr. Shawn Marshall, University of Calgary

**APPLICATION #** 

NRI Glacier Cilmate Studies Ellesmere Island Marshall Mar 2012

## NUNAVUT PLANNING COMMISSION APPLICATION TO DETERMINE CONFORMITY WITH THE NORTH BAFFIN REGIONAL LAND USE PLAN

ts listed below. nent

	NUNA' E	VUT PLANNING COMMISSION SOX 419, Arviat, Nunavut X0C-0E0
4	All applicants for a project propose The relevant sections of	al shall comply with the requirement the plan are noted in each requiren
G	ENERAL	
2.	Environmental Protection: s3.13.8: The main and the same occurrences of pollution, garbage development.	The applicant undertakes to prevent any e and contamination at the site of the
	Yes	No
3.	Removal of Fuel Drums: s3.13.8: The drums safely from the site and dispose	ne applicant undertakes to remove all of the drums in a safe manner.
	Yes	No
4.	New Site Restoration and Clean U applicant undertakes to clean up the condition to the greatest extent possible	Site and restore the site to its natural
•	Yes	No
5.	Low-Level Air Flights: Appendix H, s flights?	3: Will the applicant avoid all low-level
	Yes	No
•	necessary.	ch flights are or may be absolutely
	require Two Offer	en foot/ski, but Plights to take us
		the study site.

	81				
ii. If such flights are or may be absolutely necessa avoid disturbance to people and wildlife?			ely necessary	, will they	
	Yes			No	
of v	emote	e taking air strij Scefield; s.	no and	anding f to the ticipated	prince
iii. If not, explain why it is not possible				oid such distu	ırbance.
must	nuniti	near the es or sett though.	z landing		No the
9. Polar Bear Den keep its activitie	ning Area es away fro	as and Wairus om any polar be	Haul-outs: sar denning ar	3.3.8: Will the ea or walrus h	applicant naul-out?
HERITAGE RE	SOUR	CES			
10. Reporting of A Will the appli archaeological Youth (GN)?	cant imm	ogical Sites: s nediately repor the Department	the discov	very of all s	suspected
	Yes	×		No	
SCIENTIFIC R	ESEAR	CH			
17. Scientific Research?	search: s	s3.9.3: Does th	e project pro	posal involve	scientific
	Yes	)		No	
if yes, will th traditional knov	e applica vledge wh	int integrate all en conducting it	l available a s research?	ind relevant	local and

	Tes	No	
consul	ultation with Nunavut Reseated with the Nunavut Reseated benefit or interest local residen	arch Institute: s3.9.5: Has the applicant arch Institute about research topics that nts?	
	Yes	No	
i.	Describe the results of yo	our consultation.	
_			
ii.	if no, explain why.		
_	we would be happy there are no local y	to have this conversation;	
	reference and a second	residents near our glacier	
	slacion parend hal	and our main focus	
_	stand to benefit	ence processes) does not residents - the climate change	implications may, however.
19. Local local se		yment: s3.9.4: Will the applicant rely on	,,
	Yes	No	•
i.	Describe the services reta	ained and the people to be employed.	
· · · · ·			
ii.	If no, explain why it is not	local shopping for Avad etc	
3	in the field (Ellean	neve), there are no local	
	cervices and our	work on the glacier	
	requires specifi	i safety training and	
	experience.		
	1 <del>7.</del>		

20. Communication on Scientific Research: s3.2.8: The applicant will, at minimum, translate a summary of its work into inuktitut and communicate with

communities using language that is clear and non-technical. The results of all scientific research shall be made available to the affected communities as soon as possible.

No

22. Code of Good Conduct for Land Users: Appendix H: The applicant undertakes to adhere to the code of Good Conduct at all times.

		Yes	No
given in	unis applica	ition is true and	(name of applicant), certify that the information I have it correct and hereby make the above undertakings which form part of all within the meaning of the Nunavut Land Claims Agreement.
Date:	March	26,2012	Signature of Applicant: 82 / M